

Entropy, Randomness, and Information

“If only once - only once - no matter where, no matter before what audience - I could better the record of the great Rastelli and juggle with thirteen balls, instead of my usual twelve, I would feel that I had truly accomplished something for my country. But I am not getting any younger, and although I am still at the peak of my powers there are moments - why deny it? - when I begin to doubt - and there is a time limit on all of us.”

Romain Gary, The talent scout

43.1. The entropy function

Definition 43.1.1. The *entropy* in bits of a discrete random variable X is given by

$$\mathbb{H}(X) = - \sum_x \mathbb{P}[X = x] \lg \mathbb{P}[X = x],$$

where $\lg x$ is the logarithm base 2 of x . Equivalently, $\mathbb{H}(X) = \mathbb{E} \left[\lg \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[X]} \right]$.

The *binary entropy* function $\mathbb{H}(p)$ for a random binary variable that is 1 with probability p , is

$$\mathbb{H}(p) = -p \lg p - (1 - p) \lg(1 - p).$$

We define $\mathbb{H}(0) = \mathbb{H}(1) = 0$.

The function $\mathbb{H}(p)$ is a concave symmetric around $1/2$ on the interval $[0, 1]$ and achieves its maximum at $1/2$. For a concrete example, consider $\mathbb{H}(3/4) \approx 0.8113$ and $\mathbb{H}(7/8) \approx 0.5436$. Namely, a coin that has $3/4$ probably to be heads have higher amount of “randomness” in it than a coin that has probability $7/8$ for heads.

Writing $\lg n = (\ln n) / \ln 2$, we have that

$$\mathbb{H}(p) = \frac{1}{\ln 2} (-p \ln p - (1 - p) \ln(1 - p))$$




Figure 43.1: The binary entropy function.

$$\text{and } \mathbb{H}'(p) = \frac{1}{\ln 2} \left(-\ln p - \frac{p}{p} - (-1) \ln(1-p) - \frac{1-p}{1-p} (-1) \right) = \lg \frac{1-p}{p}.$$

Deploying our amazing ability to compute derivative of simple functions once more, we get that

$$\mathbb{H}''(p) = \frac{1}{\ln 2} \frac{p}{1-p} \left(\frac{p(-1) - (1-p)}{p^2} \right) = -\frac{1}{p(1-p) \ln 2}.$$

Since $\ln 2 \approx 0.693$, we have that $\mathbb{H}''(p) \leq 0$, for all $p \in (0, 1)$, and the $\mathbb{H}(\cdot)$ is concave in this range. Also, $\mathbb{H}'(1/2) = 0$, which implies that $\mathbb{H}(1/2) = 1$ is a maximum of the binary entropy. Namely, a balanced coin has the largest amount of randomness in it.

Example 43.1.2. A random variable X that has probability $1/n$ to be i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$, has entropy $\mathbb{H}(X) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \lg \frac{1}{n} = \lg n$.

Note, that the entropy is oblivious to the exact values that the random variable can have, and it is sensitive only to the probability distribution. Thus, a random variables that accepts $-1, +1$ with equal probability has the same entropy (i.e., 1) as a fair coin.

Lemma 43.1.3. *Let X and Y be two independent random variables, and let Z be the random variable (X, Y) . Then $\mathbb{H}(Z) = \mathbb{H}(X) + \mathbb{H}(Y)$.*

Proof: In the following, summation are over all possible values that the variables can have. By the independence of X and Y we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{H}(Z) &= \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{P}[(X,Y) = (x,y)] \lg \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[(X,Y) = (x,y)]} \\
&= \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{P}[X = x] \mathbb{P}[Y = y] \lg \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[X = x] \mathbb{P}[Y = y]} \\
&= \sum_x \sum_y \mathbb{P}[X = x] \mathbb{P}[Y = y] \lg \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[X = x]} \\
&\quad + \sum_y \sum_x \mathbb{P}[X = x] \mathbb{P}[Y = y] \lg \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[Y = y]} \\
&= \sum_x \mathbb{P}[X = x] \lg \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[X = x]} + \sum_y \mathbb{P}[Y = y] \lg \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[Y = y]} = \mathbb{H}(X) + \mathbb{H}(Y)
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 43.1.4. *Suppose that nq is integer in the range $[0, n]$. Then*

$$\frac{2^{n\mathbb{H}(q)}}{n+1} \leq \binom{n}{nq} \leq 2^{n\mathbb{H}(q)}.$$

Proof: This trivially holds if $q = 0$ or $q = 1$, so assume $0 < q < 1$. We know that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\binom{n}{nq} q^{nq} (1-q)^{n-nq} \leq (q + (1-q))^n = 1 \\
\Rightarrow \quad &\binom{n}{nq} \leq q^{-nq} (1-q)^{-n(1-q)} = 2^{n(-q \lg q - (1-q) \lg (1-q))} = 2^{n\mathbb{H}(q)}.
\end{aligned}$$

