

Applications of CountMin and Count Sketches

Lecture 10

September 22, 2022

CountMin Sketch

CountMin-Sketch(w, d):

h_1, h_2, \dots, h_d are pair-wise independent hash functions
from $[n] \rightarrow [w]$.

While (stream is not empty) do

$e_t = (i_t, \Delta_t)$ is current item

 for $\ell = 1$ to d do

$C[\ell, h_\ell(i_j)] \leftarrow C[\ell, h_\ell(i_j)] + \Delta_t$

 endWhile

For $i \in [n]$ set $\tilde{x}_i = \min_{\ell=1}^d C[\ell, h_\ell(i)]$.

Counter $C[\ell, j]$ simply counts the sum of all x_i such that $h_\ell(i) = j$.

That is,

$$C[\ell, j] = \sum_{i: h_\ell(i)=j} x_i.$$

Summarizing

Lemma

Let $d = \Omega(\log \frac{1}{\delta})$ and $w > \frac{2}{\epsilon}$. Then for any fixed $i \in [n]$, $x_i \leq \tilde{x}_i$ and

$$\Pr[\tilde{x}_i \geq x_i + \epsilon \|x\|_1] \leq \delta.$$

Corollary

With $d = \Omega(\ln n)$ and $w = 2/\epsilon$, with probability $(1 - \frac{1}{n})$ for all $i \in [n]$:

$$\tilde{x}_i \leq x_i + \epsilon \|x\|_1.$$

Total space: $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log n)$ counters and hence $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log n \log m)$ bits.

Count Sketch

Count-Sketch(w, d):

h_1, h_2, \dots, h_d are pair-wise independent hash functions
from $[n] \rightarrow [w]$.

g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d are pair-wise independent hash functions
from $[n] \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$.

While (stream is not empty) do

$e_t = (i_t, \Delta_t)$ is current item

 for $\ell = 1$ to d do

$C[\ell, h_\ell(i_j)] \leftarrow C[\ell, h_\ell(i_j)] + g_\ell(i_t)\Delta_t$

 endWhile

For $i \in [n]$

 set $\tilde{x}_i = \text{median}\{g_1(i)C[1, h_1(i)], \dots, g_d(i)C[d, h_d(i)]\}$.

Summarizing

Lemma

Let $d \geq 4 \log \frac{1}{\delta}$ and $w > \frac{3}{\epsilon^2}$. Then for any fixed $i \in [n]$, $E[\tilde{x}_i] = x_i$ and $\Pr[|\tilde{x}_i - x_i| \geq \epsilon \|\mathbf{x}\|_2] \leq \delta$.

Corollary

With $d = \Omega(\ln n)$ and $w = 3/\epsilon^2$, with probability $(1 - \frac{1}{n})$ for all $i \in [n]$:

$$|\tilde{x}_i - x_i| \leq \epsilon \|\mathbf{x}\|_2.$$

Total space $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \log n)$ counters and hence $O(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \log n \log m)$ bits.

Part I

Applications

Heavy Hitters: Point queries

Heavy Hitters Problem: Find all items i such that $x_i > \alpha \|x\|_1$ for some fixed $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Approximate version: output any i such that $x_i \geq (\alpha - \epsilon) \|x\|_1$

The sketches give us a data structure such that for any $i \in [n]$ we get an estimate \tilde{x}_i of x_i with additive error.

Heavy Hitters: Point queries

Heavy Hitters Problem: Find all items i such that $x_i > \alpha \|x\|_1$ for some fixed $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Approximate version: output any i such that $x_i \geq (\alpha - \epsilon) \|x\|_1$

The sketches give us a data structure such that for any $i \in [n]$ we get an estimate \tilde{x}_i of x_i with additive error.

Go over each i and check if $\tilde{x}_i > (\alpha - \epsilon) \|x\|_1$.

