Complexity Homework 2

Released: February 10, 2010 Due: February 24, 2010

Problem 1:

We say that a complexity class X is closed downward under Karp reductions if:

for all languages
$$A, B: A \in \mathbf{X}$$
 and $B \leq_P A \implies B \in \mathbf{X}$

Show that **E** and **NE** are *not* closed downward under Karp reductions. (These two complexity classes were defined in the previous problem set.)

Problem 2:

We know, by the time-hierarchy theorem, that $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{DTIME}(n)$. But now, show that $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{DSPACE}(n)$. Hint: Consider the property defined in the previous problem.

Problem 3:

A language A is polynomial-time downward self-reducible if there is a polynomial-time oracle machine M such that:

- $L(M^A) = A$. That is, when given an oracle for A, M decides A (self-reducibility).
- On input x, M only queries the oracle on strings smaller than x (downward reducibility).

The second restriction is necessary to make the property interesting – otherwise, on input x, M could just directly ask the oracle if $x \in A$.

- (a) Show that SAT and TQBF are polynomial-time downward self-reducible.
- (b) Show that if L is polynomial-time downward self-reducible, then $L \in \mathbf{PSPACE}$.

Problem 4:

An oracle machine is called a *robust oracle machine* if the language accepted by it remains the same no matter which oracle is used (however the running time may vary). Show that a language L is decided by M^K in polynomial time where M is a robust oracle machine and K is some oracle, if and only if $L \in \mathbf{NP} \cap \mathbf{co-NP}$.

Problem 5:

Consider any game between two parties Alice and Bob which will terminate in a finite number of steps, with only two possible outcomes, say 0 and 1.

In the class we saw that any such game falls into one of the two following kinds: (1) games in which Alice has a strategy to force the outcome to be 1, and (2) games in which Bob has a strategy to force the outcome to be 0. (The strategies may be hard to compute, given the description of the game.)

Show a more general result, that any game falls into one of the four categories:

- (a) Games in which Alice has a strategy to force the outcome to be 0 and she has a strategy to force the outcome to be 1.
- (b) Games in which Bob has a strategy to force the outcome to be 0 and he has a strategy to force the outcome to be 1.
- (c) Games in which both Alice and Bob have strategies to force the outcome to be 0.
- (d) Games in which both Alice and Bob have strategies to force the outcome to be 1.

(Note that types (a) and (d) are of type (1) above, and types (b) and (c) are of type (2). Hence this result is more general than the one we saw in class.)