| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|-----------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| | | | | | | |

Recurrent Neural Network Transducers (RNN-T)

Mark Hasegawa-Johnson These slides are in the public domain.

September 11, 2023

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|-----------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| | | | | | | |

- 1 The Sequence-to-Sequence Problem
- 2 Components of a Sequence-to-Sequence Network
- 3 RNN-T Alignment Probabilities
- 4 RNN-T Training
- 5 RNN-T Testing
- 6 Discussion: HMM and CTC as special cases of RNNT, RNNT as special case of AED

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

7 Summary

| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|-----------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| ●○○○○ | 00000000 | 000000000 | 000 | 000 | 00000 | 00 |
| Outline | | | | | | |

1 The Sequence-to-Sequence Problem

- 2 Components of a Sequence-to-Sequence Network
- **3** RNN-T Alignment Probabilities
- 4 RNN-T Training
- **5** RNN-T Testing
- 6 Discussion: HMM and CTC as special cases of RNNT, RNNT as special case of AED

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

7 Summary

Seq2Seq Components Component

• Input sequence:

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_T), \quad x_t \in \mathcal{X}$$

• Output sequence:

$$\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_U), \quad x_u \in \mathcal{Y}$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• Goal: Learn a function that models $Pr(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$

| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|-----------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| 00000 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Example: Speech Recognition

 Input: x_t = log spectral magnitude of frame t

• Output: $y_u \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ is one of K symbols in an output alphabet, $\hat{y}_u \in \{0, 1\}^K$ is the corresponding one-hot vector.



Example: Voice Conversion

- Input: x_t = log spectral magnitude of input speech, frame t
- Output: y_u = log spectral magnitude of target speech, frame u



| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|-----------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| 00000 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Example: Machine Translation

- Input: $x_t =$ word selected from input sentence,
- Output: y_u = one-hot vector of output word



| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|------------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| 00000 | •••••• | 0000000000 | 000 | 000 | 00000 | 00 |
| Outline | | | | | | |

- 1 The Sequence-to-Sequence Problem
- 2 Components of a Sequence-to-Sequence Network
- **3** RNN-T Alignment Probabilities
- 4 RNN-T Training
- **5** RNN-T Testing
- 6 Discussion: HMM and CTC as special cases of RNNT, RNNT as special case of AED

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

7 Summary



• Prediction Network: predict next output label given previous output labels (language model)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

• Transcription Network: predict output label given inputs (acoustic model)





▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Florijan Stamenković, medium.com

In a language model, g_u is the logit output vector, and $Pr(y_{u+1} = k | y_1, \dots, y_u) = softmax_k(g_u)$. The computation of g_u is autoregressive in different ways, depending on the decoder architecture:

• RNN:

$$h_{u} = \mathcal{H} \left(W_{ih} \hat{y}_{u} + W_{hh} h_{u-1} + b_{g} \right)$$
$$g_{u} = W_{ho} h_{u} + b_{o}$$

• Autoregressive Transformer: $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{[1:u]} = [\hat{y}_1, \dots, \hat{y}_u]$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{u,u'} &= \mathsf{softmax}_{u'} \left(g_{u-1}^{\mathsf{T}} W_Q^{\mathsf{T}} W_K \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{[1:u']} \right) \\ g_u &= \sum_{u'} \alpha_{u,u'} W_V \hat{y}_{u'} \end{aligned}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|------------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | 0000000000 | 000 | 000 | 00000 | 00 |
| Transc | ription Ne | twork | | | | |

The transcription network converts an input sequence,

 $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_T)$, into a sequence of vector embeddings,

 $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_T)$. There are many well-studied ways to do this, e.g.,

- Bidirectional LSTM
- Transformer
- Conformer





Shree, https:

//vak.ai/speech/attention/encoder-decoder-basics





Li, 2021, https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.01690

• The prediction network computes g_u from $\mathbf{y}_{[1:u]} = (y_1, \dots, y_u)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

• The transcription network computes f_t from $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_t, \dots, x_T)$

| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|------------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | ●000000000 | 000 | 000 | 00000 | 00 |
| Outline | | | | | | |

- 1 The Sequence-to-Sequence Problem
- 2 Components of a Sequence-to-Sequence Network
- 3 RNN-T Alignment Probabilities
- 4 RNN-T Training
- **5** RNN-T Testing
- 6 Discussion: HMM and CTC as special cases of RNNT, RNNT as special case of AED

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

7 Summary

| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|------------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | ○●00000000 | 000 | 000 | 00000 | 00 |
| Alignm | nent Seque | ence | | | | |

The RNN-T aligns input sequence **x** to output sequence **y** by using an alignment sequence, $\mathbf{a} = (a_{1,0}, \dots, a_{T,U})$, where

- An **upward step**, $a_{t,u} = y_{u+1}$, means that input character x_t generates output character y_u .
- A rightward step, a_{t,u} = Ø, means that there are no more output characters generated by x_t, so we should move forward to start looking at x_{t+1}.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00







æ

Graves, 2012, https://arxiv.org/abs/1211.3711



The key idea of RNNT is that the next character depends on both the language model and the acoustic model:

$$\mathsf{Pr}(a_{t,u} = k | \mathbf{y}_u, \mathbf{x}) = \mathsf{softmax}(f_t^k + g_u^k) = \frac{\mathsf{exp}(f_t^k + g_u^k)}{\sum_{k'} \mathsf{exp}(f_t^{k'} + g_u^{k'})}$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|-----------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | 000000000 | 000 | 000 | 00000 | 00 |
| Alignm | ent Proba | abilities | | | | |

During training, the desired sequence $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_U)$ is known, so we can define these useful shorthands:

$$y(t, u) \equiv \Pr(y_{u+1}|t, u)$$

 $\emptyset(t, u) \equiv \Pr(\emptyset|t, u)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ





æ.

