

Quantum Computation

Lecture 27

And that's all we got time for!

State

State

- State of a classical computer labeled by (say) bit strings

State

- State of a classical computer labeled by (say) bit strings
 - e.g. 2-bit states: 00, 01, 10 and 11

State

- State of a classical computer labeled by (say) bit strings
 - e.g. 2-bit states: 00, 01, 10 and 11
- Probabilistic computation: state is a probability distribution over the basis states

State

- State of a classical computer labeled by (say) bit strings
 - e.g. 2-bit states: 00, 01, 10 and 11
- Probabilistic computation: state is a probability distribution over the basis states
 - $\mathbf{p} = (p_{00}, p_{01}, p_{10}, p_{11})$ s.t. p_{ij} non-negative and $\|\mathbf{p}\|_1 = 1$

State

- State of a classical computer labeled by (say) bit strings
 - e.g. 2-bit states: 00, 01, 10 and 11
- Probabilistic computation: state is a probability distribution over the basis states
 - $\mathbf{p} = (p_{00}, p_{01}, p_{10}, p_{11})$ s.t. p_{ij} non-negative and $\|\mathbf{p}\|_1 = 1$
- Quantum computation/Quantum mechanics: state is a real (or even complex) vector

State

- State of a classical computer labeled by (say) bit strings
 - e.g. 2-bit states: 00, 01, 10 and 11
- Probabilistic computation: state is a probability distribution over the basis states
 - $\mathbf{p} = (p_{00}, p_{01}, p_{10}, p_{11})$ s.t. p_{ij} non-negative and $\|\mathbf{p}\|_1 = 1$
- Quantum computation/Quantum mechanics: state is a real (or even complex) vector
 - $\mathbf{q} = (q_{00}, q_{01}, q_{10}, q_{11})$ s.t. $\|\mathbf{q}\|_2 = 1$

State

- State of a classical computer labeled by (say) bit strings
 - e.g. 2-bit states: 00, 01, 10 and 11
- Probabilistic computation: state is a probability distribution over the basis states
 - $\mathbf{p} = (p_{00}, p_{01}, p_{10}, p_{11})$ s.t. p_{ij} non-negative and $\|\mathbf{p}\|_1 = 1$
- Quantum computation/Quantum mechanics: state is a real (or even complex) vector
 - $\mathbf{q} = (q_{00}, q_{01}, q_{10}, q_{11})$ s.t. $\|\mathbf{q}\|_2 = 1$
 - q_s is the “amplitude” of basis state s

Qubits

Qubits

- State of a quantum system is stored as qubits

Qubits

- State of a quantum system is stored as qubits
 - Physically, some property (spin, polarization) of a particle (electron, photon) that takes two discrete values

Qubits

- State of a quantum system is stored as qubits
 - Physically, some property (spin, polarization) of a particle (electron, photon) that takes two discrete values
- State of a single qubit: a 2-dimensional vector of unit L_2 norm

Qubits

- State of a quantum system is stored as qubits
 - Physically, some property (spin, polarization) of a particle (electron, photon) that takes two discrete values
- State of a single qubit: a 2-dimensional vector of unit L_2 norm
 - Joint state of **independent** qubits: tensor product of their individual states (like classical probability)

Qubits

- State of a quantum system is stored as qubits
 - Physically, some property (spin, polarization) of a particle (electron, photon) that takes two discrete values
- State of a single qubit: a 2-dimensional vector of unit L_2 norm
 - Joint state of **independent** qubits: tensor product of their individual states (like classical probability)
- An m qubit system has 2^m basis states. Its quantum state can be **any** valid amplitude vector (2^m dimensional complex vector, with unit L_2 norm), not always separable into independent qubits

Qubits

- State of a quantum system is stored as qubits
 - Physically, some property (spin, polarization) of a particle (electron, photon) that takes two discrete values
- State of a single qubit: a 2-dimensional vector of unit L_2 norm
 - Joint state of **independent** qubits: tensor product of their individual states (like classical probability)
- An m qubit system has 2^m basis states. Its quantum state can be **any** valid amplitude vector (2^m dimensional complex vector, with unit L_2 norm), not always separable into independent qubits
 - e.g. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ -1]$. Also written as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |00\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |11\rangle$

