# CS440/ECE448 Lecture 21: Parameter Learning for Bayesian Networks

By Mark Hasegawa-Johnson, 3/2022

License: CC-BY 4.0

You may redistribute or remix if you cite the source.



## Parameter Learning for Bayesian Networks

- From observed data: Maximum likelihood
- From observed data: Laplace smoothing
- From partially observed data: Expectation maximization

The scenario:

Central Illinois has recently had a problem with flying cows.

Farmers have called the university to complain that their cows flew away.



The university dispatched a team of expert vaccavolatologists. They determined that almost all flying cows were explained by one or both of the following causes:

- <u>Smart cows</u>. The cows learned how to fly, on their own, without help.
- <u>Alien intervention</u>. UFOs taught the cows how to fly.





The vaccavolatologists created a Bayes net, to help them predict any future instances of cow flying:

- P(A) = Probability that aliens teach the cow.
- P(S) = Probability that a cow is smart enough to figure out how to fly on its own.
- P(F|S,A) = Probability that a cow learns to fly.



They went out to watch a nearby pasture for ten days.

- They reported the number of days on which A, S, and/or F occurred.
- Their results are shown in the table at left (True is marked as "T"; False is shown with a blank).

		¥	
Day	Α	S	F
1			
2		Т	Т
3			
4	Т	Т	Т
5	Т		
6			
7	Т		Т
8			
9			Т
10			

The vaccavolatologists now wish to estimate the parameters of their Bayes net

- P(A)
- P(S)
- P(F|S,A)

...so that they will be better able to testify before Congress about the relative dangers of aliens versus smart cows.

Day	А	S	F
1			
2		Т	Т
3			
4	Т	Т	Т
5	Т		
6			
7	Т		Т
8			
9			Т
10			

Suppose we have n training examples,  $1 \le i \le n$ , with known values for each of the random variables:

•  $a_i = T$  or  $a_i = F$ 

• 
$$s_i = T$$
 or  $s_i = F$ 

• 
$$f_i = T$$
 or  $f_i = F$ 

		۰ 	
Day	А	S	F
1			
2		Т	Т
3			
4	Т	Т	Т
5	Т		
6			
7	Т		Т
8			
9			Т
10			

We can estimate model parameters to be the values that maximize the likelihood of the observations, subject to the constraints that

P(A = T) + P(A = F) = 1 P(S = T) + P(S = F) = 1P(F = T|S, A) + P(F = F|S, A) = 1

Day	Α	S	F
1			
2		Т	Т
3			
4	Т	Т	Т
5	Т		
6			
7	Т		Т
8			
9			Т
10			

The maximum likelihood parameters are

$$P(A = T) = \frac{\# \text{ days on which } a_i = T}{\# \text{ days total}}$$

$$P(S = T) = \frac{\text{# days on which } s_i = T}{\text{# days total}}$$

$$P(F = F|s, a) = \frac{\# \text{ days } (A=a, S=s, F=T)}{\# \text{ days } (A=a, S=s)}$$

Day	Α	S	F
1			
2		Т	т
3			
4	Т	Т	т
5	Т		
6			
7	Т		Т
8			
9			Т
10			

The maximum likelihood parameters are

$$P(A = T) = \frac{3}{10}, \qquad P(S = T) = \frac{2}{10}$$

а	S	P(F = T   s, a)
F	F	1/6
F	Т	1
т	F	1/2
Т	Т	1

Day	A	S	F
1			
2		Т	Т
3			
4	Т	Т	Т
5	Т		
6			
7	Т		Т
8			
9			Т
10			

## Conclusions: maximum likelihood estimation

- Smart cows are far more dangerous than aliens.
- Maximum likelihood estimation is very easy to use, IF you have training data in which the values of ALL variables are observed.

## Parameter Learning for Bayesian Networks

- From observed data: Maximum likelihood
- From observed data: Laplace smoothing
- From partially observed data: Expectation maximization

# Laplace smoothing





Laplace smoothing adds an extra count of k to both categories.