As for the other direction, let

$$\mu(k) = \binom{n}{k} q^k (1-q)^{n-k}.$$

The claim is that $\mu(nq)$ is the largest term in $\sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k) = 1$, where $\mu(k) = \binom{n}{k} q^k (1-q)^{n-k}$. Indeed,

$$\Delta_k = \mu(k) - \mu(k+1) = \binom{n}{k} q^k (1-q)^{n-k} \left(1 - \frac{n-k}{k+1} \frac{q}{1-q}\right),$$

and the sign of this quantity is the sign of $(k+1)(1-q) - (n-k)q = k+1 - kq - q - nq + kq = 1 + k - q - nq$. Namely, $\Delta_k \geq 0$ when $k \geq nq + q - 1$, and $\Delta_k < 0$ otherwise. Namely, $\mu(k) < \mu(k+1)$, for $k < nq$, and $\mu(k) \geq \mu(k+1)$ for $k \geq nq$. Namely, $\mu(nq)$ is the largest term in $\sum_{k=0}^n \mu(k) = 1$, and as such it is larger than the average. We have $\mu(nq) = \binom{n}{nq} q^{nq} (1-q)^{n-nq} \geq \frac{1}{n+1}$, which implies

$$\binom{n}{nq} \geq \frac{1}{n+1} q^{-nq} (1-q)^{-(n-nq)} = \frac{1}{n+1} 2^{n\mathbb{H}(q)}. \quad \text{?}$$

Lemma 43.1.4 can be extended to handle non-integer values of q . This is straightforward, and we omit the easy details.

Corollary 43.1.5. *We have:*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad q \in [0, 1/2] \Rightarrow \binom{n}{\lfloor nq \rfloor} &\leq \frac{2^{n\mathbb{H}(q)}}{2^{n\mathbb{H}(q)}} \quad (iii) \quad q \in [1/2, 1] \Rightarrow \frac{2^{n\mathbb{H}(q)}}{n+1} \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor nq \rfloor} \\ (ii) \quad q \in [1/2, 1] \Rightarrow \binom{n}{\lceil nq \rceil} &\leq \frac{2^{n\mathbb{H}(q)}}{2^{n\mathbb{H}(q)}} \quad (iv) \quad q \in [0, 1/2] \Rightarrow \frac{2^{n\mathbb{H}(q)}}{n+1} \leq \binom{n}{\lceil nq \rceil} \end{aligned}$$

The bounds of **Lemma 43.1.4** and **Corollary 43.1.5** are loose but sufficient for our purposes. As a sanity check, consider the case when we generate a sequence of n bits using a coin with probability q for head, then by the Chernoff inequality, we will get roughly nq heads in this sequence. As such, the generated sequence Y belongs to $\binom{n}{nq} \approx 2^{n\mathbb{H}(q)}$ possible sequences that have similar probability. As such, $\mathbb{H}(Y) \approx \lg \binom{n}{nq} = n\mathbb{H}(q)$, by **Example 43.1.2**, this also readily follows from **Lemma 43.1.3**.

43.2. Extracting randomness

The problem. We are given a random variable X that is chosen uniformly at random from $\llbracket 0 : m-1 \rrbracket = \{0, \dots, m-1\}$. Our purpose is built an algorithm that given X output a binary string, such that the bits in the binary string can be interpreted as the coin flips of a fair balanced coin. That is, the probability of the i th bit of the output (if it exists) to be 0 (or 1) is exactly half, and the different bits of the output are independent.

Idea. We break the $\llbracket 0 : m - 1 \rrbracket$ into consecutive blocks that are powers of two. Given the value of X , we find which block contains it, and we output a binary representation of the location of X in the block containing it, where if a block is length 2^k , then we output k bits.




Figure 43.2: (A) $m = 15$. (B) The block decomposition. (C) If $X = 10$, then the extraction output is 2 in base 2, using 2 bits – that is 10.

Entropy can be interpreted as the amount of unbiased random coin flips can be extracted from a random variable.