Qubits

- State of a quantum system is stored as qubits
 - Physically, some property (spin, polarization) of a particle (electron, photon) that takes two discrete values
- State of a single qubit: a 2-dimensional vector of unit L_2 norm
 - Joint state of **independent** qubits: tensor product of their individual states (like classical probability)
- An m qubit system has 2^m basis states. Its quantum state can be **any** valid amplitude vector (2^m dimensional complex vector, with unit L_2 norm), not always separable into independent qubits
 - e.g. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \overset{|00\rangle}{1} & \overset{|01\rangle}{0} & \overset{|10\rangle}{0} & \overset{|11\rangle}{-1} \end{bmatrix}$. Also written as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |00\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |11\rangle$

Qubits

- State of a quantum system is stored as qubits
 - Physically, some property (spin, polarization) of a particle (electron, photon) that takes two discrete values
- State of a single qubit: a 2-dimensional vector of unit L_2 norm
 - Joint state of **independent** qubits: tensor product of their individual states (like classical probability)
- An m qubit system has 2^m basis states. Its quantum state can be **any** valid amplitude vector (2^m dimensional complex vector, with unit L_2 norm), not always separable into independent qubits
 - e.g. $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \overset{|00\rangle}{1} & \overset{|01\rangle}{0} & \overset{|10\rangle}{0} & \overset{|11\rangle}{-1} \end{bmatrix}$. Also written as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |00\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |11\rangle$
- (Also, state can be “mixed”: a probability distribution over amplitude vectors. Doesn’t change power of quantum computing)

Measuring a Quantum state

Measuring a Quantum state

- Measuring a state outputs one of the basis states, and the original state collapses to that basis state

Measuring a Quantum state

- Measuring a state outputs one of the basis states, and the original state collapses to that basis state
 - Probability of getting state $|i\rangle$ is the square of its amplitude

Measuring a Quantum state

- Measuring a state outputs one of the basis states, and the original state collapses to that basis state
 - Probability of getting state $|i\rangle$ is the square of its amplitude
 - Let's call the amplitude-square vector the measurement

Measuring a Quantum state

- Measuring a state outputs one of the basis states, and the original state collapses to that basis state
 - Probability of getting state $|i\rangle$ is the square of its amplitude
 - Let's call the amplitude-square vector the measurement
 - Measurement is a probability distribution over possible outcomes (namely the basis states)

Measuring a Quantum state

- Measuring a state outputs one of the basis states, and the original state collapses to that basis state
 - Probability of getting state $|i\rangle$ is the square of its amplitude
 - Let's call the amplitude-square vector the measurement
 - Measurement is a probability distribution over possible outcomes (namely the basis states)
- Can do partial measurement – i.e., measurement on some qubits only – and continue computing. State collapses to be consistent with the measurement

Measuring a Quantum state

- Measuring a state outputs one of the basis states, and the original state collapses to that basis state
 - Probability of getting state $|i\rangle$ is the square of its amplitude
 - Let's call the amplitude-square vector the measurement
 - Measurement is a probability distribution over possible outcomes (namely the basis states)
- Can do partial measurement – i.e., measurement on some qubits only – and continue computing. State collapses to be consistent with the measurement
 - Can modify computation to defer all measurements to the end

Measuring a Quantum state

- Measuring a state outputs one of the basis states, and the original state collapses to that basis state
 - Probability of getting state $|i\rangle$ is the square of its amplitude
 - Let's call the amplitude-square vector the measurement
 - Measurement is a probability distribution over possible outcomes (namely the basis states)
- Can do partial measurement – i.e., measurement on some qubits only – and continue computing. State collapses to be consistent with the measurement
 - Can modify computation to defer all measurements to the end
- Can choose “non-standard” bases for measurement. But again, can do without it

Operations on state

Operations on state

- Unitary operations: linear transforms that preserve the L_2 norm

Operations on state

- Unitary operations: linear transforms that preserve the L_2 norm
 - Multiplication by a **unitary matrix**: i.e., $U^\dagger = U^{-1}$

