1. (a) (3 points) For the wave described by $\mathbf{E}_1 = \cos(\omega t - \beta z + \frac{\pi}{4})\hat{x}\,\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{m}}$,
   i. the corresponding phasors are given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_1 = \hat{x}e^{-j\beta z}e^{j\frac{\pi}{4}}\,\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{m}}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_1 = \hat{y}\frac{1}{\eta_o}e^{-j\beta z}e^{j\frac{\pi}{4}}\,\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{m}}.$$

   ii. It is **linearly polarized** in $\hat{x}$-direction.

   (b) (3 points) For the wave described by $\mathbf{H}_2 = 2\cos(\omega t + \beta z + \frac{\pi}{3})\hat{x} + 2\sin(\omega t + \beta z + \frac{5\pi}{6})\hat{y}\,\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{m}}$,
   i. the corresponding phasors are given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_2 = \hat{x}2e^{j\beta z}e^{j\frac{\pi}{3}} + \hat{y}2e^{j\beta z}e^{j(-\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{5\pi}{6})} = 2e^{j(\beta z+\frac{\pi}{3})}\left(\hat{x}+\hat{y}\right)\,\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{m}}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_3 = 2\eta_o e^{j(\beta z+\frac{\pi}{3})}\left(-\hat{x}+\hat{y}\right)\,\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{m}}.$$

   ii. Thus the wave is **linearly polarized** in $\frac{-\hat{x}+\hat{y}}{\sqrt{2}}$ direction.

   (c) (3 points) For the wave described by $\mathbf{E}_3 = 4\cos(\omega t - \beta x)\hat{z} - 3\sin(\omega t - \beta x)\hat{y}\,\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{m}}$,
   i. the corresponding phasors are given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_3 = \hat{z}4e^{-j\beta x} + \hat{y}3je^{-j\beta x} = e^{-j\beta x}\left(4\hat{z}+3j\hat{y}\right)\,\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{m}}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_4 = \frac{1}{\eta_o}e^{-j\beta x}\left(-4\hat{y}+3j\hat{z}\right)\,\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{m}}.$$

   ii. Since the two components have different magnitudes, the wave is **elliptical** polarized.

   (d) (3 points) For the wave described by $\mathbf{E}_4 = 5\cos(\omega t - \beta y)\hat{x} + 5\sin(\omega t - \beta y)\hat{z}\,\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{m}}$,
   i. the corresponding phasors are given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_4 = \hat{x}5e^{-j\beta y} - \hat{z}5je^{-j\beta y} = 5e^{-j\beta y}\left(\hat{x}-j\hat{z}\right)\,\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{m}}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_4 = \frac{5}{\eta_o}e^{-j\beta y}\left(-\hat{z}-j\hat{x}\right)\,\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{m}}.$$

   ii. Given that the wave propagates along $\hat{y}$ direction, it is seen that the wave is **left-hand-circularly** polarized.

   (e) (3 points) For the wave described by $\mathbf{H}_5 = 2\sin(\omega t + \beta y)\hat{x} - 2\sin(\omega t + \beta y - \frac{\pi}{4})\hat{z}\,\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{m}}$,
   i. the corresponding phasors are given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_5 = -\hat{x}2je^{j\beta y} + \hat{z}2je^{j\beta y - j\frac{\pi}{4}} = 2je^{j\beta y}\left(-\hat{x}+e^{-j\frac{\pi}{4}}\hat{z}\right)\,\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{m}}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_5 = 2\eta_o je^{j\beta y}\left(\hat{z}+e^{-j\frac{\pi}{4}}\hat{x}\right)\,\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{m}}$$

   ii. Since the phase angle between $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{z}$ components of $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_5$ is $\frac{\pi}{4}$, not an integer multiple of $\frac{\pi}{2}$, it is seen that the wave is **elliptical** polarized.

© Yang Zhao - Copying, publishing or distributing without written permission is prohibited.

2. (5 points) From Faraday's Law,

$$\nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{E}} = -j\omega\mu\tilde{H}$$

$$\nabla \times \begin{vmatrix} \hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{z} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ E_0 e^{-\gamma z} & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = \hat{y}\,\frac{\partial E_0 e^{-\gamma z}}{\partial z} = -\hat{y}\,\gamma E_0 e^{-\gamma z} = -j\omega\mu\tilde{H}$$

So we have

$$\tilde{H} = \hat{y}\frac{\gamma}{j\omega\mu}E_0 e^{-\gamma z}$$

If we still want $|\tilde{\mathbf{E}}| = |\eta||\tilde{H}|$,then

$$|\eta| = |\frac{j\omega\mu}{\gamma}|$$

© Yang Zhao - Copying, publishing or distributing without written permission is prohibited.

