09/06/11

Templates and Image Pyramids



Computational Photography

Derek Hoiem, University of Illinois

Project 1

- Due Monday at 11:59pm
  - Options for displaying results
    - Web interface or redirect (http://www.pa.msu.edu/services/computing/faq/auto-redirect.html)
    - Backup (e.g., project server not working): send me a link
  - E-mail me: expected points, code, link to webpage; no need to e-mail images/results

- Questions?

- Remember to sign up for bulletin board (if not done already)

Review

1. Match the spatial domain image to the Fourier magnitude image

1



2



3



4



5



A

B

C

D



E

Today’s class: applications of filtering

- Template matching
- Coarse-to-fine alignment
  - Project 2
- Denoising, Compression (as time allows)

Template matching

- Goal: find  in image

- Main challenge: What is a good similarity or distance measure between two patches?
  - Correlation
  - Zero-mean correlation
  - Sum Square Difference
  - Normalized Cross Correlation



Matching with filters

- Goal: find  in image
- Method 0: filter the image with eye patch

$$h[m,n]=\sum_{k,l} g[k,l]\ f[m+k,n+l]$$

f = image
g = filter



Input



Filtered Image

What went wrong?

Matching with filters

- Goal: find  in image
- Method 1: filter the image with zero-mean eye

$$h[m,n]=\sum_{k,l}(f[k,l]-\bar{f})(g[m+k,n+l])$$

mean of f



Input



Filtered Image (scaled)



Thresholded Image

Matching with filters

- Goal: find  in image
- Method 2: SSD

$$h[m,n]=\sum_{k,l}(g[k,l]-f[m+k,n+l])^2$$



Input

1- sqrt(SSD)



Thresholded Image

Matching with filters

Can SSD be implemented with linear filters?

$$h[m,n] = \sum_{k,l} (g[k,l] - f[m+k, n+l])^2$$

Matching with filters

- Goal: find  in image
- Method 2: SSD

What's the potential downside of SSD?

$$h[m,n]=\sum_{k,l}(g[k,l]-f[m+k,n+l])^2$$



Input

1- sqrt(SSD)

Matching with filters

- Goal: find  in image
- Method 3: Normalized cross-correlation

mean template

mean image patch

$$h[m,n]=\frac{\sum_{k,l}(g[k,l]-\bar{g})(f[m+k,n+l]-\bar{f}_{m,n})}{\left(\sum_{k,l}(g[k,l]-\bar{g})^2\sum_{k,l}(f[m+k,n+l]-\bar{f}_{m,n})^2\right)^{0.5}}$$

Matlab: `normxcorr2(template, im)`

Matching with filters

- Goal: find  in image
- Method 3: Normalized cross-correlation



Input

Normalized X-Correlation



Thresholded Image

Matching with filters

- Goal: find  in image
- Method 3: Normalized cross-correlation



Input

Normalized X-Correlation



Thresholded Image

Q: What is the best method to use?

A: Depends

- Zero-mean filter: fastest but not a great matcher
- SSD: next fastest, sensitive to overall intensity
- Normalized cross-correlation: slowest, invariant to local average intensity and contrast

Q: What if we want to find larger or smaller eyes?

A: Image Pyramid

Review of Sampling



Gaussian pyramid

512 256 128 64 32 16 8

Source: Forsyth

Laplacian filter



Source: Lazebnik

Laplacian pyramid



Source: Forsyth

Computing Gaussian/Laplacian Pyramid



Can we reconstruct the original from the laplacian pyramid?

http://sepwww.stanford.edu/~morgan/texturematch/paper_html/node3.html

Hybrid Image in Laplacian Pyramid

Extra points for project 1

High frequency → Low frequency



Project 2: Image Alignment



- Try SSD alignment
- Try normxcorr2 alignment
- Simple implementation will work for small images
- But larger images will take forever (well, many hours)

Coarse-to-fine Image Registration

1. Compute Gaussian pyramid
2. Align with coarse pyramid
3. Successively align with finer pyramids
   - Search smaller range



Why is this faster?

Are we guaranteed to get the same result?

Question

Can you align the images using the FFT?

Implementation is extra points for project 2

Compression

How is it that a 4MP image can be compressed to a few hundred KB without a noticeable change?