Operations on state

- Unitary operations: linear transforms that preserve the L_2 norm
 - Multiplication by a **unitary matrix**: i.e., $U^\dagger = U^{-1}$

Conjugate transpose

Operations on state

- Unitary operations: linear transforms that preserve the L_2 norm
 - Multiplication by a **unitary matrix**: i.e., $U^\dagger = U^{-1}$
 - For quantum computing can restrict to **real** matrices

Conjugate transpose

Operations on state

- Unitary operations: linear transforms that preserve the L_2 norm
 - Multiplication by a **unitary matrix**: i.e., $U^\dagger = U^{-1}$
 - For quantum computing can restrict to **real** matrices
- Unitary matrices are invertible

Conjugate transpose

Operations on state

- Unitary operations: linear transforms that preserve the L_2 norm
 - Multiplication by a **unitary matrix**: i.e., $U^\dagger = U^{-1}$
 - For quantum computing can restrict to **real** matrices
- Unitary matrices are invertible
 - Computation is reversible!

Conjugate transpose

Operations on state

- Unitary operations: linear transforms that preserve the L_2 norm

- Multiplication by a **unitary matrix**: i.e., $U^\dagger = U^{-1}$

- For quantum computing can restrict to **real** matrices

Conjugate transpose

- Unitary matrices are invertible

- Computation is reversible!

- e.g.: $\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1/2} & \sqrt{1/2} \\ \sqrt{1/2} & -\sqrt{1/2} \end{pmatrix}$ (on one qubit),

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(on 2 qubits)}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Operations on state

Operations on state

- Hadamard transform (on a single qubit)

Operations on state

- Hadamard transform (on a single qubit)
 - Takes $[1 \ 0]$ to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [1 \ 1]$, and $[0 \ 1]$ to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [1 \ -1]$

Operations on state

- Hadamard transform (on a single qubit)
 - Takes $[1 \ 0]$ to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [1 \ 1]$, and $[0 \ 1]$ to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [1 \ -1]$
 - Measurement of result of applying this to a basis state is $[\frac{1}{2} \ \frac{1}{2}]$ (i.e., can be used to toss a coin)

Operations on state

- Hadamard transform (on a single qubit)
 - Takes $[1 \ 0]$ to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [1 \ 1]$, and $[0 \ 1]$ to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [1 \ -1]$
 - Measurement of result of applying this to a basis state is $[\frac{1}{2} \ \frac{1}{2}]$ (i.e., can be used to toss a coin)
- A quantum effect:

Operations on state

- Hadamard transform (on a single qubit)
 - Takes $[1 \ 0]$ to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [1 \ 1]$, and $[0 \ 1]$ to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [1 \ -1]$
 - Measurement of result of applying this to a basis state is $[\frac{1}{2} \ \frac{1}{2}]$ (i.e., can be used to toss a coin)
- A quantum effect:
 - $\text{Had}([1 \ 0]) = [\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}]$; $\text{Had}([\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}]) = [1 \ 0]$.

Operations on state

- Hadamard transform (on a single qubit)
 - Takes $[1\ 0]$ to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [1\ 1]$, and $[0\ 1]$ to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [1\ -1]$
 - Measurement of result of applying this to a basis state is $[\frac{1}{2}\ \frac{1}{2}]$ (i.e., can be used to toss a coin)
- A quantum effect:
 - $\text{Had}([1\ 0]) = [\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}]$; $\text{Had}([\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}]) = [1\ 0]$.
 - Amplitudes of $|1\rangle$ destructively interfere!