3. (a) (3 points) We have

$$\gamma^2 = j\omega\mu(j\omega\epsilon + \sigma) = (j\omega\mu \times j\omega\epsilon) \times (1 + \frac{\sigma}{j\omega\epsilon}) = -\omega^2\mu\epsilon(1 - j\frac{\sigma}{\omega\epsilon})$$

if $\frac{\sigma}{\omega\epsilon} \gg 1$, then $\gamma^2 \approx -\omega^2\mu\epsilon \times -j\frac{\sigma}{\omega\epsilon} = j\omega\mu\sigma$. On the other hand, we have

$$\gamma^2 = (\alpha + j\beta)^2 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2 + 2j\alpha\beta$$

Comparing the above two equations, we have

$$\alpha^2 = \beta^2,\ \alpha = \beta = \sqrt{\frac{\omega\mu\sigma}{2}}$$

(b) (3 points) For $\sigma = 0.1\,\mathrm{S}$, $f = 20\,\mathrm{MHz}$, we have $\frac{\sigma}{\omega\epsilon} = 89.91 \gg 1$, so we have

$$\beta = \sqrt{\pi f\mu\sigma} = 2.81\,\mathrm{m}^{-1}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{\beta} = 2.23\,\mathrm{m}$$

$$v_p = \frac{\lambda}{T} = 4.46 \times 10^7\,\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{s}}$$

(c) (1 point) η can be find by

$$|\eta| = \sqrt{\frac{\omega\mu}{\sigma}} = 39.79\,\Omega$$

$$\eta = 39.79e^{j\frac{\pi}{4}}\,\Omega$$

(d) (2 points) We know that $\alpha = \beta = 2.81\frac{1}{m}$, we have

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{z} \times \tilde{J}e^{-\gamma z} = -\hat{y}\frac{1}{2}e^{-2.81z}e^{-j2.81z+j\pi}\,\frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{m}}$$

$$\tilde{E} = \eta\tilde{H} \times \hat{z} = -\hat{x}\frac{39.79}{2}e^{-2.81z}e^{-j2.81z+j\frac{5\pi}{4}}\,\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{m}}$$

(e) (1 point) The location is given by

$$z = \delta = \frac{1}{\alpha} = 0.36\,\mathrm{m}$$

© Yang Zhao - Copying, publishing or distributing without written permission is prohibited.

4.

$$\tilde{E} = Ae^{-j\beta x}\hat{y} + Be^{-j\beta x}e^{-j\frac{\pi}{2}}\hat{z}$$

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{\eta_o}(Ae^{-j\beta x}\hat{y} + Be^{-j\beta x}e^{-j\frac{\pi}{2}}\hat{z})$$

$$< \mathbf{S} >= \frac{1}{2}Re(\tilde{\mathbf{E}} \times \tilde{H}*)$$

(a) (3 points) The time-averaged Poynting vector:

$$< \mathbf{S} >= \frac{1}{2\eta_0}[A^2 + B^2]\hat{x}$$

If $A = B = 1/\sqrt{2}\, V/m$,

$$< \mathbf{S} >= \frac{1}{2\eta_0}\hat{x}\,[\mathrm{W/m^2}]$$

(b) (1 point) For $A = 1$ and $B = 0$,

$$< \mathbf{S} >= \frac{1}{2\eta_0}\hat{x}\,[\mathrm{W/m^2}]$$

(c) (1 point) The total time-averaged poynting vector is the sum of the two field components' time-averaged poynting vectors. So for linearly and circularly polarized TEM waves having equal instantaneous peak electric field magnitudes, the ratio of $< S >$ would be 1:2.

© Yang Zhao - Copying, publishing or distributing without written permission is prohibited.

