Voting

Lecture 17

Requirements

Requirements

- Integrity/End-to-End verifiability

Requirements

- Integrity/End-to-End verifiability
  - Collected as cast: Each voter should be convinced that their vote was collected correctly

Requirements

- Integrity/End-to-End verifiability
  - Collected as cast: Each voter should be convinced that their vote was collected correctly
  - Counted as collected: Tallying is publicly verifiable

Requirements

- Integrity/End-to-End verifiability
  - Collected as cast: Each voter should be convinced that their vote was collected correctly
  - Counted as collected: Tallying is publicly verifiable
- Secrecy

Requirements

- Integrity/End-to-End verifiability
  - Collected as cast: Each voter should be convinced that their vote was collected correctly
  - Counted as collected: Tallying is publicly verifiable
- Secrecy
  - Honest voters' votes are not revealed by the system (beyond what the tally reveals)

Requirements

- Integrity/End-to-End verifiability
  - Collected as cast: Each voter should be convinced that their vote was collected correctly
  - Counted as collected: Tallying is publicly verifiable
- Secrecy
  - Honest voters' votes are not revealed by the system (beyond what the tally reveals)
  - Incoercibility: Even corrupt voters should not be able to convince an adversary about their vote (i.e., no vote-buying)

A Voting Architecture

A Voting Architecture

- Produce a public list which encodes all the votes cast

A Voting Architecture

- Produce a public list which encodes all the votes cast
  - Individual voters can verify that their vote is correctly captured in this list

A Voting Architecture

- Produce a public list which encodes all the votes cast
  - Individual voters can verify that their vote is correctly captured in this list
    - Based on a receipt (and other knowledge) from the polling booth

A Voting Architecture

- Produce a public list which encodes all the votes cast
  - Individual voters can verify that their vote is correctly captured in this list
    - Based on a receipt (and other knowledge) from the polling booth
- Tallying is done on this list

A Voting Architecture

- Produce a public list which encodes all the votes cast
  - Individual voters can verify that their vote is correctly captured in this list
    - Based on a receipt (and other knowledge) from the polling booth
- Tallying is done on this list
  - Publicly verifiable that the posted votes are correctly tabulated

A Voting Architecture

- Produce a public list which encodes all the votes cast
  - Individual voters can verify that their vote is correctly captured in this list
    - Based on a receipt (and other knowledge) from the polling booth
- Tallying is done on this list
  - Publicly verifiable that the posted votes are correctly tabulated
- Front-End

A Voting Architecture

- Produce a public list which encodes all the votes cast
  - Individual voters can verify that their vote is correctly captured in this list
    - Based on a receipt (and other knowledge) from the polling booth
- Tallying is done on this list
  - Publicly verifiable that the posted votes are correctly tabulated
- Front-End
  - Ballot Preparation

A Voting Architecture

- Produce a public list which encodes all the votes cast
  - Individual voters can verify that their vote is correctly captured in this list
    - Based on a receipt (and other knowledge) from the polling booth
- Tallying is done on this list
  - Publicly verifiable that the posted votes are correctly tabulated
- Front-End
  - Ballot Preparation
  - Vote capturing/ Receipt issue

A Voting Architecture

- Produce a public list which encodes all the votes cast
  - Individual voters can verify that their vote is correctly captured in this list
    - Based on a receipt (and other knowledge) from the polling booth
- Tallying is done on this list
  - Publicly verifiable that the posted votes are correctly tabulated

- Front-End
  - Ballot Preparation
  - Vote capturing/ Receipt issue
  - Verification

A Voting Architecture

- Produce a public list which encodes all the votes cast
  - Individual voters can verify that their vote is correctly captured in this list
    - Based on a receipt (and other knowledge) from the polling booth
- Tallying is done on this list
  - Publicly verifiable that the posted votes are correctly tabulated

- Front-End
  - Ballot Preparation
  - Vote capturing/ Receipt issue
  - Verification
- Back-End

A Voting Architecture

- Produce a public list which encodes all the votes cast
  - Individual voters can verify that their vote is correctly captured in this list
    - Based on a receipt (and other knowledge) from the polling booth
- Tallying is done on this list
  - Publicly verifiable that the posted votes are correctly tabulated

- Front-End
  - Ballot Preparation
  - Vote capturing/ Receipt issue
  - Verification
- Back-End
  - Tallying/Verification

Use MPC?

