Distributed Systems

CS425/ECE428

02/05/2020

Logistics

- Campus cluster access for MPO:
 - All requests sent by last Friday should have received access.
 - Other requests are getting processed.
 - If you have any specific concerns that have not yet been addressed, please see Prof. Borisov after class.
- Please reach out if you require any DRES related accommodations.

Today's agenda

- Wrap up logical clocks
 - Chapter 14.4
- Global states and snapshots
 - Chapter 14.5

Recap from last class: clock synchronization

- Cristian Algorithm
 - Synchronization between a client and a server.
 - Synchronization bound = $(T_{round} / 2) min \leq T_{round} / 2$
- Berkeley Algorithm
 - Internal synchronization between clocks.
 - A central server picks the average time and disseminates offsets.
- Network Time Protocol
 - Hierarchical time synchronization over the Internet.
 - Symmetric mode synchronization for better accuracy.

Event Ordering

- A usecase of synchronized clocks:
 - Reasoning about order of events.
- Can we reason about order of events without synchronized clocks?

Event Ordering

- Easy to order events within a single process p_i, based on their time of occurrence.
- How do we reason about events across processes?
 - A message must be sent before it gets received at another process.
- These two notions help define *happened-before* (HB) relationship denoted by →.
 - $\mathbf{e} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}'$ means \mathbf{e} happened before \mathbf{e}' .

Happened-Before Relationship

- Happened-before (HB) relationship denoted by \rightarrow .
 - $\mathbf{e} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}$ ' means \mathbf{e} happened before \mathbf{e} '.
 - $\mathbf{e} \rightarrow_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{e}'$ means \mathbf{e} happened before \mathbf{e}' , as observed by $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{i}'}$
- HB rules:
 - If $\exists p_i$, $e \rightarrow_i e'$ then $e \rightarrow e'$.
 - For any message m, **send(m)** → **receive(m)**
 - If $\mathbf{e} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}'$ and $\mathbf{e}' \rightarrow \mathbf{e}''$ then $\mathbf{e} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}''$
- Also called "potentially causal" ordering.

Lamport's Logical Clock

- Logical timestamp for each event that captures the *happened-before* relationship.
- Algorithm: Each process **p**_i
 - I. initializes local clock **L_i = 0**.
 - 2. increments L_i before timestamping each event.
 - 3. piggybacks L_i when sending a message.
 - 4. upon receiving a message with clock value **t**
 - sets $L_i = max(t, L_i)$
 - increments L_i (as per point 2).

Lamport's Logical Clock

- Logical timestamp for each event that captures the *happened-before* relationship.
- If $e \rightarrow e'$ then L(e) < L(e')
- What if **L(e) < L(e')**?
 - We cannot say that $\mathbf{e} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}'$
 - We can say: $\mathbf{e'} \nleftrightarrow \mathbf{e}$
 - Either $\mathbf{e} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}'$ or $\mathbf{e} \mid\mid \mathbf{e}'$

Logical Timestamps: Example



Vector Clocks

- Each event associated with a vector timestamp.
- Each process maintains vector of clocks V_i
 - V_i[j] is the clock for process **p**_j
- Algorithm: each process **p**_i:
 - I. initializes local clock $V_i[j] = 0$
 - 2. increments V_i[i] before timestamping each event.
 - 3. piggybacks V_i when sending a message.
 - 4. upon receiving a message with clock value **t**
 - sets $V_i[j] = max(V_i[j], t[j])$ for all j=1...n.
 - increments **V**_i**[i]** (as per point 2).

Vector Timestamps: Example



Vector Timestamps: Example



Comparing Vector Timestamps

- V = V', iff V[i] = V'[i], for all i = 1, ..., n
- $V \leq V'$, iff $V[i] \leq V'[i]$, for all i = 1, ..., n
- V < V', iff $V \leq V' \& V \neq V'$

iff $V \leq V' \& \exists j$ such that (V[j] < V'[j])

- $e \rightarrow e'$ iff V < V'
 - (V < V' implies $e \rightarrow e'$) and ($e \rightarrow e'$ implies V < V')
- e || e' iff $(V \not< V' \text{ and } V' \not< V)$

Vector Timestamps: Example



Vector Timestamps: Example



Timestamps Summary

- Comparing timestamps across events is useful.
 - Reconciling updates made to an object in a distributed datastore.
 - Rollback recovery during failures:

Checkpoint state of the system; 2. Log events (with timestamps);
 Rollback to checkpoint and replay events in order if system crashes.

• How to compare timestamps across different processes?

- Physical timestamp: requires clock synchronization.
 - Google's Spanner Distributed Database uses "TrueTime".
- Lamport's timestamps: cannot fully differentiate between causal and concurrent ordering of events.
 - Oracle uses "System Change Numbers" based on Lamport's clock.
- Vector timestamps: larger message sizes.
 - Amazon's DynamoDB uses vector clocks.