Operations on state

- Hadamard transform (on a single qubit)
 - Takes $[1\ 0]$ to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [1\ 1]$, and $[0\ 1]$ to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [1\ -1]$
 - Measurement of result of applying this to a basis state is $[\frac{1}{2}\ \frac{1}{2}]$ (i.e., can be used to toss a coin)
- A quantum effect:
 - $\text{Had}([1\ 0]) = [\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}]$; $\text{Had}([\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}]) = [1\ 0]$.
 - Amplitudes of $|1\rangle$ destructively interfere!
 - Contrast with classical case: probabilities can only add

Quantum gates

Quantum gates

- A quantum gate: Unitary operation on a small number of (say three) qubits

Quantum gates

- A quantum gate: Unitary operation on a small number of (say three) qubits
 - Number of input qubits equals number of output qubits

Quantum gates

- A quantum gate: Unitary operation on a small number of (say three) qubits
 - Number of input qubits equals number of output qubits
 - There are infinitely many quantum gates

Quantum gates

- A quantum gate: Unitary operation on a small number of (say three) qubits
 - Number of input qubits equals number of output qubits
 - There are infinitely many quantum gates
- A universal set of gates: can be used to well approximate any gate

Quantum gates

- A quantum gate: Unitary operation on a small number of (say three) qubits
 - Number of input qubits equals number of output qubits
 - There are infinitely many quantum gates
- A universal set of gates: can be used to well approximate any gate
 - e.g. Hadamard gate and Toffoli gate (when restricted to real amplitudes)

Quantum gates

- A quantum gate: Unitary operation on a small number of (say three) qubits
 - Number of input qubits equals number of output qubits
 - There are infinitely many quantum gates
- A universal set of gates: can be used to well approximate any gate
 - e.g. Hadamard gate and Toffoli gate (when restricted to real amplitudes)
 - Toffoli gate has a classical analog (on 3 bits) that can be described as $T(a,b,c) = (a,b,c \oplus a \wedge b)$

Cleaning up the Garbage

Cleaning up the Garbage

- Since only reversible gates, need extra qubits (scratch space) as input and output

Cleaning up the Garbage

- Since only reversible gates, need extra qubits (scratch space) as input and output
- At the output, the values of this scratch qubits will depend on the input more than the desired output depends on it

Cleaning up the Garbage

- Since only reversible gates, need extra qubits (scratch space) as input and output
- At the output, the values of this scratch qubits will depend on the input more than the desired output depends on it
 - "Garbage"

Cleaning up the Garbage

- Since only reversible gates, need extra qubits (scratch space) as input and output
- At the output, the values of this scratch qubits will depend on the input more than the desired output depends on it
 - “Garbage”
- Can be a problem: e.g., two amplitudes will not cancel out because their garbage values are different

Cleaning up the Garbage

- Since only reversible gates, need extra qubits (scratch space) as input and output
- At the output, the values of this scratch qubits will depend on the input more than the desired output depends on it
 - “Garbage”
- Can be a problem: e.g., two amplitudes will not cancel out because their garbage values are different
- Solution: Ensure garbage qubits are returned to a standard state, by “uncomputing”

Cleaning up the Garbage

- Since only reversible gates, need extra qubits (scratch space) as input and output
- At the output, the values of this scratch qubits will depend on the input more than the desired output depends on it
 - “Garbage”
- Can be a problem: e.g., two amplitudes will not cancel out because their garbage values are different
- Solution: Ensure garbage qubits are returned to a standard state, by “uncomputing”
 - “Copy” the output to unused qubits, and run the reverse computation to return the rest to original state

Quantum Circuits and BQP

Quantum Circuits and BQP

- Quantum circuit: composed of quantum gates

Quantum Circuits and BQP

- Quantum circuit: composed of quantum gates
 - And a quantum measurement at the end

Quantum Circuits and BQP

- Quantum circuit: composed of quantum gates
 - And a quantum measurement at the end
- To decide a language measurement on a single qubit

Quantum Circuits and BQP

- Quantum circuit: composed of quantum gates
 - And a quantum measurement at the end
- To decide a language measurement on a single qubit
- We shall require a **poly-time uniform** circuit family

Quantum Circuits and BQP

- Quantum circuit: composed of quantum gates
 - And a quantum measurement at the end
- To decide a language measurement on a single qubit
- We shall require a **poly-time uniform** circuit family
 - It should be possible for a (classical/deterministic) TM to efficiently output the description of the quantum circuit for any given input length