Definition 43.2.1. An *extraction function* Ext takes as input the value of a random variable X and outputs a sequence of bits y , such that $\mathbb{P}[\text{Ext}(X) = y \mid |y| = k] = 1/2^k$, whenever $\mathbb{P}[|y| = k] \geq 0$, where $|y|$ denotes the length of y .

As a concrete (easy) example, consider X to be a uniform random integer variable out of $0, \dots, 7$. All that $\text{Ext}(x)$ has to do in this case, is just to compute the binary representation of x .

The definition of the extraction function has two subtleties:

- (A) It requires that all extracted sequences of the same length (say k), have the same probability to be output (i.e., $1/2^k$).
- (B) If the extraction function can output a sequence of length k , then it needs to be able to output *all* 2^k such binary sequences.

Thus, for X a uniform random integer variable in the range $0, \dots, 11$, the function $\text{Ext}(x)$ can output the binary representation for x if $0 \leq x \leq 7$. However, what do we do if x is between 8 and 11? The idea is to output the binary representation of $x - 8$ as a two bit number. Clearly, **Definition 43.2.1** holds for this extraction function, since $\mathbb{P}[\text{Ext}(X) = 00 \mid |\text{Ext}(X)| = 2] = 1/4$, as required. This scheme can be of course extended for any range.

Tedium 43.2.2. For $x \leq y$ positive integers, and any positive integer Δ , we have that

$$\frac{x}{y} \leq \frac{x + \Delta}{y + \Delta} \iff x(y + \Delta) \leq y(x + \Delta) \iff x\Delta \leq y\Delta \iff x \leq y.$$

Theorem 43.2.3. *Suppose that the value of a random variable X is chosen uniformly at random from the integers $\{0, \dots, m-1\}$. Then there is an extraction function for X that outputs on average (i.e., in expectation) at least $\lfloor \lg m \rfloor - 1 = \lfloor \mathbb{H}(X) \rfloor - 1$ independent and unbiased bits.*

Proof: We represent m as a sum of unique powers of 2, namely $m = \sum_i a_i 2^i$, where $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Thus, we decomposed $\{0, \dots, m-1\}$ into a disjoint union of blocks that have sizes which are distinct powers of 2. If a number falls inside such a block, we output its relative location in the block, using binary representation of the appropriate length (i.e., k if the block is of size 2^k). It is not difficult to verify that this function fulfills the conditions of [Definition 43.2.1](#), and it is thus an extraction function.

Now, observe that the claim holds if m is a power of two, by [Example 43.1.2](#) (i.e., if $m = 2^k$, then $\mathbb{H}(X) = k$). Thus, if m is not a power of 2, then in the decomposition if there is a block of size 2^k , and the X falls inside this block, then the entropy is k .

The remainder of the proof is by induction – assume the claim holds if the range used by the random variable is strictly smaller than m . In particular, let $K = 2^k$ be the largest power of 2 that is smaller than m , and let $U = 2^u$ be the largest power of two such that $U \leq m - K \leq 2U$.

If the random number $X \in \llbracket 0 : K-1 \rrbracket$, then the scheme outputs k bits. Otherwise, we can think about the extraction function as being recursive and extracting randomness from a random variable $X' = X - K$ that is uniformly distributed in $\llbracket 0 : m - K \rrbracket$.

By [Tedium 43.2.2](#), we have that

$$\frac{m-K}{m} \leq \frac{m-K + (2U + K - m)}{m + (2U + K - m)} = \frac{2U}{2U + K}$$

Let Y be the random variable which is the number of random bits extracted. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y] &\geq \frac{K}{m}k + \frac{m-K}{m}(\lfloor \lg(m-K) \rfloor - 1) = k - \frac{m-K}{m}k + \frac{m-K}{m}(u-1) = k + \frac{m-K}{m}(u-k) \\ &\geq k - \frac{2U}{2U+K}(u-k-1) = k - \frac{2U}{2U+K}(1+k-u). \end{aligned}$$

If $u = k - 1$, then $\mathbb{H}(X) \geq k - \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 = k - 1$, as required. If $u = k - 2$ then $\mathbb{H}(X) \geq k - \frac{1}{3} \cdot 3 = k - 1$. Finally, if $u < k - 2$ then

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] \geq k - \frac{2U}{2U + K}(1 + k - u) \geq k - \frac{2U}{K}(1 + k - u) = k - \frac{k - u + 1}{2^{(k-u+1)-2}} \geq k - 1,$$

since $k - u + 1 \geq 4$ and $i/2^{i-2} \leq 1$ for $i \geq 4$. 