Timestamps Summary

- Comparing timestamps across events is useful.
 - Reconciling updates made to an object in a distributed datastore.
 - Rollback recovery during failures:

Checkpoint state of the system; 2. Log events (with timestamps);
 Rollback to checkpoint and replay events in order if system crashes.

• How to compare timestamps across different processes?

- Physical timestamp: requires clock synchronization.
 - Google's Spanner Distributed Database uses "TrueTime".
- Lamport's timestamps: cannot fully differentiate between causal and concurrent ordering of events.
 - Oracle uses "System Change Numbers" based on Lamport's clock.
- Vector timestamps: larger message sizes.
 - Amazon's DynamoDB uses vector clocks.

Process, state, events

- Consider a system with **n** processes: $\langle P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots, P_n \rangle$.
- Each process p_i is associated with state **s**_i.
 - State includes values of all local variables, affected files, etc.
- Each channel can also be associated with a state.
 - Which messages are currently *pending* on the channel.
 - Can be computed from process' state:
 - Record when a process sends and receives messages.
 - if p_i sends a message that p_j has not yet received, it is pending on the channel.
- State of a process (or a channel) gets transformed when an event occurs. 3 types of events:
 - local computation, sending a message, receiving a message.

- State of each process (and each channel) in the system at a given instant of time.
- Example:











Capturing a global snapshot

- Useful to capture a global snapshot of the system:
 - Checkpointing the system state.
 - Reasoning about unreferenced objects (for garbage collection).
 - Deadlock detection.
 - Distributed debugging.

Capturing a global snapshot

- Difficult to capture a global snapshot of the system.
- Global state or global snapshot is state of each process (and each channel) in the system at a given *instant of time*.
- Strawman:
 - Each process records its state at 3:15pm.
 - We get the global state of the system at 3:15pm.
 - But precise clock synchronization is difficult to achieve.
- How do we capture global snapshots without precise time synchronization across processes?

- Consider a system with **n** processes: $\langle P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots, P_n \rangle$.
- Each process p_i is associated with state **s_i**.
 - State includes values of all local variables, affected files, etc.
- Each channel can also be associated with a state.
 - Which messages are currently *pending* on the channel.
 - Can be computed from process' state:
 - Record when a process sends and receives messages.
 - if p_i sends a message that p_j has not yet received, it is pending on the channel.
- State of a process (or a channel) gets transformed when an event occurs. 3 types of events:
 - local computation, sending a message, receiving a message.

- Consider a system with **n** processes: $\langle P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots, P_n \rangle$.
- Each process p_i is associated with state s_i .
 - State includes values of all local variables, affected files, etc.
- Each channel can also be associated with a state.
 - Which messages are currently *pending* on the channel.
 - Can be computed from process' state:
 - Record when a process sends and receives messages.
 - if p_i sends a message that p_j has not yet received, it is pending on the channel.
- State of a process (or a channel) gets transformed when an event occurs. eⁱ_i is the jth event at p_i. 3 types of events:
 - local computation, sending a message, receiving a message.

For a process p_i, where events e_i⁰, e_i¹, ... occur: history(p_i) = h_i = <e_i⁰, e_i¹, ... > prefix history(p_i^k) = h_i^k = <e_i⁰, e_i¹, ..., e_i^k > s_i^k : p_i's state immediately after kth event.
For a set of processes <p₁, p₂, p₃, ..., p_n>: global history: H = ∪_i (h_i) global state: S = ∪_i (s_i)

• For a process \mathbf{p}_i , where events $\mathbf{e}_i^0, \mathbf{e}_i^l, \dots$ occur: history(p_i) = $h_i = \langle e_i^0, e_i^1, ... \rangle$ prefix history(p_i^k) = $h_i^k = \langle e_i^0, e_i^1, ..., e_i^k \rangle$ \mathbf{s}_{i}^{k} : \mathbf{p}_{i} 's state immediately after kth event. • For a set of processes $\langle \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n \rangle$: global history: $H = \bigcup_i (h_i)$ global state: $S = \bigcup_i (s_i)$ But state at what time instant?

• For a process \mathbf{p}_i , where events $\mathbf{e}_i^0, \mathbf{e}_i^1, \dots$ occur: history(p_i) = $h_i = \langle e_i^0, e_i^1, ... \rangle$ prefix history(p_i^k) = $h_i^k = \langle e_i^0, e_i^1, ..., e_i^k \rangle$ \mathbf{s}_{i}^{k} : \mathbf{p}_{i} 's state immediately after kth event. • For a set of processes $\langle \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n \rangle$: global history: $H = \bigcup_i (h_i)$ global state: $S = \bigcup_i (s_i^{k_i})$ a cut C \subset H = $h_1^{c_1} \cup h_2^{c_2} \cup \ldots \cup h_n^{c_3}$ the **frontier** of C = $\{e_i^{c_i}, i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ global state S that corresponds to cut C = $\bigcup_i (s_i^{c_i})$

