# Correlated Equilibrium – (CE)<br>(Aumann'74) (Aumann'74)

- **Mediator** declares a joint distribution P over  $S=x_i S_i$
- **Tosses a coin, chooses**  $s = (s_1, ..., s_n) \sim P$ **.**
- Suggests  $s_i$  to player *i* in private
- $\blacksquare$  P is at equilibrium if each player wants to follow the suggestion when others do.

 $U_i(S_i, P_{(S_i, )}) \geq U_i(S'_i, P_{(S_i, )})$ ,  $\forall s'_i \in S_1$ 

## CE for 2-Player Case

- **Mediator** declares a joint distribution  $P =$ **T** Tosses a coin, chooses  $(i, j)$ <sup>-1</sup>
- Suggests *i* to Alice, *j* to Bob, in private.
- $\blacksquare$  P is a CE if each player wants to follow the suggestion, when the other does.

Given Alice is suggested *i*, she knows Bob is suggested  $j \sim P(i,.)$ 

$$
\langle A(i, .), P(i, .) \rangle \ge \langle A(i', .), P(i, .) \rangle : \forall i' \in S_1
$$
  

$$
\langle B(., j), P(., j) \rangle \ge \langle B(., j'), P(., j) \rangle : \forall j' \in S_2
$$

Players: {Alice, Bob} Two options: {Football, Shopping}



Payoffs are  $(1.5, 1.5)$  Fair! CE!



C strictly dominates NC



When Alice is suggested R Bob must be following  $P_{(R_n)} \sim (0.1/6,1/6)$ Following the suggestion gives her  $1/6$ While P gives 0, and S gives  $1/6/2/6$ 

## Computation: Linear Feasibility Problem  $p_{ij}$   $p_{ij}$   $\Delta f p_{ij}$   $\sim$   $\sim$  $\eta_i p_{ij} \quad \forall l, l'$  $\chi_i p_{ij}$   $\chi_i p_{ij}$   $\sigma_j$  $\mathcal{P}_{ij}$   $\forall$  ], ] Game (A, B). Find, joint distribution

#### Computation: Linear Feasibility Problem

Game  $(A, B)$ . Find, joint distribution  $P =$ 

$$
\begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & \cdots & p_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p_{m1} & \cdots & p_{mn} \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\sum_{j} A_{ij} p_{ij} \ge \sum_{j} A_{i'j} p_{ij} \quad \forall i, i' \in S_1
$$
  

$$
\sum_{i} B_{ij} p_{ij} \ge \sum_{i} B_{ij'} p_{ij} \quad \forall j, j' \in S_2
$$
  

$$
\sum_{ij} p_{ij} = 1; \quad p_{ij} \ge 0, \quad \forall (i, j)
$$

N-player game: Find distribution P over  $S = \times_{i=1}^{N} S_i$ s.t.  $U_i(s_i, P_{(s_{i..})}) \geq U_i(s'_i, P_{(s_{i..})})$ ,  $\forall s_i, s'_i \in S_i$  $\sum_{s \in S} P(s) = 1$ Linear in P variables!  $\sum_{S_i \in S_{-i}} U_i(s_i, s_{-i}) P(s_i, s_{-i})$ 

#### Computation: Linear Feasibility Problem

N-player game: Find distribution P over  $S = \times_{i=1}^{N} S_i$ s.t.  $U_i(s_i, P_{(i,)}) \ge U_i(s'_i, P_{(s_i,)})$ ,  $\forall s_i, s'_i \in S_i$  $\sum_{s \in S} P(s) = 1$ Linear in P variables!  $\sum_{S_i \in S_{-i}} U_i(s_i, s_{-i}) P(s_i, s_{-i})$ 

Can optimize any convex function as well!