Quantum Circuits and BQP

- Quantum circuit: composed of quantum gates
 - And a quantum measurement at the end
- To decide a language measurement on a single qubit
- We shall require a **poly-time uniform** circuit family
 - It should be possible for a (classical/deterministic) TM to efficiently output the description of the quantum circuit for any given input length
- **BQP**: Class of languages L for which there is a poly-sized (and poly-time uniform) quantum circuit family $\{C_n\}$ s.t. for all n , for all x , $|x|=n$,

Quantum Circuits and BQP

- Quantum circuit: composed of quantum gates
 - And a quantum measurement at the end
- To decide a language measurement on a single qubit
- We shall require a **poly-time uniform** circuit family
 - It should be possible for a (classical/deterministic) TM to efficiently output the description of the quantum circuit for any given input length
- **BQP**: Class of languages L for which there is a poly-sized (and poly-time uniform) quantum circuit family $\{C_n\}$ s.t. for all n , for all x , $|x|=n$,
 - $x \in L \Rightarrow C_n(|x0^m\rangle) = 1$ w.p. $> 2/3$; $x \notin L \Rightarrow C_n(|x0^m\rangle) = 1$ w.p. $< 1/3$

BQP

BQP

- **BPP** \subseteq **BQP**: Classical gates and coin-flipping can be emulated by quantum gates

BQP

- **BPP** \subseteq **BQP**: Classical gates and coin-flipping can be emulated by quantum gates
- Probability of a quantum circuit (with say Hadamard and Toffoli gates) accepting can be calculated classically, by brute force

BQP

- **BPP** \subseteq **BQP**: Classical gates and coin-flipping can be emulated by quantum gates
- Probability of a quantum circuit (with say Hadamard and Toffoli gates) accepting can be calculated classically, by brute force
 - Multiply together all $2^n \times 2^n$ unitary matrices in EXP

BQP

- **BPP** \subseteq **BQP**: Classical gates and coin-flipping can be emulated by quantum gates
- Probability of a quantum circuit (with say Hadamard and Toffoli gates) accepting can be calculated classically, by brute force
 - Multiply together all $2^n \times 2^n$ unitary matrices in EXP
 - More carefully, since each gate involves only 3 qubits, in PSPACE

BQP

- **BPP** \subseteq **BQP**: Classical gates and coin-flipping can be emulated by quantum gates
- Probability of a quantum circuit (with say Hadamard and Toffoli gates) accepting can be calculated classically, by brute force
 - Multiply together all $2^n \times 2^n$ unitary matrices in EXP
 - More carefully, since each gate involves only 3 qubits, in PSPACE
 - In fact, can be done in PP. i.e., **BQP** \subseteq **PP**

BQP

- **BPP** \subseteq **BQP**: Classical gates and coin-flipping can be emulated by quantum gates
- Probability of a quantum circuit (with say Hadamard and Toffoli gates) accepting can be calculated classically, by brute force
 - Multiply together all $2^n \times 2^n$ unitary matrices in EXP
 - More carefully, since each gate involves only 3 qubits, in PSPACE
 - In fact, can be done in PP. i.e., **BQP** \subseteq **PP**
- How about BQP and NP?

Two Quantum Algorithms

Two Quantum Algorithms

- Grover's Search

Two Quantum Algorithms

- Grover's Search

- Quadratic speedup for NP-complete problems (over the best known classical algorithms)

Two Quantum Algorithms

- Grover's Search

- Quadratic speedup for NP-complete problems (over the best known classical algorithms)
- Solve any NP problem with $O(2^{n/2})$ quantum gate operations

Two Quantum Algorithms

- Grover's Search

- Quadratic speedup for NP-complete problems (over the best known classical algorithms)
- Solve any NP problem with $O(2^{n/2})$ quantum gate operations

- Shor's Factoring

Two Quantum Algorithms

- Grover's Search

- Quadratic speedup for NP-complete problems (over the best known classical algorithms)
- Solve any NP problem with $O(2^{n/2})$ quantum gate operations