5. (10 points) When a wave is incident on a boundary between two different media, a reflected wave is produced. In addition, if the second medium is not a perfect conductor, a transmitted wave is set up. Together, these waves satisfy the boundary conditions at the interface of the two media. We shall assume that a (+) wave is incident from medium 1 ($z < 0$) onto the interface, thereby setting up a reflected ($-$) wave in that medium, and a transmitted wave in medium 2 ($z > 0$). Then we can write the solution for the complex field components in medium 1 to be

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{1x} = E_1^+ e^{-j\beta_1 z}\hat{x} + E_1^- e^{j\beta_1 z}\hat{x}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{1y} &= H_1^+ e^{-j\beta_1 z}\hat{y} + H_1^- e^{j\beta_1 z}\hat{y} \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta_1}(E_1^+ e^{-j\beta_1 z} - E_1^- e^{j\beta_1 z})\hat{y}\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_1 = \frac{\omega}{v_1} = \omega\sqrt{\mu_1\epsilon_1}$ and $\eta_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_1}{\epsilon_1}}$. The complex field components in medium 2 are given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{2x} = E_2^+ e^{-j\beta_2 z}\hat{x}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{2y} &= H_2^+ e^{-j\beta_2 z}\hat{y} \\ &= \frac{E_2^+ e^{-j\beta_2 z}}{\eta_2}\hat{y}\end{aligned}$$

and $\beta_2 = \frac{\omega}{v_2} = \omega\sqrt{\mu_2\epsilon_2}$ and $\eta_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_2}{\epsilon_2}}$.
To satisfy the boundary conditions at $z = 0$, we note that both electric and magnetic fields are tangential to the surface and no current exists on the surface. Hence, we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{1x}(z=0) = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{2x}(z=0)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{1y}(z=0) = \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{2y}(z=0)$$

Applying these to the solution pairs,

$$E_1^+ + E_1^- = E_2^+$$

$$\frac{1}{\eta_1}(E_1^+ - E_1^-) = \frac{1}{\eta_2}E_2^+$$

The E component of the incident wave is given by $E(z,t) = A_1\cos(\omega t - \beta_1 z)\hat{x}$, therefore, $E_1^+ = A_1$. Solving for the equations above, the phasors of the reflected TEM wave at the interface are shown as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_1^- = \frac{\eta_2 - \eta_1}{\eta_1 + \eta_2}A_1 e^{j\beta_1 z}\hat{x}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_1^- = -\frac{\eta_2 - \eta_1}{\eta_1 + \eta_2}\frac{A_1}{\eta_1}e^{j\beta_1 z}\hat{y}$$

Retrieving the time-dependent forms of the reflected wave, we obtain

$$E_1^-(z,t) = \frac{\eta_2 - \eta_1}{\eta_1 + \eta_2}A_1\cos(\omega t + \beta_1 z)\hat{x} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\mu_2}{\epsilon_2}} - \sqrt{\frac{\mu_1}{\epsilon_1}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\mu_1}{\epsilon_1}} + \sqrt{\frac{\mu_2}{\epsilon_2}}}A_1\cos(\omega t + \omega\sqrt{\mu_1\epsilon_1}z)\hat{x}$$

© Yang Zhao - Copying, publishing or distributing without written permission is prohibited.

$$H_1^-(z,t) = -\frac{\eta_2 - \eta_1}{\eta_1 + \eta_2}\frac{A_1}{\eta_1}\cos(\omega t + \beta_1 z)\hat{y} = -\frac{\sqrt{\frac{\mu_2}{\epsilon_2}} - \sqrt{\frac{\mu_1}{\epsilon_1}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\mu_1}{\epsilon_1}} + \sqrt{\frac{\mu_2}{\epsilon_2}}} A_1 \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_1}{\mu_1}}\cos(\omega t + \omega\sqrt{\mu_1\epsilon_1}z)\hat{y}.$$

© Yang Zhao - Copying, publishing or distributing without written permission is prohibited.

6. For a wave propagating in a vacuum in $x < 0$, we are given an incident electric field phasor

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_i = (j\hat{z} - \hat{y})e^{-j2\pi x}\,\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{m}}.$$

The wave encounters a boundary at $x = 0$ with $\mu = \mu_o$ and the reflected phasor is given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_r = -\frac{1}{2}(j\hat{z} - \hat{y})e^{j2\pi x}\,\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{m}}.$$

(a) (2 points) The incident wave is RHCP because $\hat{y}$ leads $\hat{z}$ and the wave is propagating in the $\hat{x}$ direction. The reflected wave is therefore LHCP.