Unlike naïve Bayes, we assume that we know the cardinality of each RV in advance, so the denominator uses  $k \times$  the known cardinality (no OOVs).

$$P(A = T) = \frac{(\# \text{ days on which } a_i = T) + k}{(\# \text{ days total}) + 2k}$$
$$P(S = T) = \frac{(\# \text{ days on which } s_i = T) + k}{(\# \text{ days total}) + 2k}$$
$$P(F = F|s, a) = \frac{(\# \text{ days } (A=a,S=s,F=T)) + k}{(\# \text{ days } (A=a,S=s)) + 2k}$$

# Laplace smoothing

Laplace-smoothed parameters:

$$P(A = T) = \frac{3+k}{10+2k},$$
$$P(S = T) = \frac{2+k}{10+2k}$$

а	S	P(F = T   s, a)
F	F	(1+k)/(6+2k)
F	Т	(1+k)/(1+2k)
Т	F	(1+k)/(2+2k)
Т	Т	(1+k)/(1+2k)

S				
	Day	Α	S	F
	1			
	2		Т	т
	3			
	4	Т	Т	Т
	5	Т		
	6			
	7	Т		Т
	8			
	9			т
	10			

## Conclusions: Laplace smoothing

Just like in naïve Bayes:

- Laplace smoothing makes it possible for things to happen in the test data that never happened in the training data. For example, maximum likelihood resulted in P(F = F | S = T, A = T) = 0, but with Laplace smoothing, we smooth that parameter to  $P(F = F | S = T, A = T) = \frac{k}{1+2k}$
- This smoothing improves generalization from training data to test data.

## Conclusions: Laplace smoothing

Unlike naïve Bayes:

• In Bayesian networks, we assume that we know the cardinality of each random variable in advance, so no extra probability mass is kept aside for OOV events.

$$P(X = x | H = h) = \frac{(\text{\# observations of } (H=h, X=x)) + k}{(\text{\# observations of } (H=h)) + k \cdot (\text{\# distinct values of } X)}$$

# Parameter Learning for Bayesian Networks

- From observed data: Maximum likelihood
- From observed data: Laplace smoothing
- From partially observed data: Expectation maximization

## Partially observed data

- Maximum likelihood estimation is very easy to use, IF you have training data in which the values of ALL variables are observed.
- ...but what if some of the variables can't be observed?
- For example: after the 6<sup>th</sup> day, the cows decide to stop responding to written surveys. Therefore, it's impossible to **<u>observe</u>**, on any given day, how smart the cows are. We don't know if  $s_i = T$  or  $s_i = F$ ...

# Partially observed data

Suppose that we have the following observations:

- We know whether A=True or False.
- We know whether F=True or False.
- After the 6<sup>th</sup> day, we don't know whether S is True or False (shown as "?").

A S F				
Day	A	S	F	
1				
2		Т	Т	
3				
4	Т	Т	Т	
5	Т			
6				
7	Т	?	Т	
8		?		
9		?	Т	
10		?		

#### Expectation Maximization (EM): Main idea

Remember that maximum likelihood estimation counts examples:

$$P(F = T | A = a, S = s) = \frac{\# \text{ days } A = a, S = s, F = T}{\# \text{ days } S = s, A = a}$$

Expectation maximization is similar, but using "expected counts" instead of actual counts:

$$P(F = T | A = a, S = s) = \frac{E[\# \text{ days } A = a, S = s, F = T]}{E[\# \text{ days } A = a, S = s]}$$

Where E[X] means "expected value of X".

#### Definition of Expectation

The expected value of a random variable is its weighted average value, with weights equal to the probabilities.

$$E[$$
#days  $A = a, S = s, F = T] = \sum_{i \in \text{Days}} P(A_i = a, S_i = s, F_i = T)$ 

E[#days A = F, S = T, F = T]

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{10} P(A_i = F, S_i = T, F_i = T)$$

A S F			<b>e a se </b>
Day	Α	S	F
1			
2		Т	Т
3			
4	Т	Т	Т
5	Т		
6			
7	Т	?	Т
8		?	
9		?	Т
10		?	