Theorem 43.2.4. *Consider a coin that comes up heads with probability $p > 1/2$. For any constant $\delta > 0$ and for n sufficiently large:*

- (A) *One can extract, from an input of a sequence of n flips, an output sequence of $(1 - \delta)n\mathbb{H}(p)$ (unbiased) independent random bits.*
- (B) *One can not extract more than $n\mathbb{H}(p)$ bits from such a sequence.*

Proof: There are $\binom{n}{j}$ input sequences with exactly j heads, and each has probability $p^j(1-p)^{n-j}$. We map this sequence to the corresponding number in the set $\{0, \dots, \binom{n}{j} - 1\}$. Note, that this, conditional distribution on j , is uniform on this set, and we can apply the extraction algorithm of [Theorem 43.2.3](#). Let Z be the random variables which is the number of heads in the input, and let B be the number of random bits extracted. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[B] = \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbb{P}[Z = k] \mathbb{E}[B \mid Z = k],$$

and by [Theorem 43.2.3](#), we have $\mathbb{E}[B \mid Z = k] \geq \left\lfloor \lg \binom{n}{k} \right\rfloor - 1$. Let $\varepsilon < p - 1/2$ be a constant to be determined shortly. For $n(p - \varepsilon) \leq k \leq n(p + \varepsilon)$, we have

$$\binom{n}{k} \geq \binom{n}{\lfloor n(p + \varepsilon) \rfloor} \geq \frac{2^{n\mathbb{H}(p + \varepsilon)}}{n + 1},$$

by [Corollary 43.1.5](#) (iii). We have

$$\mathbb{E}[B] \geq \sum_{k=\lfloor n(p - \varepsilon) \rfloor}^{\lceil n(p - \varepsilon) \rceil} \mathbb{P}[Z = k] \mathbb{E}[B \mid Z = k] \geq \sum_{k=\lfloor n(p - \varepsilon) \rfloor}^{\lceil n(p - \varepsilon) \rceil} \mathbb{P}[Z = k] \left(\left\lfloor \lg \binom{n}{k} \right\rfloor - 1 \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \sum_{k=\lfloor n(p-\varepsilon) \rfloor}^{\lceil n(p+\varepsilon) \rceil} \mathbb{P}[Z = k] \left(\lg \frac{2^{n\mathbb{H}(p+\varepsilon)}}{n+1} - 2 \right) \\
&= (n\mathbb{H}(p+\varepsilon) - \lg(n+1)) \mathbb{P}[|Z - np| \leq \varepsilon n] \\
&\geq (n\mathbb{H}(p+\varepsilon) - \lg(n+1)) \left(1 - 2 \exp\left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{4p}\right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

since $\mu = \mathbb{E}[Z] = np$ and $\mathbb{P}[|Z - np| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{p}pn] \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{np}{4}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{p}\right)^2\right) = 2 \exp\left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{4p}\right)$, by the Chernoff inequality. In particular, fix $\varepsilon > 0$, such that $\mathbb{H}(p+\varepsilon) > (1 - \delta/4)\mathbb{H}(p)$, and since p is fixed $n\mathbb{H}(p) = \Omega(n)$, in particular, for n sufficiently large, we have $-\lg(n+1) \geq -\frac{\delta}{10}n\mathbb{H}(p)$. Also, for n sufficiently large, we have $2 \exp\left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{4p}\right) \leq \frac{\delta}{10}$. Putting it together, we have that for n large enough, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[B] \geq \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{4} - \frac{\delta}{10}\right) n\mathbb{H}(p) \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{10}\right) \geq (1 - \delta)n\mathbb{H}(p),$$

as claimed.

As for the upper bound, observe that if an input sequence x has probability q , then the output sequence $y = \text{Ext}(x)$ has probability to be generated which is at least q . Now, all sequences of length $|y|$ have equal probability to be generated. Thus, we have the following (trivial) inequality $2^{|\text{Ext}(x)|}q \leq 2^{|\text{Ext}(x)|} \mathbb{P}[y = \text{Ext}(X)] \leq 1$, implying that $|\text{Ext}(x)| \leq \lg(1/q)$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[B] = \sum_x \mathbb{P}[X = x] |\text{Ext}(x)| \leq \sum_x \mathbb{P}[X = x] \lg \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[X = x]} = \mathbb{H}(X). \quad \color{blue}{\text{🐼}}$$

43.3. Bibliographical Notes

The presentation here follows [MU05, Sec. 9.1-Sec 9.3].

References

- [MU05] M. Mitzenmacher and U. Upfal. *Probability and Computing – randomized algorithms and probabilistic analysis*. Cambridge, 2005.