Bitcoin and Nakamoto Consensus

Distributed Systems, Spring 2020

Nikita Borisov

Topics for Today

- Replicated State Machines and Log Consensus
- Bitcoin
 - Consensus approach
 - Transaction broadcast
- MP2 overview

Announcements

- Midterm grades are out: med 52, mean 52.7, STD 6.73 (out of 70)
 - Regrades are due by 11pm on Mar 25th
 - Solution will be released today/tomorrow
- MP2 out today
 - Due on April 13
- HW3 extended till Monday 16
- HW4 out Friday, due April 2
 - No extensions
- Midterm 2 on April 6

State Machine

• A process with some *state* that responds to *events*



Banks

- State: account balances
 - Alice: \$100
 - Bob: \$200
 - Charlie: \$50

- Events: transactions
 - Alice pays Bob \$20
 - Charlie pays Alice \$50
 - Charlie pays Bob \$50

Databases (e.g., enrollment)

- State: database tables
 - Classes:
 - Alice: CS425, CS438
 - Bob: CS425, CS411
 - Charlie: ECE428, ECE445
 - Rooms:
 - CS425: DCL1320
 - ECE445: ECEB3013

- Events: transactions
 - Alice drops CS425
 - Bob switches to 3 credits
 - Charlie signs up for CS438
 - ECE445 moves to ECEB1013

Filesystems

- State: all files on the system
 - Midterm.tex
 - HW2-solutions.tex
 - Assignments.html

- Events: updates
 - Save midterm solutions to midterm-solutions.tex
 - Append MP2 to Assignments.html
 - Delete exam-draft.tex

State machines

• State: complete state of a program

- Events: messages received
- Assumption: all state machines deterministic

Replicated state machines



Replicated State Machines

- A state machine can fail, taking the state with it
- Replicate for
 - Availability can continue operation even if one SM fails
 - Durability data is not lost
- Must ensure:
 - Consistency!

Consistency



Consistency Requirement

All state machines must process

- The same set of events
 - R-multicast
- In the same order
 - Total ordering

Other requirements

- Same initial state
- Deterministic execution

Log Consensus

- Reliable, totally-ordered multicast == Consensus
- TO multicast can implement consensus (how?)
- Consensus can implement TO multicast (how?)
- Event ordering / log consensus: main application of consensus protocols!

Bitcoin

- Implement a distributed, replicated state machine that maintains an account ledger (= bank)
- Scale to thousands of replicas distributed across the world
- Allow old replicas to fail, new replicas to join seamlessly
- Withstand various types of attacks

Approaches that don't work

- Totally ordered multicast (e.g., ISIS)
 - Quadratic communication overhead
 - Do not know who all replicas are a priori
- Leader election (e.g., Bully)
 - Quadratic communication overhead
 - Do not know who all replicas are a priori
 - Nodes with highest IDs are leaders =>
 - Bottleneck
 - Security

Lottery Leader Election

- Every node chooses a random number
- Leader = closest to 0

Hash Functions

- Cryptographic hash function: H(x) -> { 0, 1, ..., 2²⁵⁶-1}
- Hard to *invert*:
 - Given y, find x such that H(x) = y
- E.g., SHA256, SHA3, ...
- Every node picks random number x and computes H(x)
- Node with H(x) closest to 0 wins

Using a seed

- Every node picks x, computes H(seed || x)
 - Closest to 0 wins

What to use as a seed?

- Hash of:
 - Previous log
 - Node identifier
 - New messages to add to log
- Two remaining problems:
 - How to find closest to 0?
 - How to prevent nodes from trying multiple random numbers?

Iterated Hashing / Proof of work

- Repeat:
 - Pick random x, compute y = H(seed || x)
 - If y < T, you win!
- Set threshold T so that on average, one winner every few minutes
- E.g.:
 - 1000 nodes
 - 10^12 hash/second
 - Target interval: 10 minutes
 - T = ?
- Given a solution, x such that H(seed || x) < T, anyone can verify the solution in constant time (microseconds)

Block



Chaining

- Each block's puzzle depends on the previous one
 - $L_n \rightarrow L_{n-1} \rightarrow ... \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_0$
 - To add m blocks, must solve m puzzles
- Longest chain wins



Chain evolution



2019-03-12

Nikita Borisov - UIUC

Incentives for Logging

- Security better if more people participated in logging
- Incentivize users to log *others'* transactions
 - Transaction fees: pay me x% to log your data
 - Mining reward: each block *creates* bitcoins
 - Replace "Alice minted x" entries with "Alice logged line $L_{n}^{\,\,\prime\prime}$
- Payment protocol:
 - Alice->Bob: here's coin x
 - *Broadcast* to everyone: Alice transfers x to Bob
 - Bob: wait until transfer appears in a new log line
 - Optionally wait until a few more lines follow it

Putting it all together



Account	Balance
Alice	39 BTC
Bob	60 BTC
Carol	51 BTC

Logging Speed

- How to set T?
 - Too short: wasted effort due to broadcast delays & chain splits
 - Too long: slows down transactions
- Periodically adjust difficulty T such that one block gets added every 10 minutes
 - Determined algorithmically based on timestamps of previous log entries
- Current difficulty
 - 7 * 10²² =~ 2⁷⁶ hashes to win
- Large number of participants: hard to revise history!

Bitcoin broadcast

- Need to broadcast:
 - Transactions to all nodes, so they can be included in a block
 - New blocks to all nodes, so that they can switch to longest chain
- Why not R-multicast?
 - Have to send O(N) messages
 - Have to *know* which nodes to send to

Gossip / Viral propagation

- Each node connects to a small set of *neighbors*
 - 10–100
- Nodes propagate transactions and blocks to neighbors
- Push method: when you hear a new tx/block, resend them to all (some) of your neighbors (flooding)
- Pull method: periodically poll neighbors for list of blocks/tx's, then request any you are missing



Pull propagation

What transactions do you know?



Maintaining Neighbors

- A seed service
 - Gives out a list of random or wellconnected nodes
 - E.g., seed.bitnodes.io
- Neighbor discovery
 - Ask neighbors about *their* neighbors
 - Randomly connect to some of them



Bitcoin summary

Foundations:

- Unreliable broadcast using gossip
- Probabilistic "leader" election for mining blocks (tx ordering)
- Longest chain rule to ensure long-term consistency / security

Compared with Paxos/Raft:

- Scales to thousands of participants, dynamic groups
- Tens of minutes to successfully log a transaction (vs. milliseconds)