Heavy Hitters: Point queries

Heavy Hitters Problem: Find all items i such that $x_i > \alpha \|x\|_1$ for some fixed $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Approximate version: output any i such that $x_i \geq (\alpha - \epsilon) \|x\|_1$

The sketches give us a data structure such that for any $i \in [n]$ we get an estimate \tilde{x}_i of x_i with additive error.

Go over each i and check if $\tilde{x}_i > (\alpha - \epsilon) \|x\|_1$. Expensive

Heavy Hitters: Point queries

Heavy Hitters Problem: Find all items i such that $x_i > \alpha \|x\|_1$ for some fixed $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Approximate version: output any i such that $x_i \geq (\alpha - \epsilon) \|x\|_1$

The sketches give us a data structure such that for any $i \in [n]$ we get an estimate \tilde{x}_i of x_i with additive error.

Go over each i and check if $\tilde{x}_i > (\alpha - \epsilon) \|x\|_1$. Expensive

Additional data structures to speed up above computation and reduce time/space to be proportional to $O(\frac{1}{\alpha} \text{polylog}(n))$. More tricky for Count Sketch. See notes and references

Range Queries

Range query: given $i, j \in [n]$ want to know $\sum_{i \leq \ell \leq j} x[\ell]$

Examples:

- $[n]$ corresponds to IP address space in network routing and $[i, j]$ corresponds to addresses in a range
- $[n]$ corresponds to some numerical attribute in a database and we want to know number of records within a range
- $[n]$ corresponds to the discretization of a signal value

Range Queries

Range query: given $i, j \in [n]$ want to know $\sum_{i \leq \ell \leq j} x[\ell]$

Examples:

- $[n]$ corresponds to IP address space in network routing and $[i, j]$ corresponds to addresses in a range
- $[n]$ corresponds to some numerical attribute in a database and we want to know number of records within a range
- $[n]$ corresponds to the discretization of a signal value

Want to create a sketch data structure that can answer range queries for any given range that is chosen *after* the sketch is done. $\Omega(n^2)$ potential queries

Range Queries

Simple idea: imagine a binary tree over $[n]$ and any interval $[i, j]$ can be broken up into $O(\log n)$ disjoint "dyadic" intervals

Range Queries

Simple idea: imagine a binary tree over $[n]$ and any interval $[i, j]$ can be broken up into $O(\log n)$ disjoint "dyadic" intervals

Create one sketch data structure per level of binary tree

Range Queries

Simple idea: imagine a binary tree over $[n]$ and any interval $[i, j]$ can be broken up into $O(\log n)$ disjoint "dyadic" intervals

Create one sketch data structure per level of binary tree

Output estimate $\tilde{x}[i, j]$ by adding estimates for $O(\log n)$ dyadic intervals that $[i, j]$ decomposes into

Range Queries

Simple idea: imagine a binary tree over $[n]$ and any interval $[i, j]$ can be broken up into $O(\log n)$ disjoint "dyadic" intervals

Create one sketch data structure per level of binary tree

Output estimate $\tilde{x}[i, j]$ by adding estimates for $O(\log n)$ dyadic intervals that $[i, j]$ decomposes into

To manage error choose $\epsilon' = \epsilon / \log n$: total space is $O(\alpha \log n / \epsilon)$ where α is the space for single level sketch

Part II

Sparse Recovery

Sparse Recovery

Sparsity is an important theme in optimization/algorithms/modeling

- Data is often *explicitly* sparse. Examples: graphs, matrices, vectors, documents (as word vectors)
- Data is often *implicitly* sparse — in a different representation the data is explicitly sparse. Examples: signals/images, topics, etc

Sparse Recovery

Sparsity is an important theme in optimization/algorithms/modeling

- Data is often *explicitly* sparse. Examples: graphs, matrices, vectors, documents (as word vectors)
- Data is often *implicitly* sparse — in a different representation the data is explicitly sparse. Examples: signals/images, topics, etc

Algorithmic goals

- Take advantage of sparsity to improve performance (speed, quality, memory etc)
- Find implicit sparse representation to reveal information about data. Example: topics in documents, frequencies in Fourier analysis

Sparse Recovery

Problem: Given vector/signal $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ find a sparse vector z such that z approximates x

More concretely: given x and integer $k \geq 1$, find z such that z has at most k non-zeroes ($\|z\|_0 \leq k$) such that $\|x - z\|_p$ is minimized for some $p \geq 1$.

