Lecture 10: Back-Propagation

Mark Hasegawa-Johnson

March 1, 2021

License: CC-BY 4.0. You may remix or redistribute if you cite the source.



- Breaking the constraints of linearity: multi-layer neural nets
- What's inside a multi-layer neural net?
- Forward-propagation example
- Gradient descent
- Finding the derivative: back-propagation

Biological Inspiration: McCulloch-Pitts Artificial Neuron, 1943

Input



- In 1943, McCulloch & Pitts proposed that biological neurons have a nonlinear activation function (a step function) whose input is a weighted linear combination of the currents generated by other neurons.
- They showed lots of examples of mathematical and logical functions that could be computed using networks of simple neurons like this.

Biological Inspiration: Neuronal Circuits

- Even the simplest actions involve more than one neuron, acting in sequence in a neuronal circuit.
- One of the simplest neuronal circuits is a reflex arc, which may contain just two neurons:
 - The <u>sensor neuron</u> detects a stimulus, and communicates an electrical signal to ...
 - The <u>motor neuron</u>, which activates the muscle.



Illustration of a reflex arc: sensor neuron sends a voltage spike to the spinal column, where the resulting current causes a spike in a motor neuron, whose spike activates the muscle.

By MartaAguayo - Own work, CC BY-SA 3.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=39181552

A McCulloch-Pitts Neuron can compute some logical functions...

When the features are binary $(x_j \in \{0,1\})$, many (but not all!) binary functions can be re-written as linear functions. For example, the function

$$\hat{y} = (x_1 \lor x_2)$$

can be re-written as

$$\hat{y} = u(x_1 + x_2 - 0.5)$$

Similarly, the function $\hat{y} = (x_1 \land x_2)$

can be re-written as $\hat{y} = u(x_1 + x_2 - 1.5)$





... but not all.

"A linear classifier cannot learn an XOR function."

 ...but a <u>two-layer neural net</u> can compute an XOR function!



Feature Learning: A way to think about neural nets

For example, consider the XOR problem. Suppose we create two <u>hidden nodes</u>: $h_1(x) = u(0.5 - x_1 - x_2)$ $h_2(x) = u(x_1 + x_2 - 1.5)$

Then the XOR function $\hat{y} = (x_1 \bigoplus x_2)$ is given by

$$\hat{y} = u \big(0.5 - h_1(x) - h_2(x) \big)$$



- Breaking the constraints of linearity: multi-layer neural nets
- What's inside a multi-layer neural net?
- Forward-propagation example
- Gradient descent
- Finding the derivative: back-propagation

Multi-layer neural net

- $e_j^{(l)} =$ <u>excitation</u> of the jth neuron (a.k.a. "node") in the lth layer
 - Computed by adding together inputs from many other neurons, each weighted by a corresponding connection strength or connection weight, $w_{ik}^{(l)}$
- $h_i^{(l)} = \underline{\text{activation}}$ of the jth node in the lth layer
 - This is computed by just passing the excitation through a scalar nonlinear activation function, thus $h_j^{(l)} = g(e_j^{(l)})$. The activation functions in different layers differ, so to be pedantic, sometimes we'll write $h_i^{(l)} = g^{(l)} \left(e_i^{(l)} \right)$.

Multi-layer neural net

- Given: some training token $x = [x_1, ..., x_D, 1]$ and its target label y
- Initialize: $h_k^{(0)} = x_k$
- Forward-propagation: do some magic
- Output: $P(Y = k | x) = h_k^{(L)}$

The magical stuff: layers

• From activation to excitation is a matrix multiply:

$$e_{j}^{(l)} = \sum_{k} w_{jk}^{(l)} h_{k}^{(l-1)}$$

• From excitation to activation is a scalar nonlinearity:

$$\dot{h}_{j}^{(l)} = g^{(l)} \left(e_{j}^{(l)} \right)$$





Logistic Derivative: g'(b)=g(b)(1-g(b)) Logistic: $g(b)=1/(1+e^{-b})$ The "activation function," $g^{(l)}(\cdot)$, can be any scalar 1.5 nonlinearity. For example: 0.5 0.5 (q),6 g(b) **Logistic Sigmoid:** 0 -0.5 -0.5 $\sigma(\beta) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta}}, \qquad \sigma'(\beta) = \sigma(\beta) \big(1 - \sigma(\beta) \big)$ -1 -1.5 -1.5 -2 -2 Tanh: $g(b) = (e^{b} - e^{-b})/(e^{b} + e^{-b})$ Tanh Derivative: g'(b)=(1-g²(b)) 1.5 1.5 <u>Hyperbolic Tangent (tanh):</u> $tanh(\beta) = \frac{e^{\beta} - e^{-\beta}}{e^{\beta} + e^{-\beta}}, tanh'(\beta) = 1 - tanh^{2}(\beta)$ 0.5 0.5 g'(b) g(b) 0 0 -0.5 -0.5 -1 -1 -1.5 -1.5 -2 2 -2 0 0 ReLU: g(b)=max(0,b) Unit Step: g(b)=u(b) 1.5 **Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU):** 0.5 (q)B (q)B -0.5 $\operatorname{ReLU}(\beta) = \max(0, \beta), \quad \operatorname{ReLU}'(\beta) = u(\beta)$ -1 -1 -2 -1.5 -2 -2 0 0

2

2

2

- Breaking the constraints of linearity: multi-layer neural nets
- What's inside a multi-layer neural net?
- Forward-propagation example
- Gradient descent
- Finding the derivative: back-propagation

Example

- Suppose *x* =scalar
- $Y \in \{0,1\}$



Initialize

$$h_1^{(0)} = x, \qquad h_2^{(0)} = 1$$
$$e_j^{(1)} = \sum_k w_{jk}^{(1)} h_k^{(0)}$$



