CS576 Topics in Automated Deduction

Elsa L Gunter
2112 SC, UIUC
egunter@illinois.edu
http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs576

Slides based in part on slides by Tobias Nipow

January 22, 2015

Time for a demo of types and terms
(and a simple lemma)

Overview of Isabelle/HOL

HOL

- HOL = Higher-Order Logic
- HOL = Types + Lambda Calculus + Logic
- HOL has
  - datatypes
  - recursive functions
  - logical operators ($\wedge$, $\vee$, $\longrightarrow$, $\forall$, $\exists$, $\ldots$)
- HOL is very similar to a functional programming language
- Higher-order = functions are values, too!

Formulae (Approximation)

- Syntax (in decreasing priority):

$$
\begin{array}{lcll}
\mathit{form} & ::= & (\mathit{form}) & | \quad \mathit{term} = \mathit{term} \\
 & | & \neg \mathit{form} & | \quad \mathit{form} \wedge \mathit{form} \\
 & | & \mathit{form} \vee \mathit{form} & | \quad \mathit{form} \longrightarrow \mathit{form} \\
 & | & \forall x.\ \mathit{form} & | \quad \exists x.\ \mathit{form} \\
 & & \text{and some others} &
\end{array}
$$

- Scope of quantifiers: as for to right as possible

jEdit Input

Input of math symbols in jEdit

- via "standard" ascii name: `&`, `|`, `-->`, ...
- via ascii encoding (similar to LaTeX): `\<and>`, `\<or>`, ...
- via menu ("Symbols")

Symbol Translations

symbol	$\forall$	$\exists$	λ	$\neg$	$\wedge$
ascii (1)	\<forall>	\<exists>	\<lambda>	\<not>	\<and>
ascii (2)	ALL	EX	%	~	&

symbol	$\vee$	$\longrightarrow$	$\Rightarrow$
ascii (1)	\<or>	\<longrightarrow>	\<Rightarrow>
ascii (2)	|	-->	=>

See Appendix A of tutorial for more complete list

Examples

- $\neg A \wedge B \vee C \equiv ((\neg A) \wedge B) \vee C$
- $A \wedge B = C \equiv A \wedge (B = C)$
- $\forall x.\ P\ x \wedge Q\ x \equiv \forall x.\ (P\ x \wedge Q\ x)$
- $\forall x. \exists y.\ P\ x\ y \wedge Q\ x \equiv \forall x.(\exists y.\ (P\ x\ y \wedge Q\ x))$

Formulae

- Abbreviations:
  $\forall x\ y.\ P\ x\ y \equiv \forall x.\forall y.\ P\ x\ y \quad (\forall, \exists, \lambda, \ldots)$
- Hiding and renaming:
  $\forall x\ y.\ (\forall x.\ P\ x\ y) \wedge Q\ x\ y \equiv \forall x_0\ y.(\forall x_1.P\ x_1\ y) \wedge Q\ x_0\ y$
- Parentheses:
  - $\wedge$, $\vee$, and $\longrightarrow$ associate to the right:
    $A \wedge B \wedge C \equiv A \wedge (B \wedge C)$
  - $A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \equiv A \longrightarrow (B \longrightarrow C)$
    $\not\equiv (A \longrightarrow B) \longrightarrow C$ **!**

Warning!

Quantifiers have low priority (broad scope) and may need to be parenthesized:

$$! \quad \forall x.\ P\ x \wedge Q\ x \not\equiv (\forall x.\ Px) \wedge Q\ x \quad !$$

Types

Syntax:

$$
\begin{array}{rcll}
\tau & ::= & (\tau) & \\
 & | & \text{bool} \mid \text{nat} \mid \ldots & \text{base types} \\
 & | & \text{'a} \mid \text{'b} \mid \ldots & \text{type variables} \\
 & | & \tau \Rightarrow \tau & \text{total functions (ascii : =>)} \\
 & | & \tau \times \tau & \text{pairs (ascii : *)} \\
 & | & \tau \text{ list} & \text{lists} \\
 & | & \ldots & \text{user-defined types}
\end{array}
$$

Parentheses: $\text{T1} \Rightarrow \text{T2} \Rightarrow \text{T3} \equiv \text{T1} \Rightarrow (\text{T2} \Rightarrow \text{T3})$

Terms: Basic syntax

Syntax:

term	::=	(*term*)	
	|	$c \mid x$	constant or variable (identifier)
	|	*term term*	function application
	|	$\lambda x.$ *term*	function "abstraction"
	|	...	lots of syntactic sugar

Examples: $\mathrm{f\ (g\ x)\ y}$ $\mathrm{h\ (\lambda x.\ f\ (g\ x))}$

Parentheses: $\mathrm{f\ a_1\ a_2\ a_3} \equiv \mathrm{((f\ a_1)\ a_2)\ a_3}$

Note: Formulae are terms

λ-calculus in a nutshell

Informal notation: $t[x]$

term t with 0 or more free occurrences of x

- **Function application:**
  $f\ a$ is the function f called with argument a.
- **Function abstraction:**
  $\lambda x.t[x]$ is the function with formal parameter x and body/result $t[x]$, i.e. $x \mapsto t[x]$.

