

Recap

We talked about

- C vs. C++ obvious differences
- Default arguments & Dynamic allocation
- Function & operator overloading
- Structs vs. classes

Announcements

- Quiz next week (11/17) on structs in C
- Final exam details now on course website.
 - Signup for conflicts.
- MP12 not droppable

Review: LinkedList using classes

Implement our old linked list using:

```
class Person{
  const char *name;
  unsigned int byear;

Person *next;
Person(const char *name, unsigned int byear){
    this->name = name;
    this->byear = byear;
    this->next = NULL;
}

These are private, if we want to be able to print our linked list will need to implement a print function.
```

Review: LinkedList using classes

Implement our old linked list using:

```
class Person{
  const char *name;
  unsigned int byear;
public:
  Person *next;
  Person(const char *name, unsigned int byear) {
    this->name = name;
    this->byear = byear;
    this->next = NULL;
  void print(){
      cout<< "(" << this->name << ", " << this->byear << ")" <<endl;</pre>
```

Review: LinkedList using classes

- How to maintain head pointer, and add/remove functions?
 - Adopt the OOP way

```
class LinkedList{
Person *head;

public:
   LinkedList(){
    this->head = NULL;
}
```

- Basic functions to implement for a linked list?
 - Function to print list
 - Function to add at head
 - Function to remove from head

};

New feature: references

```
int val = 10;    // normal variable
int *ptr = &val; // & to get address, * to indicate pointer
int &ref = val;    // & to declare reference to val
```

- Reference is yet another addition to the C/C++ zoo.
- **Key difference**: A pointer is *still a variable* that takes up memory whereas a reference need not (C++ standard leaves it unspecified).
 - Think of it as an alias for a variable.
- If you remember the key difference then rest of the behavior is logical.

Pointers vs. references

	Pointer	Reference	
Memory address	Has memory allocated for it	May not have memory allocated for it	
Function	Stores the memory address of variable	Acts as an <i>alia</i> s for a variable	
Initialization/ reassignment	Can be declared, initialized and also reassigned	Initialized on declaration and cannot be reassigned	
Null value	Can be assigned the NULL pointer Cannot be assigned a NULL value		
Dereferencing	Must use the * operator	Automatically dereferenced	
Arrays	Can have array of pointers	Cannot create array of references	

https://www3.ntu.edu.sg/home/ehchua/programming/cpp/cp4_PointerReference.html



Examples

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
                                                         What will be the output?
int main(){
  int val = 10;
  int *ptr = &val; // & to get address
  int &ref = val; // & to declare reference
  cout<<"val = "<<val<<endl;</pre>
  cout << "*ptr = "<< *ptr << endl;
  cout<<"ref = "<<ref<<endl;</pre>
                                        Which variable(s) changed here?
 ref = 20;
  cout<<endl<<"val = "<<val<<endl;</pre>
                                        What about here?
  val = 30;
  cout<<"ref = "<<ref<<endl;</pre>
  cout<<"ptr = "<<ptr<<endl; ←
  ptr = &ref;
                                        Are these addresses same or different?
  cout<<"ptr = "<<ptr<<endl; _</pre>
```

Why references when have pointers?

- Mostly safety:
 - No such thing as reference arithmetic & cannot reassign references (can do both to pointers).
 - Paradigm: Use references for most use cases and use pointers only when you must.
- Passing around large objects to/via functions is simplified (for the programmer) with references:
 - Example later: copy constructors

Examples

Can fail for uninitialized, dangling, or ill-formed pointers!

```
void swap(int *a, int *b){
   int temp = *a;
   *a = *b;
   *b = temp;
}
```

```
void swap(int &a, int &b){
   int temp = a;
   a = b;
   b = temp;
}
```

Less can go wrong with this version.

```
int main(){
  int val1, val2;
  val1 = 10, val2 = 20;

cout<<"val1 = "<<val1<<end1;
  cout<<"val2 = "<<val2<<end1;

swap(&val1, &val2); Which function is called?
  cout<<end1<<"val1 = "<<val1<<end1;
  cout<<"val2 = "<<val2<<end1;

swap(val1, val2); Which function is called?
  cout<<"val2 = "<<val2<<end1;

cout<<"val2 = "<<val1<<end1;
  cout<<end1<<"val1 = "<<val1<<end1;
  cout<<=nd1<<end1;
  cout<<=nd1<<end1;
  cout<<=nd1<<end1;
  cout<<=nd1</end1</pre>
```

Overload resolution fails!

Solution: Explicit casts

What happens now?

Copy constructor

- Recall that we could implement a Stack ADT with a linked list
 - Push: add at head of linked list.
 - Pop: remove from head + give popped value to caller.
 - How can we do the second part?

Copy constructor

```
class Person{
  const char *name;
    unsigned int byear;

public:
    Person *next;
    Person(const char *name, unsigned int byear);
    Person(const Person &p);
};

Person::Person(const Person &p){
    this->name = p.name;
    this->byear = p.byear;
    this->next = NULL;
```

Called pass by constant reference.

- Exercise: Can we appropriately modify the LinkedList class definition and create a derived Stack class from it?
- Stack should only expose the push and pop functions.

Thoughts - Stack with LinkedLists

- How to modify the LinkedList class?
 - Does add_at_head and del_at_head need to be public?
 - Can they be private?
 - When popping, we need access to head pointer to call copy constructor - can it still be private?

What about a class Hamster which squeaks?

Inheritance