- Shor's Factoring

- Polynomial sized quantum circuit for factoring

Two Quantum Algorithms

- Grover's Search

- Quadratic speedup for NP-complete problems (over the best known classical algorithms)
- Solve any NP problem with $O(2^{n/2})$ quantum gate operations

- Shor's Factoring

- Polynomial sized quantum circuit for factoring
- Exponential speedup over the best known classical algorithms

Grover's Search

Grover's Search

- Suppose f has a unique satisfying input z

Grover's Search

- Suppose f has a unique satisfying input z
- Otherwise, modify f (by adding a hash “filter”) so that with good probability it has a unique solution (if any)

Grover's Search

- Suppose f has a unique satisfying input z
 - Otherwise, modify f (by adding a hash “filter”) so that with good probability it has a unique solution (if any)
- Plan: start with the uniform superposition on n -qubits (i.e., all 2^n states have same amplitude), and move it closer to (unknown) $|z\rangle$

Grover's Search

- Suppose f has a unique satisfying input z
 - Otherwise, modify f (by adding a hash “filter”) so that with good probability it has a unique solution (if any)
- Plan: start with the uniform superposition on n -qubits (i.e., all 2^n states have same amplitude), and move it closer to (unknown) $|z\rangle$
 - Apply operations: (1) take $|x0\rangle$ to $|x f(x)\rangle$ (2) take $|x1\rangle$ to $-|x1\rangle$, and $|x0\rangle$ to $|x0\rangle$ and (3) take $|xy\rangle$ to $|x y \oplus f(x)\rangle$

Grover's Search

- Suppose f has a unique satisfying input z
 - Otherwise, modify f (by adding a hash “filter”) so that with good probability it has a unique solution (if any)
- Plan: start with the uniform superposition on n -qubits (i.e., all 2^n states have same amplitude), and move it closer to (unknown) $|z\rangle$
 - Apply operations: (1) take $|x0\rangle$ to $|x f(x)\rangle$ (2) take $|x1\rangle$ to $-|x1\rangle$, and $|x0\rangle$ to $|x0\rangle$ and (3) take $|xy\rangle$ to $|x y \oplus f(x)\rangle$

uses scratch qubits

Grover's Search

- Suppose f has a unique satisfying input z
 - Otherwise, modify f (by adding a hash “filter”) so that with good probability it has a unique solution (if any)
- Plan: start with the uniform superposition on n -qubits (i.e., all 2^n states have same amplitude), and move it closer to (unknown) $|z\rangle$
 - Apply operations: (1) take $|x0\rangle$ to $|x f(x)\rangle$ (2) take $|x1\rangle$ to $-|x1\rangle$, and $|x0\rangle$ to $|x0\rangle$ and (3) take $|xy\rangle$ to $|x y \oplus f(x)\rangle$
 - Takes $|z\rangle$ to $-|z\rangle$, and leaves other amplitudes unchanged

uses scratch qubits

Grover's Search

- Suppose f has a unique satisfying input z
 - Otherwise, modify f (by adding a hash “filter”) so that with good probability it has a unique solution (if any)
- Plan: start with the uniform superposition on n -qubits (i.e., all 2^n states have same amplitude), and move it closer to (unknown) $|z\rangle$
 - Apply operations: (1) take $|x0\rangle$ to $|x f(x)\rangle$ (2) take $|x1\rangle$ to $-|x1\rangle$, and $|x0\rangle$ to $|x0\rangle$ and (3) take $|xy\rangle$ to $|x y \oplus f(x)\rangle$
 - Takes $|z\rangle$ to $-|z\rangle$, and leaves other amplitudes unchanged
 - One more “reflection” to take the vector close to $|z\rangle$

