

# Topics in Automated Deduction (CS 576)

---

Elsa L. Gunter

2112 Siebel Center

[egunter@illinois.edu](mailto:egunter@illinois.edu)

<http://www.cs.illinois.edu/class/sp10/cs576/>

# Bounded Quantification

---

- $\forall x \in A. P\ x \equiv \forall x. x \in A \longrightarrow P\ x$
- $\exists x \in A. P\ x \equiv \exists x. x \in A \wedge P\ x$
- **ballI**:  $(\lambda x. x \in A \Longrightarrow P\ x) \Longrightarrow \forall x \in A. P\ x$
- **bspec**:  $\llbracket \forall x \in A. P\ x; x \in A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P\ x$
- **bexI**:  $\llbracket P\ x; x \in A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \exists x \in A. P\ x$
- **bexE**:  $\llbracket \exists x \in A. P\ x; \Lambda x. \llbracket x \in A; P\ x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q$

# Format for Inductive Set Definitions

---

`inductive_set S :: "τ set" where`

`[[a1,1 ∈ S; ...; a1,n ∈ S; A1,1; ...; A1,k]] ⇒ a1 ∈ S |`

`... |`

`[[am,1 ∈ S; ...; am,1 ∈ S; Am,1; ...; Am,j]] ⇒ am ∈ S`

where  $A_{i,j}$  are side conditions not involving  $S$ .

# Example: Finite Sets

---

Informally

- The empty set is finite
- Adding an element to a finite set yields a finite set
- These are the only finite sets

# Example: Finite Sets

---

In Isabelle/HOL:

```
inductive_set Finites :: 'a set set
```

– The set of all finite sets

```
{ } ∈ Finites |
```

```
A ∈ Finites ⇒ insert a A ∈ Finites
```

# Example: Even Numbers

---

Informally

- 0 is even
- If  $n$  is even, then so is  $n + 2$
- These are the only even numbers

# Example: Even Numbers

---

In Isabelle/HOL:

```
inductive_set Ev :: nat set
```

— The set of all even numbers

```
0 ∈ Ev |
```

```
n ∈ Ev ⇒ n + 2 ∈ Ev
```

# Proving Properties of Even Numbers

---

Easy:  $4 \in \text{Ev}$

$$0 \in \text{Ev} \implies 2 \in \text{Ev} \implies 4 \in \text{Ev}$$

Trickier:  $m \in \text{Ev} \implies m + m \in \text{Ev}$

Idea: induct on the length of the derivation of  $m \in \text{EV}$

Better: induct on the *structure* of the derivation

# Proving Properties of Even Numbers

---

Induction leads to two cases:

- **rule:**  $0 \in \text{Ev}$

1.  $0 + 0 \in \text{Ev}$       case  $m = 0$

- **rule:**  $n \in \text{Ev} \implies n + 2 \in \text{Ev}$

2.  $\Lambda n. [n \in \text{Ev}; n + n \in \text{Ev}] \implies \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}n) + \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}n) \in \text{Ev}$

case  $m = n + 2$

# Rule Induction for $Ev$

---

To prove

$$n \in Ev \implies P\ n$$

by textitrule induction on  $n \in Ev$  we must prove

- $P\ 0$
- $P\ n \implies P(n + 2)$

Uses rule  $Ev.induct$ :

$$\llbracket n \in Ev; P\ 0; \Lambda n. P\ n \implies P(n + 2) \rrbracket \implies P\ n$$

An elimination rule

# Rule Induction in General

---

Set  $S$  is defined inductively. To prove

$$x \in S \implies P x$$

by *rule induction* on  $x \in S$  we must prove for every rule

$$\llbracket a_1 \in S; \dots; a_n \in S \rrbracket \implies a \in S$$

that  $P$  is preserved:

$$\llbracket P a_1; \dots; P a_n \rrbracket \implies P a$$

In Isabelle/HOL:

```
apply(erule S.induct)
```

---

## Demo: Inductive Set Definition

---

Demo: Evens are infinite

# Format for Inductive Relations Definitions

---

`inductive R :: "τ → bool" where`

`[[R(a1,1); ...; R(a1,n); A1,1; ...; A1,k]] ⇒ R(a1) |`

`... |`

`[[R(am,1); ...; R(am,1); Am,1; ...; Am,j]] ⇒ R(am)`

where  $A_{i,j}$  are side conditions not involving  $R$ .