```
class Dog{
  const char *name;
  int breed;
  int age;
  bool nail clip;
public:
  Dog(const char *n, int b, int a){
    name = n, breed = b; age = a;
  void greet(const char *p){
    cout<<name<<": Hi, "<<p<<endl;</pre>
  void sleep(){
    cout<<name<<": Zzzzzz"<<endl;</pre>
  void speak(){
    cout<<name<<": Woof!"<<endl;</pre>
```

```
class Cat{
  const char *name;
  int breed;
  int age;
public:
  Cat(const char *n, int b, int a){
    name = n, breed = b, age = a;
  void greet(const char *p){
    cout<<name<<": Hi, "<<p<<endl;</pre>
  void sleep(){
    cout<<name<<": Zzzzzz"<<endl;</pre>
  void speak(){
    cout<<name<<": Meow!"<<endl;</pre>
};
```

Inheritance

C++ allows us to define a class based on an existing class, and the new class will inherit members of the existing class.

- The existing class Base class
- The new class Derived class

Exceptions in inheritance (things *not* inherited):

- Constructors, destructors of the base class
- Overloaded operators of the base class
- Friend functions of the base class

ived class

Inheritance

Base class

```
class Animal{
  const char *name;
  int breed;
  int age;
public:
  Animal(const char *n, int b, int a) {
    name = n;
    breed = b;
    age = a;
  void greet(const char *p){
    cout<<name<<": Hi, "<<p<<endl;</pre>
  void sleep(){
    cout<<name<<": Zzzzzz"<<endl;</pre>
  const char* get_name(){
    return name;
```

```
class Cat: public Animal{
public:

  void speak(){
    cout<<get_name()<<": Meow!"<<endl;
  }
};</pre>
```

Inheritance rules

	Derived class has access to		
Inheritance	private members	public members	
Private inheritance	No	No (inherited as private variables)	
Public inheritance	No	Yes (inherited as public variables)	

Inheritance rules

	Derived class has access to		
Inheritance	private members	public members	protected members
Private inheritance	No	No (inherited as private variables)	Yes (inherited as private variables)
Public inheritance	No	Yes (inherited as public variables)	Yes
Protected inheritance	No	Yes (inherited as protected variables)	Yes

Derived class constructor?

```
class Dog: public Animal{
  bool nail clip;
public:
  Dog(const char *n, int b, int a, bool c){
    nail clip = c;
  void speak(){
    cout<<get name()<<": Woof!"<<endl;</pre>
};
class Cat: public Animal{
public:
  Cat(const char *n, int b, int a){
  };
  void speak(){
    cout<<get name()<<": Meow!"<<endl;</pre>
};
```

How will Dog and Cat set their breed, name and age which are part of the Animal class and its private members?

Derived class constructor?

```
class Dog: public Animal{
  bool nail_clip;
public:
  Dog(const char *n, int b, int a, bool c) : Animal(n, b, a) {
    nail clip = c;
  void speak(){
    cout<<get name()<<": Woof!"<<endl;</pre>
};
class Cat: public Animal{
public:
  Cat(const char*n, int b, int a) : Animal(n, b, a) {
  };
  void speak(){
    cout<<get name()<<": Meow!"<<endl;</pre>
};
```

Will make sure to call the base class constructor first.

It is called *member initializer list* syntax!

Virtual functions

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
class Animal{
public:
  void eat(){
    cout << "I'm eating generic food." << endl;</pre>
};
class Cat : public Animal{
public:
  void eat(){
    cout << "I'm eating a mouse." << endl;</pre>
};
void eat lunch(Animal *a){
  a->eat();
```

```
int main(){
   Animal *anim = new Animal();
   Cat *bruno = new Cat();
   anim->eat();
   bruno->eat();

   eat_lunch(anim);
   eat_lunch(bruno);
}
```

Why didn't Bruno eat a mouse for lunch?

Need a way for the derived class to **override** the base class function,

... or

We will have to *overload* eat_lunch for each new species!

Virtual functions

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
class Animal{
public:
  virtual void eat(){
    cout << "I'm eating generic food." << endl;</pre>
};
class Cat : public Animal{
public:
  void eat(){
    cout << "I'm eating a mouse." << endl;</pre>
};
void eat lunch(Animal *a){
  a->eat();
```

- A virtual function is a member function in the base class that we expect to redefine in derived classes
- What if your colleagues forget to override a virtual function? How to ensure it?

Pure virtual functions

Pure virtual functions are used

- if a function doesn't have any use in the base class
- ... but the function must be implemented by all its derived classes!

A pure virtual function doesn't have a function body and it ends with "=0"

```
class Animal{
public:
    virtual void eat()=0;
};

class Cat : public Animal{
public:
    void eat(){
        cout << "I'm eating a mouse." << endl;
    }
};</pre>
```

Adding a pure virtual function turns a normal class to an *abstract* class!

Abstract class

- Abstract class is a class that contains one or more pure virtual functions.
 - No objects of that abstract class can be created
 - A pure virtual function that is not implemented in a derived class remains a pure virtual function, so the derived class is also an abstract class
 - An abstract class is intended as an interface to objects accessed through pointers and references (e.g. eat_lunch function)

Next time ...

- Write once, use many times
 - Templates
- STL (Standard Template Library)
- Standard containers, vectors, iterators, etc.