ECE 398GG Electric Vehicles Quiz 3 FALL 2022 Thursday, March 24, 10:30 a.m. 20 minutes

name:

last 4 digits of the UIN:

Problem 1: 120 points

This problem requires you to either **prove** or **disprove** the statement in the last sentence of each part of this problem. You must **show** all your work and **state with justification** any assumptions you wish to introduce. Each of the three parts is worth **40 points**.

According to the EPA, the 2021 GM Bolt has a fuel efficiency of 29 kWh/100 mi.
The corresponding fuel efficiency figure of merit in mpge (miles per gallon equivalent) is above 115 mpge.

The *mpge* evaluation requires the *EPA* value of 33.705 *kWh* of energy contained in each gasoline *gal* of fuel. It follows that $[33.705 \ kWh/gal] / [29 \ kWh/100 \ mi] = 116.2 \ mpge > 115 \ mpge$

- b. Consider the use in 2020 in the Midwest of an *EV* with 25 *kWh*/100 *mi* fuel efficiency. The *EV* is charged with the electricity of the region with CO_2 total output emission rate of 984.98 *lb/MWh*, which is above the national average of 818.29 *lb/MWh*. The *EV*'s tailpipe emissions exceed 100 *g/mi*. 818.29 *lb/MWh* is equivalent to 371 *g/kWh* and so 984.98 *lb/MWh* is equivalent to 371 *g/kWh* x 984.98/818.29 = 447 *g/kWh*. The multiplication of 447 *g/kWh* by 25 *kWh*/100 *mi* results in 112 *g/mi* > 100 *g/mi*.
- c. A possible replacement for fossil fuels is biomass, which can be burned in a steam generation plant. One such plant can convert each 1 kg of biomass into 1 kWh of electricity. We consider an EV, whose consumption is 25 kWh/100 mi, charged at a charger connected to the distribution grid with the electricity generated by the biomass steam plant. For an input of 20 kg of biomass into the plant, the EV can travel less than 25 mi. You may use in your proof the assumed efficiency values in the w-t-w analysis in the handouts.

Incorrect: 20 kg biomass x 1 kWh/1 kg biomass x 0.95 x 0.85 x 100 / 25 kWh = 64.6 mi > 25 mi

grid charging

I will accept the explicit inclusion of the efficiencies of:

- (*i*) AC-DC conversion efficiency of 0.95
- (*ii*) DC-AC conversion efficiency of 0.95
- (*iii*)*Battery charge-discharge efficiency* of $0.94 = \rightarrow$ charging efficiency of 0.85
- *(iv)* drivetrain -motor efficiency of 0.95

even with a higher efficiency above 0.85 for the charging.

Problem 2: 80 points

For the statements below, **circle** each correct statement. To receive full marks for each answer, we not only discourage guesses, but you **must** provide a justification of why you chose to circle or not circle each statement.

- We use the *EPA* fuel efficiency ratings in the *w*-*t*-*w* analysis for both the *ICEV*s and the *EV*s.
- **Solution:** Correct statement since all manufacturers must submit the required data from which the fuel efficiency figures in *mpg*, *mpge* or *kWh*/100 *mi* are determined.
 - An *EV* which is charged by CO_2 -free electricity generated by renewable wind and solar resources and whose batteries are manufactured by CO_2 -free electricity has life cycle emissions of 0 g/mi.
- **Solution:** Correct statement since the electricity generation has no CO_2 emissions and the battery manufacture had no emissions. However, if someone mentions the emissions in the manufacture of solar panels or wind farm components, their transportation and their installation, I am also accepting that answer.
 - The lower the electricity consumption in units of *kWh*/100 *mi*, the lower is the fuel efficiency in units of *mpge* (miles per gallon equivalent).
 - **Solution:** Incorrect, exactly the opposite is the case: consider the case with two different fuel efficiencies with $FE_1 < FE_2$. To restate the efficiencies in units of *mpge*, we need to bring in the fact that each gasoline *gal* contains 33.705 *kWh* of energy. Then, it follows from the *mpge* definition that
 - [33.705 *kWh/gal*] / [FE₁ *kWh/*100 *mi*] > [33.705 *kWh/gal*] / [FE₁ *kWh/*100 *mi*] because FE₁ < FE₂.

- The *w*-*t*-*w* analysis for an *ICEV* and an *EV* are identical and the decomposition is carried out into the same two components.
- **Solution:** Incorrect, because in *EV* analysis, there is no well *per se*, there is no pump and the tank becomes the battery. The *ICEV* parts of *well-to-pump* and *pump-to-wheels* are replaced by *well-to-battery* and *battery-to-wheels*.
 - The resource generation mix of the electricity where a vehicle is used impacts equally the efficiency and emissions analysis of both the *ICEV*s and the *EV*s.
- **Solution:** Incorrect, because the resource generation mix of the electricity impacts the *EV* analysis, but has no impact on the *ICEV* analysis, as electricity is not involved in the frictional losses.