Optimum offline solution: z picks the largest k coordinates of x (in absolute value)

Want to do it in streaming setting: turnstile streams and $p = 2$ and want to use $\tilde{O}(k)$ space proportional to output

Sparse Recovery under ℓ_2 norm

Formal objective function:

$$\text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{z: \|z\|_0 \leq k} \|\mathbf{x} - z\|_2$$

Sparse Recovery under ℓ_2 norm

Formal objective function:

$$\text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{z: \|z\|_0 \leq k} \|\mathbf{x} - z\|_2$$

$\text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})$ is interesting only when it is small compared to $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2$

For instance when \mathbf{x} is uniform, say $x_i = 1$ for all i then $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \sqrt{n}$
but $\text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{n - k}$

$\text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ iff $\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 \leq k$ and hence related to distinct element detection

Sparse Recovery under ℓ_2 norm

Theorem

There is a linear sketch with size $O(\frac{k}{\epsilon^2} \text{polylog}(n))$ that returns z such that $\|z\|_0 \leq k$ and with high probability $\|x - z\|_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \text{err}_2^k(x)$.

Hence space is proportional to desired output. Assumption k is typically quite small compared to n , the dimension of x .

Note that if x is k -sparse vector is exactly reconstructed

Based on CountSketch

Algorithm

- Use Count Sketch with $w = 3k/\epsilon^2$ and $d = \Omega(\log n)$.
- Count Sketch gives estimates \tilde{x}_i for each $i \in n$
- Output the k coordinates with the largest estimates

Algorithm

- Use Count Sketch with $w = 3k/\epsilon^2$ and $d = \Omega(\log n)$.
- Count Sketch gives estimates \tilde{x}_i for each $i \in n$
- Output the k coordinates with the largest estimates

Intuition for analysis

- With $w = ck/\epsilon^2$ the k biggest coordinates will be spread out in their own buckets
- rest of small coordinates will be spread out evenly
- refine the analysis of Count-Sketch to carefully analyze the two scenarios

Analysis Outline

Lemma

Count-Sketch with $w = 3k/\epsilon^2$ and $d = O(\log n)$ ensures that

$$\forall i \in [n], \quad |\tilde{x}_i - x_i| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(x)$$

with high probability (at least $(1 - 1/n)$).

Lemma

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\|x - y\|_\infty \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(x)$. Then, $\|x - z\|_2 \leq (1 + 5\epsilon) \text{err}_2^k(x)$, where z is the vector obtained as follows: $z_i = y_i$ for $i \in T$ where T is the set of k largest (in absolute value) indices of y and $z_i = 0$ for $i \notin T$.

Lemmas combined prove the correctness of algorithm.

Count Sketch

Count-Sketch(w, d):

h_1, h_2, \dots, h_d are pair-wise independent hash functions from $[n] \rightarrow [w]$.

g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d are pair-wise independent hash functions from $[n] \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$.

While (stream is not empty) do

$e_t = (i_t, \Delta_t)$ is current item

 for $\ell = 1$ to d do

$C[\ell, h_\ell(i_j)] \leftarrow C[\ell, h_\ell(i_j)] + g(i_t)\Delta_t$

 endWhile

For $i \in [n]$

 set $\tilde{x}_i = \text{median}\{g_1(i)C[1, h_1(i)], \dots, g_d(i)C[d, h_d(i)]\}$.

Recap of Analysis

Fix an $i \in [n]$. Let $Z_\ell = g_\ell(i)C[\ell, h_\ell(i)]$.