Excitation to Activation:
$$h_j^{(1)} = \text{ReLU}\left(e_j^{(1)}\right)$$



Activation to Excitation:
$$e_j^{(2)} = \sum_k w_{jk}^{(2)} h_k^{(1)}$$







- Breaking the constraints of linearity: multi-layer neural nets
- What's inside a multi-layer neural net?
- Forward-propagation example
- Gradient descent
- Finding the derivative: back-propagation

Gradient descent: basic idea

- Suppose we have a training token, x.
- Its target label is y.
- The neural net produces output \hat{y} , which is not y.
- The difference between y and \hat{y} is summarized by some loss function, $\mathcal{L}(y, \hat{y})$.
- The output of the neural net is determined by some parameters, $w_{ik}^{(l)}$.
- Then we can improve the network by setting:

$$w_{jk}^{(l)} \leftarrow w_{jk}^{(l)} - \eta \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dw_{jk}^{(l)}}$$

Visualizing gradient descent



https://cs.stanford.edu/people/karpathy/convnetjs/demo/classify2d.html

- Breaking the constraints of linearity: multi-layer neural nets
- What's inside a multi-layer neural net?
- Forward-propagation example
- Gradient descent
- Finding the derivative: back-propagation

- OK, how do we find $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dw_{jk}^{(l)}}$?
- Well, the only way in which \mathcal{L} depends on $w_{jk}^{(l)}$ is by way of $e_j^{(l)}$: $e_j^{(l)} = \sum_k w_{jk}^{(l)} h_k^{(l-1)}$. So we could use the chain rule of calculus: $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dw_{jk}^{(l)}} = \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{de_j^{(l)}} \times \frac{de_j^{(l)}}{dw_{jk}^{(l)}} = \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{de_j^{(l)}} h_k^{(l-1)}$
- So we need to forward-propagate from x, to find $h_k^{(l-1)}$
- Then we back-propagate, from y, to find $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{de_i^{(l)}}$
- Then we multiply those two things.

- Well... how do we find $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{de_i^{(l)}}$?
- Well, the only way in which \mathcal{L} depends on $e_j^{(l)}$ is by way of $h_j^{(l)} : h_j^{(l)} = g(e_j^{(l)})$. So we could use the chain rule of calculus:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{de_{j}^{(l)}} = \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dh_{j}^{(l)}} \times \frac{dh_{j}^{(l)}}{de_{j}^{(l)}} = \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dh_{j}^{(l)}}g'(e_{j}^{(l)})$$

- So we need to forward-propagate from x, to find $g(e_j^{(l)})$, and then we look up its derivative in a table, to find $g'(e_j^{(l)})$.
- Then we back-propagate, from y, to find $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dh_i^{(l)}}$
- Then we multiply those two things.

- OK, great! Then how do we find $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dh_i^{(l)}}$?
- Well, the only way in which \mathcal{L} depends on $h_{i+1}^{(l)}$ is by way of all of the different nodes in layer I+1: $e_k^{(l+1)} = \sum_j w_{kj}^{(l+1)} h_j^{(l)}$. So we could use the chain rule of calculus:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dh_{j}^{(l)}} = \sum_{k} \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{de_{k}^{(l+1)}} \times \frac{de_{k}^{(l+1)}}{dh_{j}^{(l)}} = \sum_{k} \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{de_{k}^{(l+1)}} w_{kj}^{(l+1)}$$

- So we back-propagate, from y, to find $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{de_{\nu}^{(l+1)}}$
- Then we multiply each of those by the corresponding weight, $w_{kj}^{(l+1)}$, and add them up.

- Forward propagate, from x, to find $h_k^{(l-1)}$ in each layer
- Back-propagate, from y, to find $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{de_j^{(l)}}$ in each layer
- Multiply them to get $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dw_{jk}^{(l)}}$, then

$$w_{jk}^{(l)} \leftarrow w_{jk}^{(l)} - \eta \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dw_{jk}^{(l)}}$$



Gradient descent

For example, suppose $\mathcal{L} = -\ln P(Y = y|x) = -\ln h_y^{(L)}$, and the nonlinearity is $h_j^{(L)} = \operatorname{softmax}\left(e_j^{(L)}\right)$. Then we have this derivative, from last time:

$$\frac{d\left(-\ln h_{y}^{(L)}\right)}{de_{j}^{(L)}} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\exp\left(e_{j}^{(L)}\right)}{\sum_{k=0}^{V-1}\exp\left(e_{k}^{(L)}\right)} - 1\right) & j = y\\ \left(\frac{\exp\left(e_{j}^{(L)}\right)}{\sum_{k=0}^{V-1}\exp\left(e_{k}^{(L)}\right)} - 0\right) & j \neq y \end{cases}$$

Back-propagation

• Back-propagating excitation back to activation:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dh_k^{(l)}} = \sum_j w_{jk}^{(l+1)} \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{de_j^{(l+1)}}$$

• Back-propagating activation back to excitation:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{de_k^{(l)}} = \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dh_k^{(l)}} g^{(l)\prime} \left(e_k^{(l)} \right)$$

Gradient descent to minimize loss

$$w_{jk}^{(l)} \leftarrow w_{jk}^{(l)} - \eta \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dw_{jk}^{(l)}} = w_{jk}^{(l)} - \eta \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{de_j^{(l)}} h_k^{(l-1)}$$

- Breaking the constraints of linearity: multi-layer neural nets
- What's inside a multi-layer neural net?
- Forward-propagation example
- Gradient descent
- Finding the derivative: back-propagation