1. (5 points) In electrostatics, we generate a curl-free vector field $\mathbf{E}(x, y, z)$ if we take the gradient of a scalar function $V(x, y, z)$. Therefore, $\nabla \times \mathbf{E}=\nabla \times(-\nabla V)=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} & =\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{z} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\
z & -y & x
\end{array}\right| \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

2. (5 points) Following the logic from last question,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}=-\nabla V & =-\nabla V \\
& =-\nabla\left(\sin (x) e^{-y} z^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(-\cos (x) e^{-y} z^{2}, \sin (x) e^{-y} z^{2},-2 \sin (x) e^{-y} z\right) \frac{V}{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

We use the differential form of Gauss's Law for calculating the static charge density,

$$
\rho=\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}=-2 \epsilon_{o} \sin (x) e^{-y}
$$

The close loop line integral of a vector field $\mathbf{E}(x, y, z)$ generated from a scalar potential,

$$
\int_{P}^{P} \mathbf{E} \cdot d \mathbf{l}=\int_{P}^{P}-\nabla V \cdot d \mathbf{l}=V(P)-V(P)=0
$$

Hence, it is conservative.
3. (10 points) The electrostatic potential $V$ at any point $P=(x, y, z)$ can be calculated by performing a vector line integral by using the path shown in the below figure.


Therefore, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(P)-V(0) & =-\int_{0}^{P} \mathbf{E} \cdot d \mathbf{l} \\
& =-\int_{0}^{x} E_{x}(x, 0,0) d x-\int_{0}^{y} E_{y}(x, y, 0) d y-\int_{0}^{z} E_{z}(x, y, z) d z \\
& =-1-2 \sin (3) \mathrm{V} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Given that $V(0)=3 \mathrm{~V}$, the electrostatic potential at $P=(1,2,3)$ is $V(1,2,3)=2-2 \sin (3)[\mathrm{V}]$.
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4. (a) (6 points) The triangular path defined in the problem is sketched in the figure below.


Referring to the hint given in the problem, we can write

$$
\oint_{C} \mathbf{E} \cdot d \mathbf{l}=\int_{l_{1}} \mathbf{E}(x,-1,0) \cdot d \mathbf{l}_{1}+\int_{l_{2}} \mathbf{E}(x, x, 0) \cdot d \mathbf{l}_{2}+\int_{l_{3}} \mathbf{E}(1, y, 0) \cdot d \mathbf{l}_{3} .
$$

Evaluating this equation for the field $\mathbf{E}$ with the + sign, we obtain

$$
\oint_{C} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}} \cdot d \mathbf{l}=\int_{1}^{-1}(-\hat{x}+\hat{y} x) \cdot(\hat{x}) d x+\int_{-1}^{1}(\hat{x} x+\hat{y} x) \cdot(\hat{x}+\hat{y}) d x+\int_{1}^{-1}(\hat{x} y+\hat{y}) \cdot(\hat{y}) d y=0[\mathrm{~V}] .
$$

Likewise, we have

$$
\oint_{C} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{2}} \cdot d \mathbf{l}=\int_{1}^{-1}(-\hat{x}-\hat{y} x) \cdot(\hat{x}) d x+\int_{-1}^{1}(\hat{x} x-\hat{y} x) \cdot(\hat{x}+\hat{y}) d x+\int_{1}^{-1}(\hat{x} y-\hat{y}) \cdot(\hat{y}) d y=4[\mathrm{~V}] .
$$

for the field $\mathbf{E}$ with the - sign.
(b) (4 points) Evaluating $\nabla \times \mathbf{E}$ for both the fields, first $\mathbf{E}$ with the + sign,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}} & =\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{z} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\
y & x & 0
\end{array}\right| \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

and the $-\operatorname{sign} \mathbf{E}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{2}} & =\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{z} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\
y & -x & 0
\end{array}\right| \\
& =-2 \hat{z}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Using Stokes' equation,

$$
\int_{S}\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}}\right) \cdot d \mathbf{S}=0
$$

and similarly,

$$
\int_{S}\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{2}}\right) \cdot d \mathbf{S}=2 \times \text { Area }=4 .
$$

