


# Deep Reinforcement Learning

## CS440/ECE448 Lecture 33

Mark Hasegawa-Johnson, 4/2023  
These slides are in the public domain



# Review: Reinforcement Learning

- Markov Decision Process (MDP): Given  $P(s'|s,a)$  and  $R(s)$ , you can solve for  $\pi^*(s)$ , the optimal policy, by finding  $U(s)$ , the value of each state, using either value iteration or policy iteration.
- Model-Based Reinforcement Learning: If  $P(s'|s,a)$  and  $R(s)$  are unknown, you can find for  $\pi(s)$  by using the observation-model-policy loop.
- Model-Free Reinforcement Learning: Instead of learning  $P(s'|s,a)$  and then calculating  $\pi(s)$ , we can directly find the optimum action by learning  $Q(s,a)$ .

# Outline

- Imitation learning: learn the optimal policy by imitating a human
- Deep Q learning: compute  $Q(s,a)$  using a neural network

# Policy Learning

Why can't we just learn a neural net (or even a table lookup) that does this:



# Probabilistic Policy

If we have  $|A|$  possible, actions,  $1 \leq a \leq |A|$ , we could train the network to learn a hidden layer  $h(s)$  so that:

$$\pi_a(s) = \frac{\exp(w_a^T h(s))}{\sum_{k=1}^{|A|} \exp(w_k^T h(s))} = P(A = a | S = s)$$

Meaning “the probability that the best action is a.”

# How do we train it?

- Training data only give us  $(s_i, a_i, s'_i, R_i)$ .
- BAD IDEA: train the network to choose  $A = a_i$  that maximizes the immediate reward,  $R_i$ , and just ignore future rewards.
- GOOD IDEA: Train the network to maximize  $U(s'_i) = \text{sum of all future rewards}$ .
- PROBLEM: we don't know  $U(s'_i)$ .

$(s_1, a_1, s'_1, R_1)$   
 $(s_2, a_2, s'_2, R_2)$   
 $(s_3, a_3, s'_3, R_3)$   
 $(s_4, a_4, s'_4, R_4)$   
 $(s_5, a_5, s'_5, R_5)$   
 $\vdots$

# How to make Policy Learning trainable

1. Actor-Critic RL. We'll come back to this next time.
2. Imitation learning.

# Imitation learning



- In some applications, you cannot bootstrap yourself from random policies
  - High-dimensional state and action spaces where most random trajectories fail miserably
  - Expensive to evaluate policies in the physical world, especially in cases of failure
- **Solution:** learn to imitate sample trajectories or demonstrations
  - This is also helpful when there is no natural reward formulation



# Imitation learning: Discrete actions

- $\vec{s}_t$  = a representation of the state of the environment at time  $t$  (can be a real-valued vector)
- $a_t$  = the action that a human actor performed in response to this state (discrete)
- $f_k(\vec{s}_t) = k^{th}$  element in the softmax output of a neural network, given  $\vec{s}_t$  as the input
- Training criterion: train the neural network in order to minimize


$$\mathcal{L} = -\log f_{a_t}(\vec{s}_t)$$

# Imitation learning: Continuous actions

- $\vec{s}_t$  = a representation of the state of the environment at time  $t$  (can be a real-valued vector)
- $a_t$  = the action that a human actor performed in response to this state (continuous)
- $f(\vec{s}_t)$  = real-valued output of a neural network, given  $\vec{s}_t$  as the input
- Training criterion: train the neural network in order to minimize

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} E[(f(\vec{s}_t) - a_t)^2]$$

# Overview of imitation learning methods



Methods differ in:

- Feature representation: raw pixels/joint angles, or have you already used some other method to learn a deep feature representation?
- Training criterion: classification (discrete actions), or regression (continuous actions)?

Hussein et al. [Imitation Learning: A Survey of Learning Methods](#), 2018.

# Example: Coarse-to-Fine Imitation Learning

Our Method: Training Summary

- 1 Record human demonstration
- 2 Collect self-supervised dataset from ...  
... above object
- 3 ... nearby object



2:03 / 5:28

Edward Johns, [Coarse-to-Fine Imitation Learning: Robot Manipulation from a Single Demonstration](#), 2021.

# Outline

- Imitation learning: learn the optimal policy by imitating a human
- Deep Q learning: compute  $Q(s,a)$  using a neural network

# Review: Q-Learning

- $Q(s,a)$  – the “quality” of an action

$$Q(s, a) = R(s) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a)U(s')$$
$$U(s) = \max_{a \in A(s)} Q(s, a)$$

- Q-learning
- Off-policy learning: TD

$$Q_{local}(s_t, a_t) = R_t(s_t) + \gamma \max_{a' \in A(s_{t+1})} Q_t(s_{t+1}, a')$$
$$Q_{t+1}(s_t, a_t) = Q_t(s_t, a_t) + \alpha(Q_{local}(s_t, a_t) - Q_t(s_t, a_t))$$

- On-policy learning: SARSA


$$a_{t+1} = \pi_t(s_{t+1})$$
$$Q_{local}(s_t, a_t) = R_t(s_t) + \gamma Q_t(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1})$$

# Deep Q learning: Discrete actions


Instead of discrete  $s$ , suppose  $\vec{s}$  is a vector of real numbers, e.g., the image from the robot's eye camera:

$$\vec{s} = [s_1, \dots, s_D] =$$

Deep Q-learning uses a neural network to compute an estimate  $f_a(\vec{s})$  which is as close as possible to  $Q(\vec{s}, a)$ .



