Where are Your College Applications?

Do you have a copy of your college application essay? Where is it?

Anyone much older than you is likely to say something like:

“In a folder marked ‘College Applications’ in a cabinet in my old room...”

Terminology You Should Know from These Slides

In contrast, many people your age reply, “What do you mean, ‘Where is it?’ It’s in the Cloud!”

If you want it,
- you sit down and type “college”
- or “application”
- or “UIUC”
- and there it is!

The Cloud is Composed of ... Clouds

If you have a habit
- of making up funny filenames,
- you might instead scroll back
- chronologically and try to remember
- that particular funny name.

There are no folders.
There are no cabinets.
There’s just one big flat space of stuff.
Differing Views are Starting to Cause Confusion

This difference in viewpoint has started to come up in college classes,
◦ where professors expect students to understand hierarchical organization from experience and
◦ embed their expectations into assignments, which confuses the students.

Faced with this difference, some professors are convinced that the world is coming to an end.*

*A view similar to that of Thurber’s Royal Astronomer, who, when going blind, reported that all of the stars were going out!

You Young People Should Really Learn!

In defense of those professors
(I am, after all, a professor!),
Organizational skills probably support and reinforce one another...
◦ organizing documents,
◦ organizing a talk,
◦ organizing a paper, and
◦ organizing a thesis.

So there!

Cloud Provides Storage and Supports Collaboration

Regardless of your viewpoint,
◦ in addition to the ability
◦ to rapidly search by keyword and date,
◦ technology has brought us effectively unlimited virtual storage and enables us to collaborate remotely on shared documents.

Cloud Services Assumed to Have Several Properties

We assume that our files in the cloud are
◦ available (accessible at all times),
◦ reliable (no errors in stored content), and
◦ consistent (everyone sees the same thing, all the time).

We’ll talk about these properties in detail.

But first, just to make sure you’re aware...
All Services Provided “As Is”, Without Warranty

None of these companies guarantees you anything—certainly not for free.*

If they decide to stop doing business with you,
* whether because it’s not in their interest or
* because they go out of business, or
* they sell to another company who doesn’t want your business,
* your data are gone.

You may want to have a copy somewhere?

*If you pay, I suggest reading the fine print carefully.

An Operation Makes One Change to a Shared Document

To get started, let’s think about what it means to do something to a document.

Let’s call the act of doing something an operation.

Typing is Also Not What it Used to Be

My mother, a legal secretary, used a typewriter every day at work.
She was a little annoyed*
* when she noticed that I,
* then only about 12 or 13,
* could type as fast as she could.
Because I made a lot of mistakes.

*Possibly she thought the world was ending, but I’m still scared to ask.

Modern Typists Still Rated Based on Typing Speed

Back then, professional typists
* were rated by speed.
* Mistakes cost a lot of time, so
* a good typist could not make any.

My secret?

Backspace!

I learned to type on a computer.
Each Operation with an Inverse Can be Undone

Pressing a key is an operation.
Hitting `backspace` undoes that operation (removes the effect of the keystroke).

Later, word processing programs generalized the idea of undoing the last operation.
For example, undo deletion of a word by reinserting the word.

A Log May Suffice as a History of Operations

To support “Undo”, tools kept a log of operations.

Each log entry
- could be undone (in reverse order)
- by inverting the operation:
  - paste becomes cut, and
  - press becomes backspace.

Not All Operations can be Inverted

Some operations have no exact inverse.
Consider an image editing tool.
- A user blurs the image,
- which performs local averaging.
Many possible original images
- produce the same final image, so
- the only way to undo a blur
- is to preserve a copy of the original.

Cheap Memory Broadens Set of Reversible Operations

In early systems,
- operations of this type could not be undone:
  - keeping a copy was too expensive.
As memory became cheaper,
- tools started keeping snapshots—
- copies of earlier versions of the user’s data—
- so as to support undo.
Versioning Useful for Recording Editing Sessions

An *undo log rarely persists* across sessions.

Example: open a file and undo the last operation ... from a week ago?

Snapshots / versions are more useful over the longer term.

Merging Alternative Versions Can be Challenging

But long-term storage introduces the problem of divergent versions...