Use MPC?

- Impractical

Use MPC?

- Impractical
  - In the front-end, want voters not to have to do crypto, and arrive/leave one by one

Use MPC?

- Impractical
  - In the front-end, want voters not to have to do crypto, and arrive/leave one by one
  - OK in the back-end, but needs to be very efficient if a large election

Use MPC?

- Impractical
  - In the front-end, want voters not to have to do crypto, and arrive/leave one by one
  - OK in the back-end, but needs to be very efficient if a large election
- Doesn't account for incoercibility (unless security requirement augmented)

Incoercibility

Incoercibility

- Coercion: voters can get rewards from adversary by following adversary's instructions in a detectable fashion

Incoercibility

- Coercion: voters can get rewards from adversary by following adversary's instructions in a detectable fashion
- What is not coercion?

Incoercibility

- Coercion: voters can get rewards from adversary by following adversary's instructions in a detectable fashion
- What is not coercion?
  - e.g. Adversary rewards the entire set of voters if all votes are for candidate A

Incoercibility

- Coercion: voters can get rewards from adversary by following adversary's instructions in a detectable fashion
- What is not coercion?
  - e.g. Adversary rewards the entire set of voters if all votes are for candidate A
    - Voters cannot follow arbitrary instructions from the environment and still collect the reward

Incoercibility

- Coercion: voters can get rewards from adversary by following adversary's instructions in a detectable fashion
- What is not coercion?
  - e.g. Adversary rewards the entire set of voters if all votes are for candidate A
    - Voters cannot follow arbitrary instructions from the environment and still collect the reward
    - Unavoidable coercion (even in the Ideal world)

Incoercibility

- Coercion: voters can get rewards from adversary by following adversary's instructions in a detectable fashion
- What is not coercion?
  - e.g. Adversary rewards the entire set of voters if all votes are for candidate A
    - Voters cannot follow arbitrary instructions from the environment and still collect the reward
    - Unavoidable coercion (even in the Ideal world)
- We need to protect against <u>further</u> coercion than is possible in the Ideal world

Defining Incoercibility

Real as incoercible (and secure) as Ideal if:





Defining Incoercibility

Real as incoercible (and secure) as Ideal if:



IDEAL/coerced



REAL/coerced



IDEAL/uncoerced

Defining Incoercibility

Real as incoercible (and secure) as Ideal if:



IDEAL/coerced



REAL/coerced



IDEAL/uncoerced



REAL/uncoerced

Defining Incoercibility

Real as incoercible (and secure) as Ideal if:

∀ and

∃ and s.t.

∀

IDEAL/c ≈ REAL/c

and

IDEAL/u ≈ REAL/u



IDEAL/coerced



REAL/coerced



IDEAL/uncoerced



REAL/uncoerced

Defining Incoercibility



IDEAL/coerced

Real as incoercible (and secure) as Ideal if:

∀ and

∃ and s.t.

∀

IDEAL/c ≈ REAL/c

and

IDEAL/u ≈ REAL/u



REAL/coerced



IDEAL/uncoerced

Hence REAL/c and REAL/u only as distinguishable as IDEAL/c and IDEAL/u



REAL/uncoerced

Defining Incoercibility



IDEAL/coerced

Real as incoercible (and secure) as Ideal if:

∀ and

∃ and s.t.

∀

IDEAL/c ≈ REAL/c
and
IDEAL/u ≈ REAL/u



REAL/coerced



IDEAL/uncoerced

Hence REAL/c and REAL/u only as distinguishable as IDEAL/c and IDEAL/u

i.e., if coercion can be simulated in Ideal, it can be simulated in Real too



REAL/uncoerced

Defining Incoercibility



IDEAL/coerced

Real as incoercible (and secure) as Ideal if:

∀ and

∃ and s.t.