The result confirms the calculations carried out in part (a).
5. (5 points) Using vector line integral equation from question 3, the voltage drop is given by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(2)-V(-2) & =-\int_{-2}^{2} \mathbf{E} \cdot d \mathbf{l} \\
& =4 \int_{-2}^{2} d z \\
& =16[\mathrm{~V}]
\end{aligned}
$$

6. (a) (3 points) Using formula for static charge sheet from Lecture 3,

$$
\mathbf{D}= \begin{cases}\hat{z} \frac{\rho_{0}}{2}, & \text { for } z>0 \\ -\hat{z} \frac{\rho_{0}}{2}, & \text { for } z<0\end{cases}
$$

(b) (2 points) With the substitutions,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{D}_{1}=\hat{a}_{n} \frac{\rho_{0}}{2}\left[\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right] \\
& \mathbf{D}_{2}=-\hat{a}_{n} \frac{\rho_{0}}{2}\left[\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

from which it is easy to see that $\hat{a}_{n} \cdot\left(\mathbf{D}_{1}-\mathbf{D}_{2}\right)=\rho_{0}$.
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7. (a) (2 points) We use the boundary condition outlined in question 6(b),

$$
\rho_{0}^{\prime}=\hat{y} \cdot\left(\left.\mathbf{D}\right|_{y=2^{+}}-\left.\mathbf{D}\right|_{y=2^{-}}\right)=-1\left[\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right] .
$$

(b) (2 points) Since, there is no static charge distribution dependence in $z$, the field component in $\hat{z}$ will be equal across the $y=2$ static charge sheet. Therefore,

$$
\mathbf{D}(0, y, 0)=\hat{y}-2 \hat{z}\left[\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right] \text { for } y>2
$$

(c) (2 points) The y component of the field is given by

$$
\left.\rho\right|_{y=0}=\hat{y} \cdot\left(\left.\mathbf{D}\right|_{y=0^{+}}-\left.\mathbf{D}\right|_{y=0^{-}}\right),
$$

which results in

$$
\left.\mathbf{D}\right|_{y=0^{-}}=-1\left[\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right]
$$

And since the $\hat{z}$ component is unchanged, we have

$$
\mathbf{D}(0, y, 0)=-\hat{y}-\hat{z} 2\left[\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right] \text { for } y<0
$$

(d) (4 points) Possible volumetric charge densities for infinite charge sheets can be either $-4 \delta(z+30)\left[\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{m}^{3}}\right]$ or $4 \delta(z-30)\left[\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{m}^{3}}\right]$.
8. (10 points) The given scalar field is $f=x+y z$ and the given vector field $\mathbf{A}=(x+z) y \hat{x}+(x-z) \hat{z}$. The proof of the first identity $\nabla \times \nabla f=0$ is given below. For

$$
\nabla f=(1, z, y)
$$

taking curl,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times \nabla f & =\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{z} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\
1 & z & y
\end{array}\right| \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, L.H.S = R.H.S.
The second identity $\nabla \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{A}=0$ is proved below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times \mathbf{A} & =\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{z} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\
(x+z) y & 0 & x-z
\end{array}\right| \\
& =(0, y-1,-x-z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking divergence,

$$
\nabla \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{A}=1-1=0
$$

L.H.S=R.H.S.

The third identity is $\nabla \times(\nabla \times \mathbf{A})=\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A})-\nabla^{2} \mathbf{A}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times(\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) & =\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{z} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\
0 & y-1 & -x-z
\end{array}\right| \\
& =(0,1,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Evaluating R.H.S,

$$
\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A})=\nabla(y-1)=\hat{y}
$$

and

$$
\nabla^{2} \mathbf{A}=0
$$

We see that L.H.S $=$ R.H.S $=\hat{y}$.
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