E[#days A = F, S = T, F = T]

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{10} \frac{P(A_i = F, F_i = T) \times}{P(S_i = T | A_i = F, F_i = T)}$$

 $P(A_i = F, F_i = T)$  is either 0 or 1, depending on whether the event certainly occurred (days 2 and 9) or certainly did not occur (every other day).

A S F			
Day	А	S	F
1			
2		Т	Т
3			
4	Т	Т	Т
5	Т		
6			
7	Т	?	Т
8		?	
9		?	Т
10		?	

E[#days A = F, S = T, F = T]

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{10} \frac{P(A_i = F, F_i = T) \times}{P(S_i = T | A_i = F, F_i = T)}$$

- $P(S_i = T | A_i = F, F_i = T) = 1$ on day 2, because the event certainly occurred.
- $P(S_i = T | A_i = F, F_i = T)$  is unknown on day 9

A S F			<b>e a se </b>
Day	А	S	F
1			
2		Т	т
3			
4	Т	Т	Т
5	Т		
6			
7	Т	?	Т
8		?	
9		?	Т
10		?	

E[#days A = F, S = T, F = T]

- $= 1 + P(S_8 = T | A_8 = F, F_8 = T)$
- How can we compute  $P(S_9 = T | A_9 = F, F_9 = T)$ ?
- In order to compute it, we need the model parameters
- The model parameters are the thing we're trying to estimate!

A S F		<u>.</u>	
Day	Α	S	F
1			
2		Т	Т
3			
4	Т	Т	Т
5	Т		
6			
7	Т	?	Т
8		?	
9		?	Т
10		?	

Expectation Maximization (EM) is iterative **INITIALIZE**: guess the model parameters.

**ITERATE** until convergence:

**1.** E-Step: 
$$E[\# \text{ days } S = s, A = a, F = f] = \sum_{i:a_i = a, f_i = f} P(S = s | a, f)$$
  
**2.** M-Step:  $P(F = f | S = s, A = a) = \frac{E[\# \text{ days } S = s, A = a, F = f]}{E[\# \text{ days } S = s, A = a]}$ 

Continue the iteration, shown above, until the model parameters stop changing.





## Example: Initialize

Marilyn Modigliani is a professional vaccavolatologist. She gives us these initial guesses about the possible model parameters (her guesses are probably not quite right, but they are as good a guess as anybody else's):

$$P(A = T) = \frac{1}{4}, \qquad P(S = T) = \frac{1}{4}$$

а	S	P(F = T   s, a)
F	F	0
F	Т	1/2
Т	F	1/2
Т	Т	1

#### E-Step

Based on Marilyn's model, we calculate  $P(S = T | a_i, f_i)$  for each of the missing days, as shown in the table at right.

A S F			
Day	Α	S	F
1			
2		Т	Т
3			
4	Т	Т	Т
5	Т		
6			
7	Т	2/5	Т
8		1/7	
9		1	Т
10		1/7	



E-Step

The expected counts are

$$E[\# \text{ days } S = s, A = a, F = f] = \sum_{i:a_i = a, f_i = f} P(S = s|a, f)$$

AS

а	f	E[# days S = T   a, f]	$\boldsymbol{E}[\#  \boldsymbol{days}  \boldsymbol{S} = \boldsymbol{F}   \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{f}]$
F	F	$0 + 0 + 0 + \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{7} = \frac{2}{7}$	$1 + 1 + 1 + \frac{6}{7} + \frac{6}{7} = \frac{33}{7}$
F	Т	1 + 1 = 2	0+0=0
Т	F	0	1
Т	т	$1 + \frac{2}{5} = \frac{7}{5}$	$0 + \frac{3}{5} = \frac{3}{5}$