∀

IDEAL/c ≈ REAL/c
and
IDEAL/u ≈ REAL/u



REAL/coerced



IDEAL/uncoerced

Hence REAL/c and REAL/u only as distinguishable as IDEAL/c and IDEAL/u

i.e., if coercion can be simulated in Ideal, it can be simulated in Real too

Definition says nothing about the existence/choice of the Ideal coercion simulator



REAL/uncoerced

First Try

First Try

- Front-end:

First Try

- Front-end:
  - Voters encrypt their votes using a threshold encryption scheme, and submit the vote; receives a receipt showing the ciphertext

First Try

- Front-end:
  - Voters encrypt their votes using a threshold encryption scheme, and submit the vote; receives a receipt showing the ciphertext
  - The encrypted vote is publicly posted

First Try

- Front-end:
  - Voters encrypt their votes using a threshold encryption scheme, and submit the vote; receives a receipt showing the ciphertext
  - The encrypted vote is publicly posted
- Back-end:

First Try

- Front-end:
  - Voters encrypt their votes using a threshold encryption scheme, and submit the vote; receives a receipt showing the ciphertext
  - The encrypted vote is publicly posted
- Back-end:
  - A mix-net shuffles, decrypts the set of votes. Publicly tallied

First Try

- Front-end:
  - Voters encrypt their votes using a threshold encryption scheme, and submit the vote; receives a receipt showing the ciphertext
  - The encrypted vote is publicly posted
- Back-end:
  - A mix-net shuffles, decrypts the set of votes. Publicly tallied
    - Each candidate/observer can have a mix-net server

First Try

- Front-end:
  - Voters encrypt their votes using a threshold encryption scheme, and submit the vote; receives a receipt showing the ciphertext
  - The encrypted vote is publicly posted
- Back-end:
  - A mix-net shuffles, decrypts the set of votes. Publicly tallied
    - Each candidate/observer can have a mix-net server
    - Public proofs given to each other (or to the public at large, using Fiat-Shamir heuristics)

First Try

Requires voters to use/trust computational devices

- Front-end:
  - Voters encrypt their votes using a threshold encryption scheme, and submit the vote; receives a receipt showing the ciphertext
  - The encrypted vote is publicly posted
- Back-end:
  - A mix-net shuffles, decrypts the set of votes. Publicly tallied
    - Each candidate/observer can have a mix-net server
    - Public proofs given to each other (or to the public at large, using Fiat-Shamir heuristics)

First Try

Requires voters to use/trust computational devices

Provide encryption devices that have been "verified" by the public? (Perception of) threats: difficulty in verifying devices, substituting devices...

- Front-end:
  - Voters encrypt their votes using a threshold encryption scheme, and submit the vote; receives a receipt showing the ciphertext
  - The encrypted vote is publicly posted
- Back-end:
  - A mix-net shuffles, decrypts the set of votes. Publicly tallied
    - Each candidate/observer can have a mix-net server
    - Public proofs given to each other (or to the public at large, using Fiat-Shamir heuristics)

Challenge

Challenge

- Keep it simple for the voter

Challenge

- Keep it simple for the voter
  - No crypto to ensure vote collected as cast

Challenge

- Keep it simple for the voter
  - No crypto to ensure vote collected as cast
- Public list will contain information that proves to the voter that the vote collected is as cast

Challenge

- Keep it simple for the voter
  - No crypto to ensure vote collected as cast
- Public list will contain information that proves to the voter that the vote collected is as cast
- Should not allow voter to prove to a vote-buyer how the vote was cast

Prêt à Voter

Prêt à Voter

- Ballot has two parts

Prêt à Voter

- Ballot has two parts

Carol	
Alice	
Barack	X
	ahdf87

Prêt à Voter

- Ballot has two parts
  - Left-hand side: Candidate list

Carol	
Alice	
Barack	X
	ahdf87

Prêt à Voter

- Ballot has two parts
  - Left-hand side: Candidate list
  - Right-hand side: Vote-mark and encrypted candidate list (and a serial number)

Carol	
Alice	
Barack	X
	ahdf87

Prêt à Voter



- Ballot has two parts
  - Left-hand side: Candidate list
  - Right-hand side: Vote-mark and encrypted candidate list (and a serial number)
- Right-hand part has enough information for tallying. Will be posted publicly. Also serves as receipt.

Prêt à Voter



- Ballot has two parts
  - Left-hand side: Candidate list
  - Right-hand side: Vote-mark and encrypted candidate list (and a serial number)
- Right-hand part has enough information for tallying. Will be posted publicly. Also serves as receipt.
- Auditing assures that w.h.p the two parts are consistent

Prêt à Voter



- Ballot has two parts
  - Left-hand side: Candidate list
  - Right-hand side: Vote-mark and encrypted candidate list (and a serial number)
- Right-hand part has enough information for tallying. Will be posted publicly. Also serves as receipt.
- Auditing assures that w.h.p the two parts are consistent
- Voter retains a copy of the right-hand part (possibly with a digital signature, verified by helpers outside the booth) as a receipt to verify the publicly posted vote. Left-hand part must be destroyed before leaving the polling-booth.

