

Physics 487 – Homework #6

hard deadline Friday 10/18 5pm

All solutions must clearly show the steps and/or reasoning you used to arrive at your result. You will lose points for poorly written solutions or incorrect reasoning. Answers given without explanation will not be graded: our master rule for homework and exams is **NO WORK = NO POINTS**. However, unless otherwise specified, you may use any relation from the **formula sheets** on our website, from the introductions to **this or previous homework / discussions**, or from **non-QM courses** without proof. Here's a rule of thumb: Write enough so that it will **MAKE SENSE TO YOU IN 5 YEARS**, i.e. so that it provides you with useful future notes. Finally please write your **NAME** and **DISCUSSION SECTION** on your solutions. ☺

You may also use wolframalpha.com or similar tool to evaluate your integrals **after you set the up** in a form that can be **directly entered** into such tools.

Reading for Week 7 : Griffiths Ch. 7 & Ch 8.1-2

Problem 1 : Qual Time! A Second-Order Perturbation Theory Problem *last problem of Discussion 6*

A particle moves in a 3D SHO with potential energy $V(r)$. A weak perturbation $\delta V(x,y,z)$ is applied:

$$V(r) = \frac{m\omega^2}{2}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta V(x,y,z) = Uxyz + \frac{U^2}{\hbar\omega}x^2y^2z^2$$

where U is a small parameter. Use perturbation theory to calculate the change in the ground state energy to order $O(U^2)$. Use without proof all the results you like from the 1D SHO → see supplementary file on website.

► Hint 1: The energy eigenstates of the 1D SHO™ in our reference file, or in any other sane tabulation, are orthonormal.

► Hint 2: Notice that the n^{th} Hermite polynomial, $H_n(x)$, is a polynomial of order n . If you multiply it by x , you turn it into a polynomial of order $n+1$... which you can write as a linear combination of $H_{n+1}(x)$ and $H_{n-1}(x)$. This relation is *particularly* simple for the ground state. :-)

Problem 2 : The Van der Waals Force — Important for Chemistry! *adapted from Griffiths 6.31*

Consider two atoms a distance R apart. Because they are electrically neutral you might suppose there would be no force between them, but if they are *polarizable*, there is in fact a weak attraction. To model this system, picture each atom as an electron (mass m , charge $-e$) attached by a spring (spring constant k) to the nucleus (charge $+e$) as in the figure below :



We'll assume the nuclei are heavy, and essentially motionless. The Hamiltonian for the unperturbed system is

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_1^2}{m} + \frac{1}{2} k x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_2^2}{m} + \frac{1}{2} k x_2^2$$

The Coulomb interaction between the atoms is

$$H' = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{e^2}{R} - \frac{e^2}{R-x_1} - \frac{e^2}{R+x_2} + \frac{e^2}{R-x_1+x_2} \right)$$

(a) Explain the form of H' in words. Then, assuming that $|x_1|$ and $|x_2|$ are both much less than R , show that

$$H' \approx -\frac{e^2 x_1 x_2}{2\pi\epsilon_0 R^3}$$

(b) Show that the total Hamiltonian $H = H_0 + H'$ separates into two harmonic oscillator Hamiltonians :

$$H = \left[\frac{1}{2m} p_+^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(k - \frac{e^2}{2\pi\epsilon_0 R^3} \right) x_+^2 \right] + \left[\frac{1}{2m} p_-^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(k + \frac{e^2}{2\pi\epsilon_0 R^3} \right) x_-^2 \right]$$

under the change of variables

$$x_{\pm} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_1 \pm x_2), \text{ which entails } p_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_1 \pm p_2).$$

(c) The ground state energy for this Hamiltonian is evidently

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \hbar (\omega_+ + \omega_-), \text{ where } \omega_{\pm} = \sqrt{\frac{k \mp (e^2 / 2\pi\epsilon_0 R^3)}{m}}.$$

Without the Coulomb interaction, it would have been $E_0 = \hbar\omega_0$, where $\omega_0 = \sqrt{k/m}$. Assuming that $k \gg (e^2 / 2\pi\epsilon_0 R^3)$, show that

$$\Delta V \equiv E - E_0 \approx -\frac{\hbar}{8m^2\omega_0^3} \left(\frac{e^2}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \right)^2 \frac{1}{R^6}.$$

Conclusion: There is an **attractive potential** between the atoms, proportional to the inverse sixth power of their separation.. This is the **van der Waals interaction** between two neutral atoms.

(d) Now do the same calculation by applying second-order perturbation theory to the original H_0 from the introduction and the approximate H' from part (a). Hint: The unperturbed states are of the form $\psi_{n1}(x_1) \psi_{n2}(x_2)$, where $\psi_n(x)$ is a one-particle oscillator wave function with mass m and spring constant k ; ΔV is the second-order correction to the ground state energy, for the perturbation H' in part (a) (notice that the *first-order* correction is zero.)

► my hint: Remember that the eigenstates of energy of the 1D SHO™ (as tabulated on one of our formula sheets for your convenience) are all orthonormal. The integral(s) you have to do can be performed using this fact : The n^{th} Hermite polynomial, $H_n(x)$, is a polynomial of order n . If you multiply it by x , you turn it into a polynomial of order $n+1$... which you can write as a linear combination of $H_{n+1}(x)$ and $H_{n-1}(x)$. :-)

Problem 3 : The Stark Effect

Griffiths 6.36

When an atom is placed in a uniform external electric field E_{ext} , the energy levels are shifted — a phenomenon known as the **Stark effect**. In this problem we analyze the Stark effect for the $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ states of hydrogen. Let the field point in the z direction, so the potential energy of the electron is

$$H'_S = eE_{\text{ext}} z = eE_{\text{ext}} r \cos\theta$$

Treat this as a perturbation on the simple “Bohr” Hamiltonian for the hydrogen atom,

$$H_0 = -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r}.$$

Spin is irrelevant to this problem so ignore it.

- (a) Show that the ground state energy is not affected by this perturbation, to first order.
- (b) The first excited state is 4-fold degenerate: $\psi_{200}, \psi_{211}, \psi_{210}, \psi_{21-1}$. Using degenerate perturbation theory, determine the first-order corrections to the energy. Into how many levels does E_2 split?
- (c) What are the “ β ” wave functions for part (b), i.e. the ones that diagonalize the perturbation H'_S ? (Griffiths calls these “good” wavefunctions.) Find the expectation value of the electric dipole moment ($\vec{p}_e = -e\vec{r}$) in each of these “good” states. Notice that the results are independent of the applied field — evidently hydrogen in its first excited state can carry a *permanent* electric dipole moment.

HINT: There are a lot of integrals in this problem, but almost all of them are ZERO. So study each one carefully, before you do any calculations! For example, if the ϕ integral vanishes, there's not much point in doing the r and θ integrals! Partial answer: $H'_{13} = H'_{31} = -3ea_0 E_{\text{ext}}$ where a_0 is the Bohr radius as usual; all other elements of $\mathbf{H}'_{ij} \equiv \langle \beta_i^{(0)} | H' | \beta_j^{(0)} \rangle$ are zero ☺.