uses scratch qubits

Grover's Search

- Suppose f has a unique satisfying input z
 - Otherwise, modify f (by adding a hash “filter”) so that with good probability it has a unique solution (if any)
- Plan: start with the uniform superposition on n -qubits (i.e., all 2^n states have same amplitude), and move it closer to (unknown) $|z\rangle$
 - Apply operations: (1) take $|x0\rangle$ to $|x f(x)\rangle$ (2) take $|x1\rangle$ to $-|x1\rangle$, and $|x0\rangle$ to $|x0\rangle$ and (3) take $|xy\rangle$ to $|x y \oplus f(x)\rangle$
 - Takes $|z\rangle$ to $-|z\rangle$, and leaves other amplitudes unchanged
 - One more “reflection” to take the vector close to $|z\rangle$
- In $O(2^{n/2})$ iterations, amplitude of $|z\rangle$ becomes large (i.e., constant)

uses scratch qubits

Shor's Factoring

Shor's Factoring

- By basic algebra, to factor a number N , enough to find the order r of a random number $A \pmod{N}$

Shor's Factoring

- By basic algebra, to factor a number N , enough to find the order r of a random number $A \pmod{N}$
 - i.e., smallest r s.t. $A^r \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$

Shor's Factoring

- By basic algebra, to factor a number N , enough to find the order r of a random number $A \pmod{N}$
 - i.e., smallest r s.t. $A^r \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$
- Prepare a superposition of states $|x\rangle |A^x \bmod N\rangle$ (for all x); pick random y_0 and make a measurement on second set of qubits to collapse the state to superposition over $|x\rangle |y_0\rangle$ where $x = x_0 + ri$ (for all i)

Shor's Factoring

- By basic algebra, to factor a number N , enough to find the order r of a random number $A \pmod{N}$
 - i.e., smallest r s.t. $A^r \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$
- Prepare a superposition of states $|x\rangle |A^x \bmod N\rangle$ (for all x); pick random y_0 and make a measurement on second set of qubits to collapse the state to superposition over $|x\rangle |y_0\rangle$ where $x = x_0 + ri$ (for all i)
 - Need to find the period r of this function

Shor's Factoring

- By basic algebra, to factor a number N , enough to find the order r of a random number $A \pmod{N}$
 - i.e., smallest r s.t. $A^r \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$
- Prepare a superposition of states $|x\rangle |A^x \bmod N\rangle$ (for all x); pick random y_0 and make a measurement on second set of qubits to collapse the state to superposition over $|x\rangle |y_0\rangle$ where $x = x_0 + ri$ (for all i)
 - Need to find the period r of this function
 - Tool used: Quantum Fourier Transform

QFT for determining period

QFT for determining period

- Recall Fourier Transform for functions $f: \{0,1\}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

QFT for determining period

- Recall Fourier Transform for functions $f: \{0,1\}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - Basis vectors: $X_x(y) = (-1)^{xy}$ (normalized)

QFT for determining period

- Recall Fourier Transform for functions $f: \{0,1\}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - Basis vectors: $X_x(y) = (-1)^{xy}$ (normalized)
- Fourier Transform of $f: \mathbb{Z}_M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

QFT for determining period

- Recall Fourier Transform for functions $f: \{0,1\}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - Basis vectors: $X_x(y) = (-1)^{xy}$ (normalized)
- Fourier Transform of $f: \mathbb{Z}_M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - Basis vectors: $X_x(y) = \omega^{xy}$ (normalized), where $\omega = e^{i2\pi/M}$

QFT for determining period

- Recall Fourier Transform for functions $f: \{0,1\}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - Basis vectors: $X_x(y) = (-1)^{xy}$ (normalized)
- Fourier Transform of $f: \mathbb{Z}_M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - Basis vectors: $X_x(y) = \omega^{xy}$ (normalized), where $\omega = e^{i2\pi/M}$
 - X_x is periodic (with period depending on x)

QFT for determining period

- Recall Fourier Transform for functions $f: \{0,1\}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - Basis vectors: $X_x(y) = (-1)^{xy}$ (normalized)
- Fourier Transform of $f: \mathbb{Z}_M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - Basis vectors: $X_x(y) = \omega^{xy}$ (normalized), where $\omega = e^{i2\pi/M}$
 - X_x is periodic (with period depending on x)
 - If f is periodic, then $\hat{f}(x)$ (coefficient of X_x in f 's FT) will be large for some x which is related to f 's period