*How can we merge changes?*

Efforts to Automatically Merge Started Long Ago

By the late 80s,
  * the Internet had reached many universities,
  * but using it required one
  * to be physically on a campus
  * (in other words, no Wifi, no cell coverage).

Researchers started trying to address
  * the problem of merging updates
  * from users working in disconnected mode,
  * then returning with separate new versions of a document.

Even Today, Automatic Merges Fail Frequently

Making merge work without human oversight is difficult.

Even today,
  * *shared code repositories* such as Github
  * *support* independent, *disconnected development*,
  * and *automated merges* do *sometimes fail*. 
Same Operation Can be Defined in Many Ways

How does the form of an operation affect undo and merge?

Let's say that I type the word “bird” into a shared document.

Is that operation ...

Insert “bird” at byte #300,

or

Insert “bird” after “the yellow”?

Including Context in an Operation Usually Preferred

Humans usually prefer contextual definitions.

◦ The second definition (after “the yellow”) allows another user to edit the text without affecting my operation.

Unless someone adds “the yellow” before the position in which I wanted to add “bird.” Or they insert “big” between “the” and “yellow,” breaking my operation’s context.

Amount of Context Balances Between Failure Modes

We can add more contextual information, which reduces the chance of the first problem, but increases the chance of the second.

By the way: this variant of operations, using 4-6 lines of context, is used to merge programs, and the cases mentioned are the failure modes.

Not All Operations Benefit from Context

Context is inappropriate for some applications.

Consider banking operations.

Your bank account contains $5.

You have a $500 paycheck to deposit. And you want a $7 bubble tea.

You decide to deposit the paycheck, then buy a bubble tea.
Context in Some Cases Limits Flexibility

What are the two operations?
With context, perhaps...
1. Replace balance of $5 \text{ with balance of } $505.
2. Replace balance of $505 \text{ with balance of } $498.

These operations cannot be reordered,
- so if completing the first is delayed,
- your account may be frozen indefinitely.

Not good.

Addition, the Underlying Operator, is Commutative

Instead, a bank is more likely to use...
1. Add $500 \text{ to balance}.
2. Subtract $7 \text{ from balance}.

These operations can be reordered.

However, reordering may have side effects.

Reordering Operations is Not Always Helpful

In particular, many banks,
- seeing the “Subtract” operation first,
- approve the operation
- but also subtract an additional overdraft “protection”* fee of $50.

In the end, your account has

\[5 - 7 - 50 + 500 = $448.\]

*Protection in the mob/banking sense.

Another Variant of Operations: Idempotent

Sometimes, we want idempotent operations
- idem- = identical, -potent = power
- meaning that an operation has the same effect no matter how many times one performs the operation.

In a social network, for example:
Mark X as my friend
If I switch machines (phone/tablet/computer), see an old version, and apply the same operation, everything works out.
Many Tools Do Not Provide Strong Consistency

But ... wait a minute.

Why would I ever “see an old version?”

If a service is showing me data,
  • which version do I see?
  • Which version do others see?
  Shouldn’t those questions have the same answer at all times?

Yes, of course we’d like that. Life would be easy.

But that’s not our universe.

Two Pilots Perceive Different Orders of Events

A happened before B.

No way!

B was first!

“At the Same Time” Not Usually Meaningful

Special relativity tells us that
  • two events separated in space
  • are only ordered in time
  • if enough time passes
  • for light to travel from one point to the other.

Signals cross the Internet at only a fraction of the speed of light.

We cannot have copies around the world change at the same time.

“At the same time” actually has no meaning for events separated in space.

One Simple Approach: Pick a Place

Often, the best solution
  • is to define the version at some server
  • (in one place)
  • to be the correct one.

To make changes, send operations to that server.

The server
  • serializes operations into some order
  • and applies them one at a time.
Alternatives Exist, But Full Problem is Unsolvable

There are ways
◦ to allow the “correct” version to move around (below the speed of light!), or
◦ to split it into pieces
  ◦ (my copy of the first half is “correct”, and
  ◦ your copy of the second half is “correct”),
◦ but not to have multiple “correct” copies
  ◦ at the same time in different places.