Graves, 2012, https://arxiv.org/abs/1211.3711

In order to train the neural network, we need these two probabilities:

• Forward probability:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t, u) &\equiv \mathsf{Pr}(\mathbf{y}_{[1:u]} | \mathbf{x}_{[1:t]}) \\ &= \alpha(t-1, u) \varnothing(t-1, u) + \alpha(t, u-1) y(t, u-1) \end{aligned}$$

• Backward probability:

$$\beta(t, u) \equiv \Pr(\mathbf{y}_{[(u+1):U]} | \mathbf{x}_{[t:T]})$$

= $\beta(t+1, u) \varnothing(t, u) + \beta(t, u+1) y(t, u)$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

| Tatal | A 1: | Duchahilituu | 000 | 000 | 00000 | |
|---------|------------|--------------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |



The probability that **x** generates **y**, and that y_u is generated no later than x_t , is

$$\Pr(\mathbf{y}_{[1:u]}|\mathbf{x}_{[1:t]})\Pr(\mathbf{y}_{[(u+1):U]}|\mathbf{x}_{[t:T]}) = \alpha(t,u)\beta(t,u)$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- 2

| Total / | Alignment | Probability | | | | |
|---------|------------|-------------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
| 00000 | 00000000 | 0000000000 | 000 | 000 | 00000 | 00 |



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Graves, 2012, https://arxiv.org/abs/1211.3711

Seq2Seq Components Alignment Training Testing Discussion Summary OOODO OOODOOOOO OOO OOO OOO OOO OO

Notice that, to get from cell (1,0) to cell (5,3), the network must pass through **one and only one** of the cells on any descending diagonal. For example, it must pass through **one and only one** of the cells $\{(1,3), (2,2), (3,1), (4,0)\}$.



The total probability of \mathbf{y} given \mathbf{x} is therefore:

$$\Pr(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{(t,u):t+u=n} \alpha(t,u)\beta(t,u)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|------------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | 0000000000 | ●○○ | 000 | 00000 | 00 |
| Outline | | | | | | |

- 1 The Sequence-to-Sequence Problem
- 2 Components of a Sequence-to-Sequence Network
- **3** RNN-T Alignment Probabilities
- 4 RNN-T Training
- **5** RNN-T Testing
- 6 Discussion: HMM and CTC as special cases of RNNT, RNNT as special case of AED

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

7 Summary



The training loss is negative log-probability:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\ln \Pr(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

As usual, the derivative of log-probability has a nice normalizing factor:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta(t, u)} = -\frac{1}{\Pr(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})} \frac{\partial \Pr(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})}{\partial \beta(t, u)}$$

Since $\Pr(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{(t,u):t+u=n} \alpha(t, u)\beta(t, u),$
 $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta(t, u)} = -\frac{\alpha(t, u)}{\Pr(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})}$



Remember that the backward probability is

$$\beta(t, u) = \beta(t+1, u) \varnothing(t, u) + \beta(t, u+1) y(t, u) = \beta(t+1, u) \Pr(a_{t,u} = \varnothing | t, u) + \beta(t, u+1) \Pr(a_{t,u} = y_{u+1} | t, u)$$

The derivative of the loss w.r.t. the softmax outputs is therefore:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\Pr(a_{t,u}|t,u)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \beta(t,u)} \frac{\partial \beta(t,u)}{\partial \Pr(a_{t,u}|t,u)}$$
$$= -\frac{\alpha(t,u)}{\Pr(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})} \begin{cases} \beta(t,u+1) & \text{if } a_{t,u} = y_{u+1} \\ \beta(t+1,u) & \text{if } a_{t,u} = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

From there, we can use the usual gradient of the softmax to backpropagate.

| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|-----------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | 000000000 | 000 | ●○○ | 00000 | 00 |
| Outline | | | | | | |

- 1 The Sequence-to-Sequence Problem
- 2 Components of a Sequence-to-Sequence Network
- **3** RNN-T Alignment Probabilities
- 4 RNN-T Training
- 5 RNN-T Testing
- 6 Discussion: HMM and CTC as special cases of RNNT, RNNT as special case of AED

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

7 Summary

| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|-----------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | 000000000 | 000 | ○●○ | 00000 | 00 |
| RNN-T | during tes | st time | | | | |