QFT for determining period

- Recall Fourier Transform for functions $f: \{0,1\}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - Basis vectors: $X_x(y) = (-1)^{xy}$ (normalized)
- Fourier Transform of $f: \mathbb{Z}_M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - Basis vectors: $X_x(y) = \omega^{xy}$ (normalized), where $\omega = e^{i2\pi/M}$
 - X_x is periodic (with period depending on x)
 - If f is periodic, then $\hat{f}(x)$ (coefficient of X_x in f 's FT) will be large for some x which is related to f 's period
- QFT: initial state = $\sum_x f(x) |x\rangle$ and final state = $\sum_x \hat{f}(x) |x\rangle$

QFT for determining period

- Recall Fourier Transform for functions $f: \{0,1\}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - Basis vectors: $X_x(y) = (-1)^{xy}$ (normalized)
- Fourier Transform of $f: \mathbb{Z}_M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - Basis vectors: $X_x(y) = \omega^{xy}$ (normalized), where $\omega = e^{i2\pi/M}$
 - X_x is periodic (with period depending on x)
 - If f is periodic, then $\hat{f}(x)$ (coefficient of X_x in f 's FT) will be large for some x which is related to f 's period
- QFT: initial state = $\sum_x f(x) |x\rangle$ and final state = $\sum_x \hat{f}(x) |x\rangle$
 - Using an $O(\log^2 M)$ sized quantum circuit

QFT for determining period

- Recall Fourier Transform for functions $f: \{0,1\}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - Basis vectors: $X_x(y) = (-1)^{xy}$ (normalized)
- Fourier Transform of $f: \mathbb{Z}_M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - Basis vectors: $X_x(y) = \omega^{xy}$ (normalized), where $\omega = e^{i2\pi/M}$
 - X_x is periodic (with period depending on x)
 - If f is periodic, then $\hat{f}(x)$ (coefficient of X_x in f 's FT) will be large for some x which is related to f 's period
- QFT: initial state = $\sum_x f(x) |x\rangle$ and final state = $\sum_x \hat{f}(x) |x\rangle$
 - Using an $O(\log^2 M)$ sized quantum circuit
- Measuring the final state gives x with large coefficients with good probability. Enough to retrieve f 's period.

Topics left out

Topics left out

- Derandomization and Extraction (lot of expander graphs here)

Topics left out

- Derandomization and Extraction (lot of expander graphs here)
- Hardness Amplification (useful in derandomization; lot of error correcting codes)

Topics left out

- Derandomization and Extraction (lot of expander graphs here)
- Hardness Amplification (useful in derandomization; lot of error correcting codes)
- More PCP and hardness of approximation (lot of Fourier analysis)

Topics left out

- Derandomization and Extraction (lot of expander graphs here)
- Hardness Amplification (useful in derandomization; lot of error correcting codes)
- More PCP and hardness of approximation (lot of Fourier analysis)
- More on Quantum Computation, Quantum error correction, Quantum communication (linear algebra over complex numbers)

Topics left out

- Derandomization and Extraction (lot of expander graphs here)
- Hardness Amplification (useful in derandomization; lot of error correcting codes)
- More PCP and hardness of approximation (lot of Fourier analysis)
- More on Quantum Computation, Quantum error correction, Quantum communication (linear algebra over complex numbers)
- Algebraic Models of Computation

Topics left out

- Derandomization and Extraction (lot of expander graphs here)
- Hardness Amplification (useful in derandomization; lot of error correcting codes)
- More PCP and hardness of approximation (lot of Fourier analysis)
- More on Quantum Computation, Quantum error correction, Quantum communication (linear algebra over complex numbers)
- Algebraic Models of Computation
- Logical characterizations, Proof complexity

Topics left out

- Derandomization and Extraction (lot of expander graphs here)
- Hardness Amplification (useful in derandomization; lot of error correcting codes)
- More PCP and hardness of approximation (lot of Fourier analysis)
- More on Quantum Computation, Quantum error correction, Quantum communication (linear algebra over complex numbers)
- Algebraic Models of Computation
- Logical characterizations, Proof complexity
- Cryptography...