Small Locales May Never Expose Problems

You may never notice the delay.
Imagine that you and a friend work on a document.
The “correct” version is 40 msec from each of you.
The server applies any change in 20 msec.
Each person sees any change in 100 msec.

Still Possible, But Problems Unlikely to Show Up

It’s still possible that
◦ when you type an ‘X’ and
◦ your friend types a ‘Y’ and
◦ the server decides that the ‘Y’ was first
◦ that you could observe an inconsistency,
◦ but you’d probably just assume that your friend had moved their cursor in front of your ‘X’ before typing.

More Distance Increases the Chance of Problems

On the other hand,
◦ if you work with a friend on the opposite side of the Earth
◦ (say in China if you’re in the US, or Europe if you’re in Argentina),
◦ the added delay makes inconsistency
◦ much more likely to come to your notice.
Companies Design Operations to Reduce Inconsistency

So **companies need to** think carefully

- about how to **formulate operations**
- so as to make them **less prone to errors**
- **and** less likely to lead to obviously **inconsistent behavior**.

Inconsistencies Do Still Occur with Many Tools

You’ve probably still seen cases.

For example, a social network that simply rejects your comment or reply.

Yet, when you try again, everything works fine.

**Such failures**

- sometimes indicate problems
- **with merging your operation**
- with others that have already been applied.

Lack of Consistency Can Lead to Bigger Problems

Realistically, most people do not often work interactively with others around the world.

**Inconsistency can lead to** more **serious problems**, however.

Older Models Provided Little or No Consistency

For example,

- the original Internet “news” system
- used several servers,
- each with a copy of the news.

When a user made a post,

- the text was sent to all servers,
- but the latency to each server varied,
- and sometimes copies were lost
- (and then took even longer to arrive).
What Can Go Wrong? Let’s See an Example

- Jan makes a post.
- Unfortunately, server 2’s copy is lost.
- Pat looks at server 1 and replies.

Alice Unknowingly Acts on Incomplete Information

- Along comes Alice, who sees server 2.

Pat’s Words Change the Meaning of Jan’s!

- What did Pat say?

Allowing Inconsistency Reduces User Response Times

So why does anyone tolerate inconsistency?

For speed!
Example of Requiring Strong Consistency

Consider the following scenario:
A social leader makes a post.
10,000 followers want to comment.
Assume 100 msec to make a change and see a response.
But we want consistency:
- you can’t add a comment
- until you’ve seen all previous comments.
Each comment takes 100 msec to process.

End Result: No One Wants the Service!

Server can only process one at a time!
- The server adds the first comment.
- Then tells all followers about it.
- 100 msec have passed.
Now the second follower can comment.
- The server tells all followers.
- 200 msec have passed.
When it’s done,
- $10,000 \times 0.1 \text{ sec} = 1,000 \text{ sec}$
  $= 16.7 \text{ minutes}$ have passed.
- And 9,900 followers have given up and joined a different social network!

And No One Really Cared About the Consistency

In this scenario,
- most followers don’t actually care
- about other followers’ comments,
- nor about the order of their comment
- relative to those of other followers.

Most Companies’ Systems Do Try to Avoid Inconsistency

The systems do make some attempt to avoid inconsistency.
For example,
- one can reply to comments, and
- replies are only visible
- if the original comment is visible.
So long as users make use of the features, causality violations are less likely.
Recall Desired Properties of Cloud Service

Let’s revisit the properties that we want with our cloud storage and editing tools:
- **available** (accessible at all times),
- **reliable** (no errors in stored content), and
- **consistent** (everyone sees the same thing, all the time).

We’ve just discussed why consistency is somewhat difficult, so let’s start there.

Most Services Provide Eventual Consistency

Many Internet services today (including Facebook’s TAO architecture—the one we talked about in the previous lecture) provide eventual consistency. Operations are serialized at a server.

Eventually every perceives the same order of operations.
But not necessarily immediately.

Push Model Actively Forwards Updates to Users

Distributed file systems, such as Box, Dropbox, and Google Drive, support several consistency models.

Files
- in folders synchronized with cloud folders,
- and files open in editors,
- are eventually consistent.

For these files, update operations are pushed to your system.