Lossy Image Compression (JPEG)





Block-based Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT)

Slides: Efros

Using DCT in JPEG

- The first coefficient $B(0,0)$ is the DC component, the average intensity
- The top-left coeffs represent low frequencies, the bottom right – high frequencies





Image compression using DCT

- Quantize
  - More coarsely for high frequencies (which also tend to have smaller values)
  - Many quantized high frequency values will be zero
- Encode
  - Can decode with inverse dct

Filter responses

$$G = \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{u} \\ \begin{bmatrix} -415.38 & -30.19 & -61.20 & 27.24 & 56.13 & -20.10 & -2.39 & 0.46 \\ 4.47 & -21.86 & -60.76 & 10.25 & 13.15 & -7.09 & -8.54 & 4.88 \\ -46.83 & 7.37 & 77.13 & -24.56 & -28.91 & 9.93 & 5.42 & -5.65 \\ -48.53 & 12.07 & 34.10 & -14.76 & -10.24 & 6.30 & 1.83 & 1.95 \\ 12.12 & -6.55 & -13.20 & -3.95 & -1.88 & 1.75 & -2.79 & 3.14 \\ -7.73 & 2.91 & 2.38 & -5.94 & -2.38 & 0.94 & 4.30 & 1.85 \\ -1.03 & 0.18 & 0.42 & -2.42 & -0.88 & -3.02 & 4.12 & -0.66 \\ -0.17 & 0.14 & -1.07 & -4.19 & -1.17 & -0.10 & 0.50 & 1.68 \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \downarrow v$$

Quantized values

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -26 & -3 & -6 & 2 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -4 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 1 & 5 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Quantization table

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 16 & 11 & 10 & 16 & 24 & 40 & 51 & 61 \\ 12 & 12 & 14 & 19 & 26 & 58 & 60 & 55 \\ 14 & 13 & 16 & 24 & 40 & 57 & 69 & 56 \\ 14 & 17 & 22 & 29 & 51 & 87 & 80 & 62 \\ 18 & 22 & 37 & 56 & 68 & 109 & 103 & 77 \\ 24 & 35 & 55 & 64 & 81 & 104 & 113 & 92 \\ 49 & 64 & 78 & 87 & 103 & 121 & 120 & 101 \\ 72 & 92 & 95 & 98 & 112 & 100 & 103 & 99 \end{bmatrix}$$

JPEG Compression Summary

1. Convert image to YCrCb
2. Subsample color by factor of 2
   - People have bad resolution for color
3. Split into blocks (8x8, typically), subtract 128
4. For each block
   a. Compute DCT coefficients
   b. Coarsely quantize
      - Many high frequency components will become zero
   c. Encode (e.g., with Huffman coding)

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/YCbCr
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/JPEG

Lossless compression (PNG)

1. Predict that a pixel's value based on its upper-left neighborhood
2. Store difference of predicted and actual value
3. Pkzip it (DEFLATE algorithm)



Denoising



Additive Gaussian Noise



Gaussian
Filter



Reducing Gaussian noise



Smoothing with larger standard deviations suppresses noise, but also blurs the image

Source: S. Lazebnik

Reducing salt-and-pepper noise by Gaussian smoothing

3x3

5x5

7x7

Alternative idea: Median filtering

- A **median filter** operates over a window by selecting the median intensity in the window



- Is median filtering linear?

Source: K. Grauman

Median filter

- What advantage does median filtering have over Gaussian filtering?
  - Robustness to outliers



Source: K. Grauman

Median filter

Salt-and-pepper noise





Median filtered



- MATLAB: medfilt2(image, [h w])

Source: M. Hebert

Median vs. Gaussian filtering



Other filter choices

- Weighted median (pixels further from center count less)
- Clipped mean (average, ignoring few brightest and darkest pixels)
- Bilateral filtering (weight by spatial distance *and* intensity difference)

Bilateral filtering

Image: http://vision.ai.uiuc.edu/?p=1455

Review of Last 3 Days

- Filtering in spatial domain
  - Slide filter over image and take dot product at each position
  - Remember linearity (for linear filters)
  - Examples
    - 1D: [-1 0 1], [0 0 0 0 0.5 1 1 1 0.5 0 0 0]
    - 1D: [0.25 0.5 0.25], [0 0 0 0 0.5 1 1 1 0.5 0 0 0]
    - 2D: [1 0 0 ; 0 2 0 ; 0 0 1]/4

Review of Last 3 Days

- Linear filters for basic processing
  - Edge filter (high-pass)
  - Gaussian filter (low-pass)

[-1 1]



FFT of Gradient Filter



FFT of Gaussian



Gaussian

Review of Last 3 Days

- Derivative of Gaussian



Review of Last 3 Days

- Filtering in frequency domain
  - Can be faster than filtering in spatial domain (for large filters)
  - Can help understand effect of filter
  - Algorithm:
    1. Convert image and filter to fft (fft2 in matlab)
    2. Pointwise-multiply ffts
    3. Convert result to spatial domain with ifft2

Review of Last 3 Days

- Applications of filters
  - Template matching (SSD or Normxcorr2)
    - SSD can be done with linear filters, is sensitive to overall intensity
  - Gaussian pyramid
    - Coarse-to-fine search, multi-scale detection
  - Laplacian pyramid
    - Can be used for blending (later)
    - More compact image representation

Review of Last 3 Days

- Applications of filters
  - Downsampling
    - Need to sufficiently low-pass before downsampling
  - Compression
    - In JPEG, coarsely quantize high frequencies
  - Reducing noise (important for aesthetics and for later processing such as edge detection)
    - Gaussian filter, median filter, bilateral filter

Next class

- Light and color