1. In the execution in Figure 1, processes send messages to each other to implement causal multicast. To simplify the picture, messages sent by each process to itself are not shown, but assume that such messages are received and delivered instantaneously. For the questions below, you may use printed or hand-drawn figure with hand-drawn responses, or digitally edit the figure from the homework PDF.

(4 points) Identify the messages that are buffered at the processes to ensure causally-ordered multicast delivery (Circle the receive event for the buffered messages to identify those messages.) For each message buffered as above, determine the earliest instant of time at which the message may be delivered, while ensuring causally-ordered multicast. (To identify the instant of time draw an arrow that begins at the time when the message is received to the time at which the message may be delivered.)

2. For each of the statements below, identify whether it is true or false. If it is false, present a counter-example. If it is true, prove why.

(a) (3 points) If the processes in a system use R-multicast (where, upon receiving a message for the first time, they immediately deliver it and re-multicast it to other processes), and each channel follows FIFO order, then causal ordering is satisfied.

(b) (3 points) A FIFO + total ordered multicast is also causal ordered, given that all processes in the system communicate only via the multicast messages, and do not exchange any other messages outside of multicasting.
3. Figure 2 shows three processes P1, P2, and P3 (with ids 1, 2, and 3 respectively) implementing the Ricart-Agrawala (RA) algorithm for mutual exclusion. The lines indicate requests for accessing the critical section (CS) made by each process – blue, green, and red requests are from P1, P2, and P3 respectively. Other than the replies to CS requests (not shown in the figure), no other messages are exchanged between the processes. The timeline indicates real time. Assume that any reply sent for a CS request reaches the requesting process after exactly one (real) time unit. Further assume that any process that enters the CS, spends 3 (real) time units in it.

(4 points) At what (real) time will each process enter its critical section?

4. Consider a run of the Bully algorithm, with 6 processes. Initially all 6 processes are alive and P6 is the leader. Then P6 fails and P3 detects this and initiates the election. Assume no other process fails during the election run, and that there is no processing delay. Also assume that P3 is the only node that knows that P6 has failed (and therefore does not send any messages to it). Other nodes are not aware of P6’s failure. One-way transmission time is \( T = 10ms \) (and timeout values set using the knowledge of \( T \)).

(a) (1 point) When will the election finish?

(b) (5 points) How many total messages will be sent and received by each process in above scenario?
5. Consider a system of five processes \([P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5]\). Each process \(P_i\) proposes a value \(x_i\). Let \(x_1 = 3\), \(x_2 = 10\), \(x_3 = 6\), \(x_4 = 7\), and \(x_5 = 5\).

Each process \(P_k\) must decide on an output variable \(y_k\) (initialized to undecided), setting it to one of the proposed values \(x_i\) for \(i \in [1, 5]\). The safety condition requires that at any point in time, for any two processes \(P_j\) and \(P_k\), either \(y_j\) or \(y_k\) is undecided, or \(y_j = y_k\) (in other words, the decided value must be same across all processes that have decided).

A consensus algorithm is designed for the above problem that works as follows:

- Each process R-multicasts its proposed value at the same time \(t = 0\) ms since start of the system (as per their local clocks).
- As soon as proposed values from all 5 processes are delivered at a process \(P_j\), \(P_j\) sets \(y_j\) to the minimum of the proposed values it received from the five processes.
- If \(y_j\) is still undecided at time \((t + \text{timeout})\), \(P_j\) computes the minimum of the proposed values it has received so far and sets \(y_j\) to that value.
- Once a process \(P_j\) decides on \(y_j\), it does not update \(y_j\)'s value, and ignores future proposals (if any are received).

Assume that all clocks are perfectly synchronized with zero skew with respect to one-another. The proposed value \(x_i\) of a process \(P_i\) gets self-delivered immediately at time \(t = 0\) ms when \(P_i\) begins the multicast of \(x_i\). A message sent from a process to any other process takes exactly \(T = 20\) ms (and this value is known to all processes). All communication channels are reliable. Processes may fail, but a failed process never restarts.

Suppose the timeout value for the above algorithm is set to 50 ms. Answer the following questions with respect to local time at the processes’ clock since the start of the system.

(a) (1 point) Assume no process fails in the system. When will each process decide on a value and what will each of their decided values be?

(b) (1 point) Assume \(P_1\) fails right after unicasting \(x_1\) to \(P_2\) and \(P_3\) but just before it could initiate the unicast of \(x_1\) to any of the other processes. When will each of the alive processes decide on a value and what will each of their decided values be?

(c) (2 points) Assume \(P_1\) fails at right after unicasting \(x_1\) to \(P_2\) but just before it could initiate the unicast of \(x_1\) to any of the other processes. \(P_2\) fails right after it has relayed \(x_1\) to \(P_3\) but just before it unicasts it to any other process. When will each of the alive processes decide on a value and what will each of their decided values be?

(d) (2 points) Assume \(P_5\) fails right before it could unicast \(x_5\) to any process. \(P_1\) fails right after unicasting \(x_1\) to \(P_2\) but just before it could initiate the unicast of \(x_1\) to any of the other processes. \(P_2\) fails right after it has relayed \(x_1\) to \(P_3\) but just before it unicasts it to any other process. When will each of the remaining alive processes decide on a value and what will each of their decided values be?

(e) (2 points) What is the smallest value that the timeout should be set to for ensuring safety in this system?

(f) (2 points) Answer Q5c assuming that the timeout is updated to the value in Q5e.

(g) (2 points) Answer Q5d assuming that the timeout is updated to the value in Q5e.
6. Consider a system of five processes that implement the Paxos algorithm for consensus. As shown in Figure 3, P1 and P2 are proposers. P3, P4, P5 are acceptors. P1 sends a prepare message with proposal number 2 to processes P3 and P5, receives their replies, and sends an accept message with proposed value of 20 for proposal #2. P2 concurrently sends a prepare message with proposal #6, with an initial intention to propose a value of 25 if it receives sufficient replies. Only a subset of responses from processes P3 and P5 are shown in the figure. Assume no other proposals are initiated. Answer the following sub-questions.

(a) (1 point) Which processes will accept P1’s proposal?

(b) (1 point) Which processes will reply back to P2’s prepare message?

(c) (2 points) Will P2 send out an accept message for its proposal #6? If yes, what will be the corresponding proposed value?

(d) (2 points) Consider the state of the system at time 11 units. Has the proposed value 20 been implicitly decided upon at this point? If yes, explain why? If not, construct a possible scenario that may occur after time 11 units where the system ends up deciding on a different proposed value. The scenario would involve a temporal sequence of events that may differ from the one shown in the figure beyond time t=11 units but must comply with what is shown until t=11 units. An event in such a sequence may include a prepare/accept request sent by a proposer or received by an acceptor, or a prepare reply received by the proposer at some time unit.

(e) (1 point) Suppose that P1’s accept request reaches P3 at time 9 units (instead of 15 units). If we now consider the state of the system at time 11 units, has the proposed value of 20 been implicitly decided upon?

(f) (1 point) Suppose that P1’s accept request reaches P5 at time 16 units (instead of 10 units) and reaches P3 at the original time 15 units. Will P2 send out an accept message for its proposal #6? If yes, what will be the corresponding proposed value?