Prêt à Voter

Carol	
Alice	
Barack	X
	ahdf87

Prêt à Voter

- Tallying: combine vote-mark and encrypted candidate list into an encrypted vote



Prêt à Voter

Carol	
Alice	
Barack	X
	ahdf87

- Tallying: combine vote-mark and encrypted candidate list into an encrypted vote
  - Candidate list is cyclically permuted by s positions

Prêt à Voter



- Tallying: combine vote-mark and encrypted candidate list into an encrypted vote
  - Candidate list is cyclically permuted by s positions
  - Encryption encodes s

Prêt à Voter



- Tallying: combine vote-mark and encrypted candidate list into an encrypted vote
  - Candidate list is cyclically permuted by s positions
  - Encryption encodes s
  - Homomorphically add vote-mark position to encryption of s, to get encryption of candidate's index

Prêt à Voter



- Tallying: combine vote-mark and encrypted candidate list into an encrypted vote
  - Candidate list is cyclically permuted by s positions
  - Encryption encodes s
  - Homomorphically add vote-mark position to encryption of s, to get encryption of candidate's index
    - Additive homomorphism: Use Paillier, or El Gamal with messages in the exponent (since only a few messages possible)

Prêt à Voter

Carol	
Alice	
Barack	X
	ahdf87

Prêt à Voter

- Counted as collected: ensured by the mix-net

Carol	
Alice	
Barack	X
	ahdf87

Prêt à Voter



- Counted as collected: ensured by the mix-net
- To ensure collected as cast, need to ensure that the ballot papers are correctly formed

Prêt à Voter



- Counted as collected: ensured by the mix-net
- To ensure collected as cast, need to ensure that the ballot papers are correctly formed
  - Auditing: before voting, select a random subset of ballots and have them decrypted

Prêt à Voter

Carol	
Alice	
Barack	X
	ahdf87

- Counted as collected: ensured by the mix-net
- To ensure collected as cast, need to ensure that the ballot papers are correctly formed
  - Auditing: before voting, select a random subset of ballots and have them decrypted
  - If no errors found in a large random sample (say half the ballots) probability of more than a few bad ballots is very small (say, 2^{-t} probability that more than t bad)

Prêt à Voter

Carol	
Alice	
Barack	
	ahdf87

Prêt à Voter

- For secrecy, need to ensure LHS of ballot-paper remains secret (till voting) and encryption in the RHS is honest (i.e., randomly generated)

Prêt à Voter

- For secrecy, need to ensure LHS of ballot-paper remains secret (till voting) and encryption in the RHS is honest (i.e., randomly generated)

Prêt à Voter

- For secrecy, need to ensure LHS of ballot-paper remains secret (till voting) and encryption in the RHS is honest (i.e., randomly generated)
  - A trusted/audited ballot-sheet printer with an encryption key pair

Prêt à Voter

- For secrecy, need to ensure LHS of ballot-paper remains secret (till voting) and encryption in the RHS is honest (i.e., randomly generated)
  - A trusted/audited ballot-sheet printer with an encryption key pair
  - Use MPC (among candidates/trustees) to encrypt a random rotation twice: one ciphertext using printer's PK (in the left-hand side) and one using the mix-net's PK

Prêt à Voter

- For secrecy, need to ensure LHS of ballot-paper remains secret (till voting) and encryption in the RHS is honest (i.e., randomly generated)
  - A trusted/audited ballot-sheet printer with an encryption key pair
  - Use MPC (among candidates/trustees) to encrypt a random rotation twice: one ciphertext using printer's PK (in the left-hand side) and one using the mix-net's PK
  - At the polling-booth the printer decrypts the left-hand ciphertext, and prints the candidate names in order