- During test time, we don't know **y**, so we need to consider every possible sequence.
- This could be a problem, because the number of such sequences is $|\mathcal{Y}|^U$.
- Graves uses a beam search for this. For each *t*, he keeps a finite buffer of the best partial paths.
- He takes advantage of the fact that the softmax output is less than or equal to one, $Pr(a_{t,u}|t, u) \leq 1$, and therefore any extension of a path reduces its probability:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Pr}(y_1,\ldots,y_{u+1}|t,u+1) &\leq \mathsf{Pr}(y_1,\ldots,y_u|t,u) \\ \mathsf{Pr}(y_1,\ldots,y_u|t+1,u) &\leq \mathsf{Pr}(y_1,\ldots,y_u|t,u) \end{aligned}$$

| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|------------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | 0000000000 | 000 | ○0● | 00000 | 00 |
| The RN | IN-T Bear | n Search | | | | |

For t in 1 to T:

- Create an empty beam at time t + 1
- While the beam at time t + 1 contains fewer than W sequences that are more probable than the best sequence at time t:
 - Find the best sequence in the beam at time t, i.e., the one with the best Pr(y₁,..., y_u|t, u). Remove it from the beam at time t, and instead, put its rightward step, Pr(y₁,..., y_u|t + 1, u), into the beam at time t + 1.
 - Now compute all of the **upward steps**, $\Pr(y_1, \ldots, y_u, y_{u+1} | t, u+1)$ for all $y_{u+1} \in \mathcal{Y}$, and put them back into the beam at time t. If any of these is larger than the W^{th} -best at time t + 1, then it will cause this loop to trigger again.

| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|------------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | 0000000000 | 000 | 000 | ••••• | 00 |
| Outline | | | | | | |

- 1 The Sequence-to-Sequence Problem
- 2 Components of a Sequence-to-Sequence Network
- **3** RNN-T Alignment Probabilities
- 4 RNN-T Training
- **5** RNN-T Testing
- 6 Discussion: HMM and CTC as special cases of RNNT, RNNT as special case of AED

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

O Summary

| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|-----------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| | | | | | 0000 | |

Hidden Markov Model

An HMM defines two probabilities:

• Transition probability:

$$a_{u,u+1} = \mathsf{Pr}(y_{u+1}|y_u)$$

• Likelihood:

 $b_u(x_t) = \Pr(x_t|y_u)$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|------------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | 0000000000 | 000 | 000 | 00000 | 00 |
| | | | | | | |

Hidden Markov Model

An HMM is a special case of an RNN-T in which the transitions depend only on local context, not global context:

$$\begin{split} y(t,u) &= a_{u,u+1} \\ \varnothing(t,u) &= \\ \begin{cases} a_{u,u}b_u(x_t) \\ \text{if prev. transit. was rightward,} \\ \varnothing(t,u) &= b_u(x_t) \\ \text{if prev. transit. was upward} \end{split}$$

RNN-T also renormalizes at each time step, but actually, so do most practical implementations of HMM.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへの





CTC (Graves et al., 2006) is a special case of RNN-T in which there is no prediction model, only a transcription model. The alignment probabilities are therefore:

$$\Pr(a_{t,u} = k | \mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{softmax}(f_t^k), \quad k \in \mathcal{Y} \cup \{\emptyset\}$$

A D > A P > A B > A B >

| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|-----------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| | | | | | 00000 | |
| | | | | | | |

Attention-Based Encoder-Decoder

An AED model generalizes RNN-T by permitting cross-attention to summarize the input, not just self-attention:

$$\Pr(a_{t,u} = k | \mathbf{x})$$

= softmax $\left(s_u, \sum_t \alpha_{u,t} h_t \right)$

This increases flexibility, but slows both training and testing, makes it more difficult to include a language model or other external information, and makes streaming harder.



Chorowski et al., 2015, https://proceedings.neurips. cc/paper/2015/hash/ 1068c6e4c8051cfd4e9ea8072e3189e html

・ロット (雪) (日) (日) (日)

| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|------------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | 0000000000 | 000 | 000 | 00000 | ●○ |
| Outline | | | | | | |

- 1 The Sequence-to-Sequence Problem
- 2 Components of a Sequence-to-Sequence Network
- **3** RNN-T Alignment Probabilities
- 4 RNN-T Training
- **5** RNN-T Testing
- 6 Discussion: HMM and CTC as special cases of RNNT, RNNT as special case of AED



| Seq2Seq | Components | Alignment | Training | Testing | Discussion | Summary |
|---------|------------|-----------|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| 00000 | 00000000 | 000000000 | 000 | 000 | 00000 | ○● |
| Summa | ry | | | | | |

- RNN-T generalizes both CTC and HMMs.
- Compared to HMM: it takes more data to train, but is more flexible.
- Compared to CTC: The only extra computational cost is the language model, which is usually low-cost compared to the acoustic encoder.
- RNN-T is most useful if you have an external source of information you'd like to use, e.g., a language model, parsing model, pronunciation model, dialog context model, or some other prediction model.
- This lecture was inspired by Desh Raj's blog post about RNN-T papers at Interspeech 2023: https://desh2608. github.io/2023-08-28-interspeech-23-transducers/.