Example: Cut



• For a process \mathbf{p}_i , where events $\mathbf{e}_i^0, \mathbf{e}_i^1, \dots$ occur: history(p_i) = $h_i = \langle e_i^0, e_i^1, ... \rangle$ prefix history(p_i^k) = $h_i^k = \langle e_i^0, e_i^1, ..., e_i^k \rangle$ \mathbf{s}_{i}^{k} : \mathbf{p}_{i} 's state immediately after kth event. • For a set of processes $\langle \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n \rangle$: global history: $H = \bigcup_i (h_i)$ global state: $S = \bigcup_i (s_i^{k_i})$ a cut C \subset H = $h_1^{c_1} \cup h_2^{c_2} \cup \ldots \cup h_n^{c_3}$ the **frontier** of C = $\{e_i^{c_i}, i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ global state S that corresponds to cut C = $\bigcup_i (s_i^{c_i})$

Consistent cuts and snapshots

• A cut **C** is **consistent** if and only if $\forall e \in C \text{ (if } f \rightarrow e \text{ then } f \in C \text{)}$

Example: Cut



$$C_A$$
: < e_1^0 , e_2^0 >
Frontier of C_A : { e_1^0 , e_2^0 }
Inconsistent cut.

 $C_B: < e_1^0, e_1^1, e_1^2, e_2^0, e_2^1 e_2^2 >$ Frontier of $C_B: \{e_1^2, e_2^2\}$ Consistent cut.

Consistent cuts and snapshots

- A cut **C** is **consistent** if and only if $\forall e \in C \text{ (if } f \rightarrow e \text{ then } f \in C \text{)}$
 - A global state **S** is consistent if and only if it corresponds to a consistent cut.

Example: Cut



$$C_A$$
: < e_1^0 , e_2^0 >
Frontier of C_A : { e_1^0 , e_2^0 }
Inconsistent cut.

 $C_B: < e_1^0, e_1^1, e_1^2, e_2^0, e_2^1 e_2^2 >$ Frontier of $C_B: \{e_1^2, e_2^2\}$ Consistent cut.

Consistent cuts and snapshots

- A cut **C** is **consistent** if and only if $\forall e \in C \text{ (if } f \rightarrow e \text{ then } f \in C \text{ (}$
 - A global state **S** is consistent if and only if it corresponds to a consistent cut.
 - How do we find consistent global states?

- Goal:
 - Record a global snapshot
 - Set of process state (and channel state) for a set of processes.
 - The recorded global state is consistent.
- Identifies a consistent cut.
- Records corresponding state locally at each process.

- System model and assumptions:
 - System of **n** processes: **<p**₁, **p**₂, **p**₃, ..., **p**_n**>**.
 - There are two uni-directional communication channels between each ordered process pair : p_i to p_i and p_i to p_i.
 - Communication channels are FIFO-ordered (first in first out).
 - All messages arrive intact, and are not duplicated.
 - No failures: neither channel nor processes fail.
- Requirements:
 - Snapshot should not interfere with normal application actions, and it should not require application to stop sending messages.
 - Any process may initiate algorithm.

- First, initiator **p**_i:
 - records its own state.
 - creates a special marker message.
 - sends the **marker** to all other process.

- When a process receives a marker.
 - records its own state.

- First, initiator **p**_i:
 - records its own state.
 - creates a special marker message.
 - sends the **marker** to all other process.
 - start recording messages received on other channels.
- When a process receives a marker.
 - records its own state.



Cut frontier: $\{e_1^2, e_2^2\}$



Cut frontier: $\{e_1^2, e_2^2\}$

- First, initiator **p**_i:
 - records its own state.
 - creates a special marker message.
 - sends the **marker** to all other process.
 - start recording messages received on other channels.
 - until a marker is received on a channel.
- When a process receives a marker.
 - If marker is received for the first time.
 - records its own state.
 - sends marker on all other channels.
 - start recording messages received on other channels.
 - until a marker is received on a channel.

- First, initiator **p**_i:
 - records its own state.
 - creates a special marker message.
 - for j=1 to n except i
 - **p**_i sends a **marker** message on outgoing channel **c**_{ii}
 - starts recording the incoming messages on each of the incoming channels at p_i: c_{ji} (for j=1 to n except i).

Whenever a process \mathbf{p}_i receives a **marker** message on an incoming channel $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{k}i}$

- if (this is the first marker p_i is seeing)
 - **p**_i records its own state first
 - marks the state of channel **c**_{ki} as "empty"
 - for j=l to n except i
 - **p**_i sends out a marker message on outgoing channel **c**_{ii}
 - starts recording the incoming messages on each of the incoming channels at p_i: c_{ji} (for j=1 to n except i and k).
- else // already seen a **marker** message
 - mark the state of channel c_{ki} as all the messages that have arrived on it since recording was turned on for c_{ki}

The algorithm terminates when

- All processes have received a marker
 - To record their own state
- All processes have received a **marker** on all the (*n*-1) incoming channels
 - To record the state of all channels

Summary

- The ability to calculate global snapshots in a distributed system is very important.
- But don't want to interrupt running distributed application.
- Chandy-Lamport algorithm calculates global snapshot.
- Obeys causality (creates a consistent cut).