Prêt à Voter

- For secrecy, need to ensure LHS of ballot-paper remains secret (till voting) and encryption in the RHS is honest (i.e., randomly generated)
  - A trusted/audited ballot-sheet printer with an encryption key pair
  - Use MPC (among candidates/trustees) to encrypt a random rotation twice: one ciphertext using printer's PK (in the left-hand side) and one using the mix-net's PK
  - At the polling-booth the printer decrypts the left-hand ciphertext, and prints the candidate names in order
- Can be audited by the voter: choose one of (say) two ballot sheets for auditing later; printer's key kept shared among auditors who can audit sheets selected by the voters

Prêt à Voter

- For secrecy, need to ensure LHS of ballot-paper remains secret (till voting) and encryption in the RHS is honest (i.e., randomly generated)
  - A trusted/audited ballot-sheet printer with an encryption key pair
  - Use MPC (among candidates/trustees) to encrypt a random rotation twice: one ciphertext using printer's PK (in the left-hand side) and one using the mix-net's PK
  - At the polling-booth the printer decrypts the left-hand ciphertext, and prints the candidate names in order
- Can be audited by the voter: choose one of (say) two ballot sheets for auditing later; printer's key kept shared among auditors who can audit sheets selected by the voters

Prêt à Voter

Carol	
Alice	
Barack	
x5qu0d	ahdf87

- For secrecy, need to ensure LHS of ballot-paper remains secret (till voting) and encryption in the RHS is honest (i.e., randomly generated)
  - A trusted/audited ballot-sheet printer with an encryption key pair
  - Use MPC (among candidates/trustees) to encrypt a random rotation twice: one ciphertext using printer's PK (in the left-hand side) and one using the mix-net's PK
  - At the polling-booth the printer decrypts the left-hand ciphertext, and prints the candidate names in order
- Can be audited by the voter: choose one of (say) two ballot sheets for auditing later; printer's key kept shared among auditors who can audit sheets selected by the voters

Threats/Remedies

Threats/Remedies

- Chain voting: One ballot-sheet smuggled out and marked. Then repeatedly coerce voters to use the marked ballot-sheet and return with a blank ballot-sheet

Threats/Remedies

- Chain voting: One ballot-sheet smuggled out and marked. Then repeatedly coerce voters to use the marked ballot-sheet and return with a blank ballot-sheet
  - Officials should ensure ballot-sheet turned in is the same as ballot-sheet given

Threats/Remedies

- Chain voting: One ballot-sheet smuggled out and marked. Then repeatedly coerce voters to use the marked ballot-sheet and return with a blank ballot-sheet
  - Officials should ensure ballot-sheet turned in is the same as ballot-sheet given
- Randomization attack: Coercer can ask voters to mark the first candidate, thereby ensuring they vote randomly

Threats/Remedies

- Chain voting: One ballot-sheet smuggled out and marked. Then repeatedly coerce voters to use the marked ballot-sheet and return with a blank ballot-sheet
  - Officials should ensure ballot-sheet turned in is the same as ballot-sheet given
- Randomization attack: Coercer can ask voters to mark the first candidate, thereby ensuring they vote randomly
  - Comparable to coercing to not cast a vote (allowed in Ideal)

Threats/Remedies

- Chain voting: One ballot-sheet smuggled out and marked. Then repeatedly coerce voters to use the marked ballot-sheet and return with a blank ballot-sheet
  - Officials should ensure ballot-sheet turned in is the same as ballot-sheet given
- Randomization attack: Coercer can ask voters to mark the first candidate, thereby ensuring they vote randomly
  - Comparable to coercing to not cast a vote (allowed in Ideal)
- Discarded receipt attack: If corrupt election authority learns that a receipt was discarded, can safely change the collected vote

Threats/Remedies

- Chain voting: One ballot-sheet smuggled out and marked. Then repeatedly coerce voters to use the marked ballot-sheet and return with a blank ballot-sheet
  - Officials should ensure ballot-sheet turned in is the same as ballot-sheet given
- Randomization attack: Coercer can ask voters to mark the first candidate, thereby ensuring they vote randomly
  - Comparable to coercing to not cast a vote (allowed in Ideal)
- Discarded receipt attack: If corrupt election authority learns that a receipt was discarded, can safely change the collected vote
- Retained left-hand part: can be used to sell votes

