Lecture 0

Computation



A paradigm of modern science

Computation

A paradigm of modern science

Theory of computation/computational complexity is to computer science what theoretical physics is to electronics

© Computation:

© Computation:

Problems to be solved

© Computation:

Problems to be solvedAlgorithms to solve them

© Computation:

Problems to be solved
Algorithms to solve them
in various models of computation

© Computation:

Problems to be solved
Algorithms to solve them
in various models of computation
Complexity of a problem (in a comp. model)

© Computation:

Problems to be solved
Algorithms to solve them
in various models of computation
Complexity of a problem (in a comp. model)
How much "resource" is sufficient/necessary

Problems

of

Models of computation

in

w.r.t Complexity measures

Input represented as (say) a binary string

Input represented as (say) a binary string
Given input, find a "satisfactory output"

Input represented as (say) a binary string
Given input, find a "satisfactory output"
Function evaluation: only one correct output

Input represented as (say) a binary string
Given input, find a "satisfactory output"
Function evaluation: only one correct output
Approximate evaluation

Input represented as (say) a binary string
Given input, find a "satisfactory output"
Function evaluation: only one correct output
Approximate evaluation
Search problem: find one of many (if any)

Input represented as (say) a binary string Given input, find a "satisfactory output" Function evaluation: only one correct output Approximate evaluation Search problem: find one of many (if any) Decision problem: find out if any

Input represented as (say) a binary string Given input, find a "satisfactory output" Function evaluation: only one correct output Approximate evaluation Search problem: find one of many (if any) Decision problem: find out if any A Boolean function evaluation (TRUE/FALSE)

Sevaluate a Boolean function (TRUE/FALSE)

Evaluate a Boolean function (TRUE/FALSE)
i.e., Decide if input has some property

Evaluate a Boolean function (TRUE/FALSE)
i.e., Decide if input has some property
Language

Evaluate a Boolean function (TRUE/FALSE)
i.e., Decide if input has some property
Language

Set of inputs with a particular property

Evaluate a Boolean function (TRUE/FALSE)
i.e., Decide if input has some property
Language

Set of inputs with a particular property
e.g. L = {x | x has equal number of 0s and 1s}

Evaluate a Boolean function (TRUE/FALSE)
i.e., Decide if input has some property
Language

Set of inputs with a particular property
e.g. L = {x | x has equal number of 0s and 1s}
Decide if input is in L

Some languages are "simpler" than others

Some languages are "simpler" than others
 L₁ = {x | x starts with 0}

Some languages are "simpler" than others
L₁ = {x | x starts with 0}
L₂ = {x | x has equal number of 0s and 1s}

Some languages are "simpler" than others
L₁ = {x | x starts with 0}
L₂ = {x | x has equal number of 0s and 1s}
Simpler in what way?

Some languages are "simpler" than others
L₁ = {x | x starts with 0}
L₂ = {x | x has equal number of 0s and 1s}
Simpler in what way?

Fewer calculations, less memory, need not read all input, can do in an FSM

Some languages are "simpler" than others
L₁ = {x | x starts with 0}
L₂ = {x | x has equal number of 0s and 1s}
Simpler in what way?

Fewer calculations, less memory, need not read all input, can do in an FSM

 Nonskers

 Nonskers

Relating complexities of problems
Relating complexities of problems
 Mo = {x | x has more 0s than 1s}

Relating complexities of problems
Mo = {x | x has more 0s than 1s}
Eq = {x | x has equal number of 0s and 1s}

Relating complexities of problems
Mo = {x | x has more 0s than 1s}
Eq = {x | x has equal number of 0s and 1s}
Eq(x):

Relating complexities of problems
Mo = {x | x has more 0s than 1s}
Eq = {x | x has equal number of 0s and 1s}
Eq(x):

if (Mo(x0) == TRUE and Mo(x) == FALSE) then TRUE; else FALSE

Relating complexities of problems
Mo = {x | x has more Os than 1s}
Eq = {x | x has equal number of Os and 1s}
Eq(x):

if (Mo(x0) == TRUE and Mo(x) == FALSE)
 then TRUE; else FALSE

Eq is not (much) more complex than Mo.
 Mo is at least (almost) as complex as Eq.

Relating complexities of problems \oslash Mo = {x | x has more Os than 1s} \oslash Eq = {x | x has equal number of 0s and 1s} Eq reduces to Mo if (Mo(xO) == TRUE and Mo(x) == FALSE)then TRUE; else FALSE

Eq is not (much) more complex than Mo.
 Mo is at least (almost) as complex as Eq.

@ FSM, PDA, TM

FSM, PDA, TM

Variations: Non-deterministic, probabilistic.
 Other models: quantum computation

FSM, PDA, TM

Variations: Non-deterministic, probabilistic.
 Other models: quantum computation

Church-Turing thesis: TM is as "powerful" as it gets

FSM, PDA, TM

Variations: Non-deterministic, probabilistic.
 Other models: quantum computation

Church-Turing thesis: TM is as "powerful" as it gets

Not enough TMs (algorithms/programs) to solve all decision problems!