Copyright Taito.



# Deep Q learning: Continuous actions


Instead of discrete  $s$ , suppose  $\vec{s}$  is a vector of real numbers, e.g., the image from the robot's eye camera:

$$\vec{s} = [s_1, \dots, s_D] =$$

Instead of discrete  $a$ , suppose  $\vec{a}$  is a vector, e.g., cannon angle and velocity,

$$\vec{a} = [a_1, \dots, a_C]$$

Deep Q-learning uses a neural network to compute an estimate  $f(\vec{s}, \vec{a})$  which is as close as possible to  $Q(\vec{s}, \vec{a})$ .





# MMSE Deep Q learning


Suppose we train the neural network weights in order to minimize the mean-squared error (MMSE):

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} E[(f(\vec{s}, \vec{a}) - Q(\vec{s}, \vec{a}))^2]$$

(where I'm using  $E[\cdot]$  as a lazy way to write “average over all training runs of the game”).


Then, for each weight  $w$ , we update as

$$w \leftarrow w - \eta \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dw}$$



# What makes deep Q learning harder than normal neural network training

- We don't know the true value of  $Q(\vec{s}, \vec{a})$  for any of the training runs!
- $Q(\vec{s}, \vec{a})$  is defined to be the expected value of performing action  $\vec{a}$ . We never know its true expected value: all we know is whether we won or lost that particular game.
- So we can't compute  $\mathcal{L}$ , and we can't compute  $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dw}$ , and we can't update  $w$ !



# The solution: $Q_{local}$


Remember that Q learning was defined as

$$Q_{t+1}(s_t, a_t) = Q_t(s_t, a_t) + \alpha(Q_{local}(s_t, a_t) - Q_t(s_t, a_t))$$

where  $Q_{local}(s_t, a_t)$  is defined, e.g., in TD as

$$Q_{local}(s_t, a_t) = R_t(s_t) + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_t(s_{t+1}, a')$$

...for  $s_{t+1}$  equal to the next state we reach after action  $a_t$  on this particular game.



# The solution: $Q_{local}$

Let's define deep Q learning using the same


$Q_{local}$ :

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} E[(f(\vec{s}_t, \vec{a}_t) - Q_{local}(\vec{s}_t, \vec{a}_t))^2]$$

where  $Q_{local}(\vec{s}_t, \vec{a}_t)$  is:

$$Q_{local}(\vec{s}_t, \vec{a}_t) = R_t(\vec{s}_t) + \gamma \max_{\vec{a}'} f(\vec{s}_{t+1}, \vec{a}')$$

Now we have an L that depends only on things we know ( $f(\vec{s}_t, \vec{a}_t)$ ,  $R_t(\vec{s}_t)$ , and  $f(\vec{s}_{t+1}, \vec{a}')$ ), so it can be calculated, differentiated, and used to update the neural network.



# Quiz

- Try the quiz!


[https://us.prairielearn.com/pl/course\\_instance/129874/assessment/2342328](https://us.prairielearn.com/pl/course_instance/129874/assessment/2342328)

# Dealing with training instability

- Challenges
  - Target values are not fixed
  - Successive experiences are correlated and dependent on the policy
  - Policy may change rapidly with slight changes to parameters, leading to drastic change in data distribution
- Solutions
  - Freeze target Q network
  - Use *experience replay*


# Experience replay

- At each time step:
  - Take action  $\vec{a}_t$  according to epsilon-greedy policy
  - Store experience  $(\vec{s}_t, \vec{a}_t, r_{t+1}, \vec{s}_{t+1})$  in *replay memory buffer*



- Learning:
  - Randomly sample a minibatch,  $\mathcal{D}$ , from the replay buffer.

# Deep Q learning in Atari




Mnih et al. [Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning](#), *Nature* 2015



# Deep Q learning in Atari


- End-to-end learning of  $Q(s,a)$  from pixels  $s$
- Output is  $Q(s,a)$  for 18 joystick/button configurations
- Reward is change in score for that step



Mnih et al. [Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning](#), *Nature* 2015

# Deep Q learning in Atari

- Input state  $s$  is stack of raw pixels from last 4 frames
- Network architecture and hyperparameters fixed for all games



Mnih et al. [Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning](#), *Nature* 2015

# Deep Q learning in Atari



[Deep Q-Learning Playing Atari Breakout](#)

# Summary: Deep RL, Part 1

- Imitation learning: learn the optimal policy by imitating a human

$$\mathcal{L} = -\log f_{a_t}(\vec{s}_t)$$

- Deep Q learning: compute  $Q(s,a)$  using a neural network

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} E[(f(\vec{s}_t, \vec{a}_t) - Q_{local}(\vec{s}_t, \vec{a}_t))^2]$$

$$Q_{local}(\vec{s}_t, \vec{a}_t) = R_t(\vec{s}_t) + \gamma \max_{\vec{a}'} f(\vec{s}_{t+1}, \vec{a}')$$