Threats/Remedies

- Chain voting: One ballot-sheet smuggled out and marked. Then repeatedly coerce voters to use the marked ballot-sheet and return with a blank ballot-sheet
  - Officials should ensure ballot-sheet turned in is the same as ballot-sheet given
- Randomization attack: Coercer can ask voters to mark the first candidate, thereby ensuring they vote randomly
  - Comparable to coercing to not cast a vote (allowed in Ideal)
- Discarded receipt attack: If corrupt election authority learns that a receipt was discarded, can safely change the collected vote
- Retained left-hand part: can be used to sell votes
  - Ensure it is destroyed. Also make decoys available

Threats/Remedies

- Chain voting: One ballot-sheet smuggled out and marked. Then repeatedly coerce voters to use the marked ballot-sheet and return with a blank ballot-sheet
  - Officials should ensure ballot-sheet turned in is the same as ballot-sheet given
- Randomization attack: Coercer can ask voters to mark the first candidate, thereby ensuring they vote randomly
  - Comparable to coercing to not cast a vote (allowed in Ideal)
- Discarded receipt attack: If corrupt election authority learns that a receipt was discarded, can safely change the collected vote
- Retained left-hand part: can be used to sell votes
  - Ensure it is destroyed. Also make decoys available
- Printer's key known: Attack if also (LHS,RHS) pairing known

Some Other Schemes

Some Other Schemes

- Several schemes recently

Some Other Schemes

- Several schemes recently
  - Few security definitions/proofs

Some Other Schemes

- Several schemes recently
  - Few security definitions/proofs
- Punchscan

Some Other Schemes

- Several schemes recently
  - Few security definitions/proofs
- Punchscan
  - Two-layer ballot-sheet

Some Other Schemes

- Several schemes recently
  - Few security definitions/proofs
- Punchscan
  - Two-layer ballot-sheet



Some Other Schemes

- Several schemes recently
  - Few security definitions/proofs
- Punchscan
  - Two-layer ballot-sheet
- Scratch-and-Vote



Some Other Schemes

- Several schemes recently
  - Few security definitions/proofs
- Punchscan
  - Two-layer ballot-sheet
- Scratch-and-Vote
  - Punchscan variant



Some Other Schemes

- Several schemes recently
  - Few security definitions/proofs
- Punchscan
  - Two-layer ballot-sheet
- Scratch-and-Vote
  - Punchscan variant
  - To audit a ballot-sheet, scratch off and obtain randomness used in encryption



Some Other Schemes

- Several schemes recently
  - Few security definitions/proofs
- Punchscan
  - Two-layer ballot-sheet
- Scratch-and-Vote
  - Punchscan variant
  - To audit a ballot-sheet, scratch off and obtain randomness used in encryption





Back-Ends

Back-Ends

- Efficient (and publicly verifiable) MPC for tallying encrypted votes

Back-Ends

- Efficient (and publicly verifiable) MPC for tallying encrypted votes
- Using mix-nets: Shuffle, decrypt and tally

Back-Ends

- Efficient (and publicly verifiable) MPC for tallying encrypted votes
- Using mix-nets: Shuffle, decrypt and tally
- Using homomorphic counters: Tally and decrypt

Back-Ends

- Efficient (and publicly verifiable) MPC for tallying encrypted votes
- Using mix-nets: Shuffle, decrypt and tally
- Using homomorphic counters: Tally and decrypt
  - A single counter that is the concatenation of counters for each candidate

Back-Ends

- Efficient (and publicly verifiable) MPC for tallying encrypted votes
- Using mix-nets: Shuffle, decrypt and tally
- Using homomorphic counters: Tally and decrypt
  - A single counter that is the concatenation of counters for each candidate
    - To add to a counter for a candidate, must add after appropriately shifting

Back-Ends

- Efficient (and publicly verifiable) MPC for tallying encrypted votes
- Using mix-nets: Shuffle, decrypt and tally
- Using homomorphic counters: Tally and decrypt
  - A single counter that is the concatenation of counters for each candidate
    - To add to a counter for a candidate, must add after appropriately shifting
    - In Prêt à Voter, information on RHS: encryptions of the shifted value to be added for each possible mark

Other Issues

Other Issues

- Dispute resolution (without compromising voter's privacy)

Other Issues

- Dispute resolution (without compromising voter's privacy)
- Subliminal channels from polling booth to the adversary that facilitate coercion

Other Issues

- Dispute resolution (without compromising voter's privacy)
- Subliminal channels from polling booth to the adversary that facilitate coercion
  - Coerced voters could be asked to bring along a "verifier" (implemented as scratch cards etc.) to which they should "prove" that they are voting as promised

