

CS576 Topics in Automated Deduction

Elsa L Gunter
2112 SC, UIUC
egunter@illinois.edu

<http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs576>

Slides based in part on slides by Tobias Nipow

January 21, 2015

Elsa L. Gunter

CS576 Topics in Automated Deduction

/ 1

Contact Information

- Office: 2112 SC
- Office Hours:
 - Fridays 11:00am - 12:15pm
 - Also by appointment
 - May add more if desirable
- Email: egunter@illinois.edu
- Newsgroup:
<https://piazza.com/illinois/spring2015/cs576/home>
- No TA this semester

Elsa L. Gunter

CS576 Topics in Automated Deduction

/ 1

Course Structure

- Recommended Texts:
 - Programming and Proving in Isabelle/HOL by Tobias Nipkow
 - Isabelle/HOL: A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic by Tobias Nipkow, Lawrence C. Paulson, Markus Wenzel
 - Concrete Semantics with Isabelle/HOL Tobias Nipkow and Gerwin Klein,
<http://www.concrete-semantics.org>
- Credit:
 - Homework (submitted via svn) 33%
 - Project and presentation 67%
- No Final Exam

Elsa L. Gunter

CS576 Topics in Automated Deduction

/ 1

Some Useful Links

- Website for class:
<http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs576/sp2015/>
- Website for Isabelle:
<http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/Research/HVG/Isabelle/>
- Isabelle mailing list – to join, send mail to:
isabelle-users@cl.cam.ac.uk

Elsa L. Gunter

CS576 Topics in Automated Deduction

/ 1

Your Work

- Homework:
 - (Mostly) fairly short exercises in Isabelle
 - Submitted via svn
- Project:
 - Develop a model of a system in Isabelle
 - Prove some substantive properties of model
 - Discuss progress weekly in class
 - Give 20 minute presentation of work at end of course

Elsa L. Gunter

CS576 Topics in Automated Deduction

/ 1

Course Objectives

- To learn to do formal reasoning
- To learn to model complex problems from computer science
- To learn to given fully rigorous proofs of properties

Elsa L. Gunter

CS576 Topics in Automated Deduction

/ 1

System Architecture

<i>Isabelle/jEdit</i>	jEdit based interface
<i>Isar</i>	Isabelle proof scripting language
<i>Isabelle/HOL</i>	Isabelle instance for HOL
<i>Isabelle</i>	generic theorem prover
<i>Standard ML</i>	implementation language

jEdit Input

Input of math symbols in jEdit

- via "standard" ascii name: $\&$, $|$, $-->$, ...
- via ascii encoding (similar to $\LaTeX$):
 $\backslash<\text{and}>$, $\backslash<\text{or}>$, ...
- via menu ("Symbols")

Symbol Translations

symbol	$\forall$	$\exists$	λ	$\neg$	$\wedge$
ascii (1)	$\backslash<\text{forall}>$	$\backslash<\text{exists}>$	$\backslash<\text{lambda}>$	$\backslash<\text{not}>$	$\backslash<\text{and}>$
ascii (2)	ALL	EX	%	~	&

symbol	$\vee$	$\longrightarrow$	$\Rightarrow$
ascii (1)	$\backslash<\text{or}>$	$\backslash<\text{longrightarrow}>$	$\backslash<\text{Rightarrow}>$
ascii (2)		-->	=>

See Appendix A of tutorial for more complete list

Time for a demo of types and terms
(and a simple lemma)

Overview of Isabelle/HOL

HOL

- HOL = Higher-Order Logic
- HOL = Types + Lambda Calculus + Logic
- HOL has
 - datatypes
 - recursive functions
 - logical operators ($\wedge$, $\vee$, $\longrightarrow$, $\forall$, $\exists$, ...)
- HOL is very similar to a functional programming language
- Higher-order = functions are values, too!

Formulae (Approximation)

- Syntax (in decreasing priority):

$form ::= (form)$	$term = term$
$ \neg form$	$form \wedge form$
$ form \vee form$	$form \longrightarrow form$
$ \forall x. form$	$\exists x. form$

and some others

- Scope of quantifiers: as far to right as possible

Examples

- $\neg A \wedge B \vee C \equiv ((\neg A) \wedge B) \vee C$
- $A \wedge B = C \equiv A \wedge (B = C)$
- $\forall x. P\ x \wedge Q\ x \equiv \forall x. (P\ x \wedge Q\ x)$
- $\forall x. \exists y. P\ x\ y \wedge Q\ x \equiv \forall x. (\exists y. (P\ x\ y \wedge Q\ x))$

Formulae

- Abbreviations:
 $\forall x\ y. P\ x\ y \equiv \forall x. \forall y. P\ x\ y \quad (\forall, \exists, \lambda, \dots)$
- Hiding and renaming:
 $\forall x\ y. (\forall x. P\ x\ y) \wedge Q\ x\ y \equiv \forall x_0\ y. (\forall x_1. P\ x_1\ y) \wedge Q\ x_0\ y$
- Parentheses:
 - $\wedge, \vee$, and $\longrightarrow$ associate to the right:
 $A \wedge B \wedge C \equiv A \wedge (B \wedge C)$
 - $A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \equiv A \longrightarrow (B \longrightarrow C)$
 $\neq (A \longrightarrow B) \longrightarrow C$!