FSM, PDA, TM

Variations: Non-deterministic, probabilistic.
 Other models: quantum computation

Church-Turing thesis: TM is as "powerful" as it gets

Not enough TMs (algorithms/programs) to solve all decision problems!

Non-uniform computation: circuit families

Number of computational steps, amount of memory, circuit size/depth, ...

Number of computational steps, amount of memory, circuit size/depth, ...

 Exact numbers very much dependent on exact specification of the model (e.g. no. of tapes in TM)

Number of computational steps, amount of memory, circuit size/depth, ...

 Exact numbers very much dependent on exact specification of the model (e.g. no. of tapes in TM)

But "broad trends" robust

Number of computational steps, amount of memory, circuit size/depth, ...

 Exact numbers very much dependent on exact specification of the model (e.g. no. of tapes in TM)

But "broad trends" robust



Number of computational steps, amount of memory, circuit size/depth, ...

 Exact numbers very much dependent on exact specification of the model (e.g. no. of tapes in TM)

But "broad trends" robust

Trends: asymptotic



Number of computational steps, amount of memory, circuit size/depth, ...

 Exact numbers very much dependent on exact specification of the model (e.g. no. of tapes in TM)

But "broad trends" robust

Trends: asymptotic

Broad: Log, Poly, Exp



Number of computational steps, amount of memory, circuit size/depth, ...

 Exact numbers very much dependent on exact specification of the model (e.g. no. of tapes in TM)

But "broad trends" robust

Trends: asymptotic

Broad: Log, Poly, Exp



Output Understand complexity of problems (i.e., how much resource used by best algorithm for it)

Understand complexity of problems (i.e., how much resource used by best algorithm for it)
 Relate problems to each other [Reduce]

Understand complexity of problems (i.e., how much resource used by best algorithm for it)
 Relate problems to each other [Reduce]
 Relate computational models/complexity measures to each other [Simulate]

Understand complexity of problems (i.e., how much resource used by best algorithm for it)
 Relate problems to each other [Reduce]
 Relate computational models/complexity measures to each other [Simulate]
 Calculate complexity of problems

 Collect (decision) problems with similar complexity into classes

 Collect (decision) problems with similar complexity into classes



Collect (decision)
 problems with
 similar complexity
 into classes



 Collect (decision) problems with similar complexity into classes



Collect (decision)
 problems with
 similar complexity
 into classes



 Collect (decision) problems with similar complexity into classes



 Collect (decision) problems with similar complexity into classes



- Collect (decision) problems with similar complexity into classes
 - Relate classes to each other



- Collect (decision) problems with similar complexity into classes
 - Relate classes to each other



- Collect (decision) problems with similar complexity into classes
 - Relate classes to each other


Complexity Classes

- Collect (decision) problems with similar complexity into classes
 - Relate classes to each other



Complexity Classes

- Collect (decision)
 problems with
 similar complexity
 into classes
 - Relate classes to each other
 - Hundreds of classes!



Complexity Zoo!

Collect problems
 with similar
 complexity into
 classes

Relate classes to each other

Hundreds of classes!



 Collect problems with similar complexity into classes

> Relate classes to each other

Hundreds of classes!



Complexity Zoo!

 Collect problems with similar complexity into classes

> Relate classes to each other

Hundreds of classes!



 With various models of computation: decision trees, interactive settings, probabilistic computation

- With various models of computation: decision trees, interactive settings, probabilistic computation
- Various measures: depth, width, amount of communication, number of rounds, amount of randomness, amount of non-uniformity, ...

- With various models of computation: decision trees, interactive settings, probabilistic computation
- Various measures: depth, width, amount of communication, number of rounds, amount of randomness, amount of non-uniformity, ...
- Various connections: time vs. space, randomness vs. hardness

 Need to prove that a scheme is secure (according to some definition)

 Need to prove that a scheme is secure (according to some definition)

i.e., breaking security has high complexity

 Need to prove that a scheme is secure (according to some definition)

i.e., breaking security has high complexity

Reductions: if you could break my scheme's security efficiently, I can solve a hard problem almost as efficiently

 Need to prove that a scheme is secure (according to some definition)

i.e., breaking security has high complexity

Reductions: if you could break my scheme's security efficiently, I can solve a hard problem almost as efficiently

Hard problems: almost all instances hard

 Need to prove that a scheme is secure (according to some definition)

i.e., breaking security has high complexity

Reductions: if you could break my scheme's security efficiently, I can solve a hard problem almost as efficiently

Hard problems: almost all instances hard

For most keys scheme should be secure

• Welcome to CS 579!

Welcome to CS 579!
 Textbook: <u>www.cs.princeton.edu/theory/complexity/</u>

Welcome to CS 579!
Textbook: <u>www.cs.princeton.edu/theory/complexity/</u>
About 6 assignments and a class test

Welcome to CS 579!
Textbook: <u>www.cs.princeton.edu/theory/complexity/</u>
About 6 assignments and a class test
Office hours: TBA