Other Issues

- Dispute resolution (without compromising voter's privacy)
- Subliminal channels from polling booth to the adversary that facilitate coercion
  - Coerced voters could be asked to bring along a "verifier" (implemented as scratch cards etc.) to which they should "prove" that they are voting as promised
  - Aggravated by allowing voters to audit at the polling-booth

Other Issues

- Dispute resolution (without compromising voter's privacy)
- Subliminal channels from polling booth to the adversary that facilitate coercion
  - Coerced voters could be asked to bring along a "verifier" (implemented as scratch cards etc.) to which they should "prove" that they are voting as promised
  - Aggravated by allowing voters to audit at the polling-booth
- Internet voting?

Other Issues

- Dispute resolution (without compromising voter's privacy)
- Subliminal channels from polling booth to the adversary that facilitate coercion
  - Coerced voters could be asked to bring along a "verifier" (implemented as scratch cards etc.) to which they should "prove" that they are voting as promised
  - Aggravated by allowing voters to audit at the polling-booth
- Internet voting?
  - Coercion is hard to prevent, but can be mitigated by allowing voters to change votes any time

Voting Schemes

Voting Schemes

- "Standard" (a.k.a plurality rule or First Past the Pole): each voter has a single vote and candidate with most votes win

Voting Schemes

- "Standard" (a.k.a plurality rule or First Past the Pole): each voter has a single vote and candidate with most votes win
- Approval voting: a voter can vote for arbitrary number of candidates; candidate with most votes win

Voting Schemes

- "Standard" (a.k.a plurality rule or First Past the Pole): each voter has a single vote and candidate with most votes win
- Approval voting: a voter can vote for arbitrary number of candidates; candidate with most votes win
- Condorcet voting: voters provide a full-ranking; defines a "tournament" between candidates, so that A beats B if A appears above B in more rankings than vice versa. If the tournament has a champion who beats everyone else, that candidate wins. Several special rules for handling cycles.

Voting Schemes

- "Standard" (a.k.a plurality rule or First Past the Pole): each voter has a single vote and candidate with most votes win
- Approval voting: a voter can vote for arbitrary number of candidates; candidate with most votes win
- Condorcet voting: voters provide a full-ranking; defines a "tournament" between candidates, so that A beats B if A appears above B in more rankings than vice versa. If the tournament has a champion who beats everyone else, that candidate wins. Several special rules for handling cycles.
- Multiple round tallying: Supplementary vote, Instant Run-off elections, Single Transferable Vote

Voting Schemes

- "Standard" (a.k.a plurality rule or First Past the Pole): each voter has a single vote and candidate with most votes win
- Approval voting: a voter can vote for arbitrary number of candidates; candidate with most votes win
- Condorcet voting: voters provide a full-ranking; defines a "tournament" between candidates, so that A beats B if A appears above B in more rankings than vice versa. If the tournament has a champion who beats everyone else, that candidate wins. Several special rules for handling cycles.
- Multiple round tallying: Supplementary vote, Instant Run-off elections, Single Transferable Vote
- Front-end and back-end need to be modified

Summary

Summary

- Several recent proposals for electronic voting

Summary

- Several recent proposals for electronic voting
  - Crypto tools based on homomorphic encryption

Summary

- Several recent proposals for electronic voting
  - Crypto tools based on homomorphic encryption
- Aims to get unprecedented level of confidence from individual voters and public auditors (E2E security)

Summary

- Several recent proposals for electronic voting
  - Crypto tools based on homomorphic encryption
- Aims to get unprecedented level of confidence from individual voters and public auditors (E2E security)
  - Challenge: Increases risk of coercion

Summary

- Several recent proposals for electronic voting
  - Crypto tools based on homomorphic encryption
- Aims to get unprecedented level of confidence from individual voters and public auditors (E2E security)
  - Challenge: Increases risk of coercion
- A cyber-physical system with avenue for new protocol techniques and attacks

Summary

- Several recent proposals for electronic voting
  - Crypto tools based on homomorphic encryption
- Aims to get unprecedented level of confidence from individual voters and public auditors (E2E security)
  - Challenge: Increases risk of coercion
- A cyber-physical system with avenue for new protocol techniques and attacks
- Few satisfactory security definitions yet (let alone proofs)