## Coarse-Correlated Equilibrium

- After mediator declares P, each player opts in or out.
- $\blacksquare$  Mediator tosses a coin, and chooses  $s \sim P$ .
- If player *i* opted in, then the mediator suggests her  $s_i$ **Coarse-Correlated Equilibrium**<br>After mediator declares P, each player opts in or out<br>Mediator tosses a coin, and chooses  $s \sim P$ .<br>If player *i* opted in, then the mediator suggests her *s*<br>in private, and she has to obey.
- $\blacksquare$  If she opted out, then (knowing nothing about s) plays a fixed strategy  $t \in S_i$
- At equilibrium, each player wants to opt in, if others are opting in.

 $U_i(P) \geq U_i(t, P_{-i})$ ,  $\forall t \in S_i$ 

Where  $P_{-i}$  is joint distribution of all players except *i*.

## Importance of (Coarse) CE

■ Natural dynamics quickly arrive at approximation of such equilibria. No-regret, Multiplicative Weight Update (MWU)

■ Poly-time computable in the size of the game. □ Can optimize a convex function too.

## Show the following



### Extensive-form Game

#### Players move one after another

- □ Chess, Poker, etc.
- $\Box$  Tree representation.

Strategy of a player: What to play at each of its node.





# A poker-like game zeo-sum Game. A poker-like game<br>• Both players put 1 chip in the pot<br>• Alice gets a card (King is a winning card,

- 
- A poker-like game<br>• Both players put 1 chip in the pot<br>• Alice gets a card (King is a winning card, Jack a losing card)<br>• Alice decides to raise (add one to the pot) or check **A poker-like game**<br>• Both players put 1 chip in the pot<br>• Alice gets a card (King is a winning card, Jack a losing card)<br>• Alice decides to raise (add one to the pot) or check<br>• Bob decides to call<br>•  $\leftarrow$
- 
- A poker-like game<br>• Both players put 1 chip in the p<br>• Alice gets a card (King is a wire<br>• Alice decides to raise (add one<br>• Bob decides to call<br>(match) or fold (Alice wins) (match) or fold (Alice wins) A POKET-IIKE game<br>• Both players put 1 chip in the p<br>• Alice gets a card (King is a wire<br>• Alice decides to raise (add one<br>• Bob decides to call<br>(match) or fold (Alice wins)<br>• If Bob called, Alice's<br>card determines
- card determines pot winner



## Poker-like game in normal form



Can be exponentially big!

## Sub-Game Perfect Equilibrium

- Every sub-tree is at equilibrium
- Computation when perfect information (no nature/chance move): Backward induction



## Sub-Game Perfect Equilibrium

- Every sub-tree is at equilibrium
- Computation when perfect information (no nature/chance move): Backward induction



## Corr. Eq. in Extensive form Game

- How to define?
	- □ CE in its normal-form representation.
- $\blacksquare$  Is it computable?
	- $\Box$  Recall: exponential blow up in size.
- $\blacksquare$  Can there be other notions?

See "Extensive-Form Correlated Equilibrium: Definition and Computational Complexity" by von Stengel and Forges, 2008.

Commitment **Commitment**<br>(Stackelberg strategies)





- von Stackelberg
- -
	- Bob observes the commitment and then chooses a column
- 

## Commitment: an extensive-form game

For the case of committing to a pure strategy:



## Commitment to mixed strategies



Also called a Stackelberg (mixed) strategy

## Commitment: an extensive-form game



- 
- 

Computing the optimal mixed strate<br>
commit to<br>
[Conitzer & Sandholm EC'06]<br>  $\frac{ax}{x} \left(\frac{a^{max}}{y^{max}}\right)$ Computing the optimal mixed strategy to commit to  $X^TAY$ 

- **Player 1 (Alice) is a leader.**
- Separate LP for every column  $i^* \in S_2$ :

maximize  $\sum_i x_i A_{i,i^*}$  Alice's utility when Bob plays  $i^*$ subject to  $\forall j$ ,  $(x^T B)_k \ge (x^T B)_k$  Playing j<sup>\*</sup> is best for Bob  $x$  is a probability distribution  $x \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_i x_i = 1$ 

> Among soln. of all the LPs, pick the one that gives max utility.