(b) (2 points) The frequency can be calculated from $f = \frac{v_p\beta}{2\pi}$, where $\beta = 2\pi$ and $v_p = c$ in a vacuum. Thus, $f = 300\,\mathrm{MHz}$.

(c) (2 points) The permittivity of the dielectric can be calculated using the reflection coefficient, Γ.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma &= \frac{\eta_2 - \eta_1}{\eta_2 + \eta_1} = -\frac{1}{2} \\
\eta &= \frac{1}{3}\eta_0 \\
\epsilon_r &= 9 \\
\epsilon &= 9\epsilon_o
\end{aligned}$$

(d) (2 points) The transmitted electric phasor can be derived from the incident electric phasor with updated β and τ. So, $1 + \Gamma = \tau = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{2\pi f}{v_p} = \frac{6\pi\times10^8}{3\times10^8\sqrt{9}} = 6\pi$ and therefore $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_t = \frac{1}{2}(j\hat{z} - \hat{y})e^{-j6\pi x}\,\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{m}}$.

(e) (2 points) The ratio of time-averaged incident power to time-averaged transmitted power can be found using the conservation of energy: $\frac{\eta_0}{\eta}\tau^2 = 1-\Gamma^2 = 75\,\%$. The proof is presented below.

The magnetic fields in both the regions are given as,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_i &= \tfrac{1}{\eta_o}(-j\hat{y} - \hat{z})e^{-j2\pi x} \\
\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_r &= \tfrac{\Gamma}{\eta_o}(-j\hat{y} + \hat{z})e^{j2\pi x} \\
\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_t &= \tfrac{\tau}{\eta}(-j\hat{y} - \hat{z})e^{-j6\pi x}
\end{aligned}$$

The corresponding time averaged poynting vectors ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\mathbf{S}_i\rangle &= \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{Re}\left\{\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_i \times \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_i^{\,*}\right\} = \tfrac{1}{\eta_o}\hat{x} \\
\langle\mathbf{S}_r\rangle &= \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{Re}\left\{\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_r \times \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_r^{\,*}\right\} = \tfrac{\Gamma^2}{\eta_o}\hat{x} \\
\langle\mathbf{S}_t\rangle &= \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{Re}\left\{\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_t \times \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_t^{\,*}\right\} = \tfrac{\tau^2}{\eta}\hat{x}
\end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that the power density calculated for the incident, reflected, and transported waves will satisfy

$$|\langle\mathbf{S}_i\rangle| = |\langle\mathbf{S}_r\rangle| + |\langle\mathbf{S}_t\rangle|\,,$$

which shows that the calculations are in compliance with energy conservation principle. Also, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{\eta_o} &= \tfrac{\Gamma^2}{\eta_o} + \tfrac{\tau^2}{\eta} \\
\tfrac{\eta_0}{\eta}\tau^2 &= 1 - \Gamma^2
\end{aligned}$$

© Yang Zhao - Copying, publishing or distributing without written permission is prohibited.

7. (a) (4 points) The soluction is (c)
   (b) (3 points) The solution is (b)
   (c) (3 points) The solution is (d)

8. (a) (5 points) Assuming the conductor lies along z axis, $E_z = B_z = 0$ for TEM waves. Since charge density for a perfect conductor is non-zero only at the surface, from Gauss's Law,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$$

Expanding the divergence we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial E_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{1}$$

Additionally, the curl of the electric field is given by,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\hat{x}\,\frac{\partial E_y}{\partial z} + \hat{y}\,\frac{\partial E_x}{\partial z} + \hat{z}(\frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial y}) = -\mu(\hat{x}\,\frac{\partial H_x}{\partial t} + \hat{y}\,\frac{\partial H_y}{\partial t})$$

The z component gives:

$$\frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{2}$$

Therefore, the solution for the electric field from (1) and (2) is zero after applying boundary conditions. Hence, a TEM wave cannot propagate through a hollow longitudinally symmetric metallic tube.

   (b) (5 points) An ideal coax has no external field and the outer conductor acts as a shield against external electromagnetic waves, so it suffers less intereference problems than a pair of copper wires. Additionally, any change in shape or distance between the copper wires changes the impedance of the transmition line and cause losses due to reflection. A coax cable is specifically designed to keep spacing uniform to mitigate these issues.

© Yang Zhao - Copying, publishing or distributing without written permission is prohibited.