Warning!

Quantifiers have low priority (broad scope) and may need to be parenthesized:

! $\forall x. P\ x \wedge Q\ x \neq (\forall x. P\ x) \wedge Q\ x$!

Types

Syntax:

$\tau ::= (\tau)$	
$ \text{bool} \mid \text{nat} \mid \dots$	base types
$ 'a \mid 'b \mid \dots$	type variables
$ \tau \Rightarrow \tau$	total functions (ascii : =>)
$ \tau \times \tau$	pairs (ascii : *)
$ \tau \text{ list}$	lists
$ \dots$	user-defined types

Parentheses: $T1 \Rightarrow T2 \Rightarrow T3 \equiv T1 \Rightarrow (T2 \Rightarrow T3)$

Terms: Basic syntax

Syntax:

$term ::= (term)$	
$ c \mid x$	constant or variable (identifier)
$ term\ term$	function application
$ \lambda x. term$	function "abstraction"
$ \dots$	lots of syntactic sugar

Examples: $f\ (g\ x)\ y \quad h\ (\lambda x. f\ (g\ x))$

Parentheses: $f\ a_1\ a_2\ a_3 \equiv ((f\ a_1)\ a_2)\ a_3$

Note: Formulae are terms

λ -calculus in a nutshell

Informal notation: $t[x]$

term t with 0 or more free occurrences of x

- **Function application:**

$f\ a$ is the function f called with argument a .

- **Function abstraction:**

$\lambda x. t[x]$ is the function with formal parameter x and body/result $t[x]$,
i.e. $x \mapsto t[x]$.

λ -calculus in a nutshell

- **Computation:**

Replace formal parameter by actual value

(" β -reduction"): $(\lambda x. t[x])a \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} t[a]$

Example: $(\lambda x. x + 5)\ 3 \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} (3 + 5)$

Isabelle performs β -reduction automatically

Isabelle considers $(\lambda x. t[x])a$ and $t[a]$ equivalent

Terms and Types

Terms must be well-typed!

The argument of every function call must be of the right type

Notation: $t :: \tau$ means t is well-typed term of type τ

Type Inference

- Isabelle automatically computes ("infers") the type of each variable in a term.
- In the presence of *overloaded* functions (functions with multiple, unrelated types) not always possible.
- User can help with **type annotations** inside the term.
- **Example:** $f(x :: \text{nat})$

Currying

- **Curried:** $f :: \tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \Rightarrow \tau$
- **Tupled:** $f :: \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \Rightarrow \tau$

Advantage: partial application $f\ a_1$ with $a_1 :: \tau$

Moral: Thou shalt curry your functions (most of the time :-).

Terms: Syntactic Sugar

Some predefined syntactic sugar:

- Infix: $+$, $-$, $\#$, $@$, ...
- Mixfix: `if_then_else_`, `case_of_`, ...
- Binders: $\forall x. P\ x$ means $(\forall)(\lambda x. P\ x)$

Prefix binds more strongly than infix:

$$! \quad f\ x + y \equiv (f\ x) + y \neq f\ (x + y) \quad !$$

Type bool

Formulae = terms of type bool

True::bool

False::bool

$\neg :: \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$\wedge, \vee, \dots :: \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$\vdots$

if-and-only-if: = but binds more tightly

Type nat

0::nat

Suc :: nat $\Rightarrow$ nat

$+, \times, \dots :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

$\vdots$

Overloading

! Numbers and arithmetic operations are overloaded:

0, 1, 2, ...:: nat or real (or others)

$+$:: nat $\Rightarrow$ nat $\Rightarrow$ nat and

$+$:: real $\Rightarrow$ real $\Rightarrow$ real (and others)

You need type annotations: $1 :: \text{nat}$, $x + (y :: \text{nat})$

... unless the context is unambiguous: Suc 0

Type list

- $[]$: empty list
- $x \# xs$: list with first element x ("head") and rest xs ("tail")
- Syntactic sugar: $[x_1, \dots, x_n] \equiv x_1 \# \dots \# x_n \# []$

List is supported by a large library:

hd, tl, map, size, filter, set, nth, take, drop, distinct, ...

Don't reinvent, reuse!

$\leadsto$ HOL/List.thy

A Recursive datatype

```
datatype 'a list = Nil    ("[]")
                | Cons 'a "'a list" (infixr "#" 65)
```

$[]$: empty list

$x \# xs$: list with head $x::'a$, tail $xs::'a \text{ list}$

A toy list: $\text{False} \# (\text{True} \# [])$

Syntactic sugar: $[\text{False}, \text{True}]$

Concrete Syntax

When writing terms and types in .thy files

Types and terms need to be enclosed in "..."

Except for single identifiers, e.g. 'a

"..." won't always be shown on slides