λ-calculus in a nutshell

- **Computation:**

  Replace formal parameter by actual value

  ("β-reduction"): $(\lambda x.t[x])a \rightsquigarrow_\beta t[a]$

Example: $(\lambda x.\ x + 5)\ 3 \rightsquigarrow_\beta (3 + 5)$

Isabelle performs β-reduction automatically

Isabelle considers $(\lambda x.t[x])a$ and $t[a]$ equivalent

Terms and Types

Terms must be well-typed!

The argument of every function call must be of the right type

Notation: $t :: \tau$ means t is well-typed term of type τ

Type Inference

- Isabelle automatically computes ("infers") the type of each variable in a term.
- In the presence of *overloaded* functions (functions with multiple, unrelated types) not always possible.
- User can help with type annotations inside the term.
- **Example:** $\mathrm{f(x::nat)}$

Currying

- **Curried:** $\mathrm{f} :: \tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \Rightarrow \tau$
- **Tupled:** $\mathrm{f} :: \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \Rightarrow \tau$

Advantage: partial application $\mathrm{f}\ \mathrm{a}_1$ with $a_1 :: \tau$
Moral: Thou shalt curry your functions (most of the time :-)).

Terms: Syntactic Sugar

Some predefined syntactic sugar:

- Infix: $+$, $-$, $\#$, $@$, ...
- Mixfix: $\mathrm{if\_then\_else\_}$, $\mathrm{case\_of\_}$, ...
- Binders: $\forall \mathrm{x.P\ x}$ means $(\forall)(\lambda x.\ P\ x)$

Prefix binds more strongly than infix:

$$! \quad \mathrm{f\ x + y} \equiv \mathrm{(f\ x) + y} \not\equiv \mathrm{f\ (x + y)} \quad !$$

Type bool

Formulae = terms of type bool

True::bool

False::bool

$\neg :: \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$\wedge, \vee, \ldots :: \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$\vdots$

if-and-only-if: $=$ but binds more tightly

Type nat

0::nat

$\mathrm{Suc} :: \mathrm{nat} \Rightarrow \mathrm{nat}$

$+,\ \times,\ \ldots :: \mathrm{nat} \Rightarrow \mathrm{nat} \Rightarrow \mathrm{nat}$

$\vdots$

Overloading

! Numbers and arithmetic operations are overloaded:

0, 1, 2, . . . :: nat or real (or others)

$+ :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat$ and

$+ :: real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real$ (and others)

You need type annotations: $1 :: nat$, $x + (y :: nat)$

. . . unless the context is unambiguous: Suc 0

Type `list`

- `[ ]`: empty list
- x `#` xs: list with first element x ("head")
  and rest xs ("tail")
- Syntactic sugar: $[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \equiv x_1 \# \ldots \# x_n \# [\,]$

List is supported be a large library:
hd, tl, map, size, filter, set, nth, take, drop, distinct, ...

Don't reinvent, reuse!
$\rightsquigarrow$ `HOL/List.thy`

A Recursive datatype

```
datatype 'a list = Nil    ("[]")
                 | Cons 'a "'a list"  (infixr "#" 65)
```

`[]`: empty list

`x # xs`: list with head x::'a, tail xs::'a list

A toy list: False # (True # [])

Syntactic sugar: [False, True]

Concrete Syntax

When writing terms and types in `.thy` files

Types and terms need to be enclosed in `"..."`

Except for single identifiers, e.g. `'a`

`" ..."` won't always be shown on slides

Structural Induction on Lists

`P xs` holds for all lists `xs` if

- `P []`
- and for arbitrary `y` and `ys`, `P ys` implies `P (y # ys)`

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\texttt{P ys}\\ \vdots\\ \texttt{P (y \# ys)}\end{array}}{\texttt{P xs}}$$

A Recursive Function: List Append

Definition by *primitive recursion*:

```
primrec app :: "'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list
where
app [] ys = ____
app (x # xs) ys = ____app xs ...____
```

One rule per constructor
Recursive calls only applied to constructor arguments
Guarantees termination (total function)

Demo: Append and Reverse

Proofs - Method 1

General schema:

```
lemma name:  " ..."
apply ( ...)
  ⋮
done
```

If the lemma is suitable as a simplification rule:

```
lemma name[simp]:  " ..."
```

Adds lemma *name* to future simplifications

Proof - Method 2

General schema:

```
lemma lemma_name:  " ..."
proof method
fix x y z
assume hyp1_name:  " ..."
from hyp1_name
show :  " ..."
 proof method
  ⋮
 qed
qed
```

Will try to use only Method 2 (Isar) in lectures in class