Pull Model Waits for Users to Request Updates

However, most distributed file systems
- *also perform versioning*, and
- typically use that model to support disconnected operation,
- *requiring explicit uploads and downloads*.

In such cases, update operations are
- *pulled* by your system from a server,
- implicitly by a tool if you open a file to view or edit it.
Social Network Updates Generally Pulled from Server

Social networks use primarily a pull model.
Since users typically view
◦ only the most recent activity in the social graph,
◦ pushing all updates is generally
  a waste of bandwidth.
Only a handful of changes are pushed:
◦ those needed to support active notifications.
  (Notifications that show up when you open an
  app can also be pulled.)

Availability and Reliability are More Important

These same companies place more emphasis on availability and reliability.
We talked about these ideas in social networks.
File services are similar: your posts, photos, and videos are just a bunch of files.
Collaborative editing tools do require good definitions of operations and somewhat stronger
(or at least faster) consistency guarantees to avoid irritating human users.

Availability: Your Data Located Near You

What about availability?
Here, too, the techniques are fairly similar.
Imagine working on a text document.
The primary copy of that document
is stored at a datacenter close to you.
Within the datacenter, the exact position of
your file is selected using an approach
similar to TAO’s shard model.
For the same reason: load balancing.

Additional Copies of Your Data Kept Elsewhere

But that’s not the only copy of your file!
If the disk with your file fails, or
◦ (less likely) the optical fibers to the
datacenter are all cut, or
◦ (even less likely) a meteorite strikes
  the datacenter,
◦ other datacenters have a copy, too!
It just takes a little longer (more msec,
not seconds) to get your data.
Reliability Supported Using Codes

Reliability is also an issue.
All digital storage systems break down over time.

To protect your file data,
- companies use coding techniques (remember the Hamming code?).

Codes are used
- to protect against changes on disk, and
- on-disk data are periodically “scrubbed”
- to correct any errors that have popped up.

Similarly, codes are used
- to store data across multiple drives,
- increasing bandwidth and
- protecting against failure of any drive.

In this case, the 5-out-of-7 variant
- of the Hamming code would work perfectly:* if you store across 7 disks,
- so long as no more than 2 fail,
- you can recover all of the data!

*That particular code is not common in practice for disk systems, but the idea is the same.

Sharing Supported Using Access Control Lists

One more topic: sharing.
Sharing of documents (posts, and so forth)
- typically managed with
- an Access Control List (ACL),
- a list of rules.
The rules are checked one at a time until a match is found.

With the ACL shown, my secret account as well as all of my friends, except the one friend I’m trying to surprise, can see the document.

Reminder: You are Guaranteed Nothing.

Here’s the fine print from one popular set of services. It is essentially identical to the wording introduced by the Berkeley Software Distribution’s ***FREE*** version of Unix, TCP, and so forth.

These days, however, most companies use the same rules even if you are a paying customer. Even banks. It’s scary.

TO THE EXTENT ALLOWED BY APPLICABLE LAW, WE PROVIDE OUR SERVICES “AS IS” WITHOUT ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, AND NONINFRINGEMENT. FOR EXAMPLE, WE DON’T MAKE ANY WARRANTIES ABOUT THE CONTENT OR FEATURES OF THE SERVICES, INCLUDING THEIR ACCURACY, RELIABILITY, AVAILABILITY, OR ABILITY TO MEET YOUR NEEDS.

Law. The only way you can ever expect to have any guarantee from modern software.
Terminology You Should Know from These Slides

- cloud storage
- available
- reliable
- consistent
- operations (and examples)
- undo/invert, log, and versions
- context (for an operation)
- idempotent
- serialization (by a server)
- causality violation (the Jan and Pat example)
- eventual consistency
- push/pull for updates
- access control lists (ACLs, for sharing)

Concepts You Should Know from These Slides

- properties assumed by users of cloud storage
- not all operations can be inverted easily
- why merging versions can be hard
- why the exact form of an operation matters
- providing strong consistency by using a single location
- effect of delay on exposing inconsistency
- speed benefit of providing only weak consistency
- how availability and reliability are typically supported
- basic use of an access control list (ACL)