а	f	E[#  days  S = T   a, f]	E[#  days  S = F a, f]
F	F	$0 + 0 + 0 + \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{7} = \frac{2}{7}$	$1 + 1 + 1 + \frac{6}{7} + \frac{6}{7} = \frac{33}{7}$
F	Т	1 + 1 = 2	0+0=0
Т	F	0	1
Т	т	$1 + \frac{2}{5} = \frac{7}{5}$	$0 + \frac{3}{5} = \frac{3}{5}$

#### M-Step

Now let's re-estimate the model parameters. For example,

$$P(F = T | S = F, A = F) = \frac{E[\# \text{ days } S = F, A = F, F = T]}{E[\# \text{ days } S = F, A = F]}$$
$$= \frac{0}{\frac{33}{7} + 0} = 0$$

а	f	<b>E</b> [# <b>days S</b>  a, f]	$E[\# days \neg S   a, f]$
F	F	$0 + 0 + 0 + \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{7} = \frac{2}{7}$	$1 + 1 + 1 + \frac{6}{7} + \frac{6}{7} = \frac{33}{7}$
F	Т	1 + 1 = 2	0+0=0
Т	F	0	1
Т	т	$1 + \frac{2}{5} = \frac{7}{5}$	$0 + \frac{3}{5} = \frac{3}{5}$

#### M-Step

Now let's re-estimate the model parameters. For example,

$$P(F = T | S = T, A = F) = \frac{E[\# \text{ days } S = T, A = F, F = T]}{E[\# \text{ days } S = T, A = F]}$$
$$= \frac{\frac{2}{\frac{2}{7}}}{\frac{2}{7}} = \frac{7}{8}$$

#### M-Step

The re-estimated probabilities are

$$P(A = T) = \frac{\# \text{ days } A = T}{\# \text{ days total}} = \frac{3}{10}$$
$$P(S = T) = \frac{E[\# \text{ days } S = T]}{\# \text{ days total}} = \frac{\frac{2}{7} + 2 + 0 + \frac{7}{5}}{10}$$
$$= \frac{\frac{94}{350}}{10}$$





а	S	P(F S = s, A = a)
F	F	$\frac{0}{\frac{33}{7}+0} = 0$
F	Т	$\frac{2}{\frac{2}{7}+2} = \frac{7}{8}$
Т	F	$\frac{3/5}{1+\frac{3}{5}} = \frac{3}{8}$
Т	Т	$\frac{7/5}{0+7/5} = 1$

# Expectation Maximization (EM): review **INITIALIZE**: **guess** the model parameters.

**ITERATE** until convergence:

**1.** E-Step: 
$$E[\# \text{ days } S = s, A = a, F = f] = \sum_{i:a_i = a, f_i = f} P(S = s | a, f)$$
  
**2.** M-Step:  $P(F = f | S = s, A = a) = \frac{E[\# \text{ days } S = s, A = a, F = f]}{E[\# \text{ days } S = s, A = a]}$ 

Continue the iteration, shown above, until the model parameters stop changing.

## Properties of the EM algorithm

- It always converges.
- The parameters it converges to (P(A), P(S), and P(F|A,S)):
  - are guaranteed to be <u>at least as good as</u> your initial guess, but
  - They depend on your initial guess. Different initial guesses may result in different results, after the algorithm converges.
  - For example, Marilyn's initial guess was P(F = T | S = F, A = F) =**0**. Notice that we ended up with the same value! According to the fully observed data we saw earlier, that might not be the best possible parameter for these data.

#### Parameter Learning for Bayesian Networks

• Maximum Likelihood (ML):

$$P(F = T | S = s, A = a) = \frac{\# \text{ days } (A = a, S = s, F = T)}{\# \text{ days } (A = a, S = s)}$$

• Laplace Smoothing:

$$P(F = T | S = s, A = a) = \frac{\# \text{ days } (A = a, S = s, F = T) + k}{\# \text{ days } (A = a, S = s) + 2k}$$

• Expectation Maximization (EM):  $P(F = T | S = s, A = a) = \frac{E[\# \text{ days } A = a, S = s, F = T]}{E[\# \text{ days } A = a, S = s]}$