For $i' \in [n]$ let $Y_{i'}$ be the indicator random variable that is 1 if $h_\ell(i) = h_\ell(i')$; that is i and i' collide in h_ℓ .

$E[Y_{i'}] = E[Y_{i'}^2] = 1/w$ from pairwise independence of h_ℓ .

$$Z_\ell = g_\ell(i)C[\ell, h_\ell(i)] = g_\ell(i) \sum_{i'} g_\ell(i') x_{i'} Y_{i'}$$

Therefore,

$$E[Z_\ell] = x_i + \sum_{i' \neq i} E[g_\ell(i)g_\ell(i')Y_{i'}]x_{i'} = x_i,$$

because $E[g_\ell(i)g_\ell(i')] = 0$ for $i \neq i'$ from pairwise independence of g_ℓ and $Y_{i'}$ is independent of $g_\ell(i)$ and $g_\ell(i')$.

Recap of Analysis

$Z_\ell = g_\ell(i)C[\ell, h_\ell(i)]$. And $E[Z_\ell] = x_i$.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(Z_\ell) &= E[(Z_\ell - x_i)^2] \\ &= E\left[\left(\sum_{i' \neq i} g_\ell(i)g_\ell(i')Y_{i'}x_{i'}\right)^2\right] \\ &= E\left[\sum_{i' \neq i} x_{i'}^2 Y_{i'}^2 + \sum_{i' \neq i''} x_{i'}x_{i''}g_\ell(i')g_\ell(i'')Y_{i'}Y_{i''}x_{i'}x_{i''}\right] \\ &= \sum_{i' \neq i} x_{i'}^2 E[Y_{i'}^2] \\ &\leq \|x\|_2^2/w.\end{aligned}$$

Refining Analysis

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{big}} = \{i' \mid i' \text{ is one of the } k \text{ biggest coordinates in } \mathbf{x}\}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{small}} = [n] \setminus \mathcal{T}$$

$$\sum_{i' \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{small}}} x_{i'}^2 = (\text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x}))^2$$

Refining Analysis

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{big}} = \{i' \mid i' \text{ is one of the } k \text{ biggest coordinates in } \mathbf{x}\}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{small}} = [n] \setminus \mathcal{T}$$

$$\sum_{i' \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{small}}} x_{i'}^2 = (\text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x}))^2$$

What is $\Pr\left[|Z_\ell - x_i| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})\right]$?

Refining Analysis

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{big}} = \{i' \mid i' \text{ is one of the } k \text{ biggest coordinates in } \mathbf{x}\}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{small}} = [n] \setminus \mathcal{T}$$

$$\sum_{i' \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{small}}} x_{i'}^2 = (\text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x}))^2$$

What is $\Pr\left[|Z_\ell - x_i| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})\right]$?

Lemma

$$\Pr\left[|Z_\ell - x_i| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})\right] \leq 2/5.$$

Analysis

$$Z_\ell = g_\ell(i)C[\ell, h_\ell(i)].$$

Let A_ℓ be event that $h_\ell(i') = h_\ell(i)$ for some $i' \in T_{\text{big}}, i' \neq i$

Lemma

$\Pr[A_\ell] \leq \epsilon^2/3$. In other words with $1 - \epsilon^2/3$ probability no big coordinates collide with i under h_ℓ .

Analysis

$$Z_\ell = g_\ell(i)C[\ell, h_\ell(i)].$$

Let A_ℓ be event that $h_\ell(i') = h_\ell(i)$ for some $i' \in T_{\text{big}}, i' \neq i$

Lemma

$\Pr[A_\ell] \leq \epsilon^2/3$. In other words with $1 - \epsilon^2/3$ probability no big coordinates collide with i under h_ℓ .

- $Y_{i'}$ indicator for $i' \neq i$ colliding with i .
 $\Pr[Y_{i'}] \leq 1/w \leq \epsilon^2/(3k)$.
- Let $Y = \sum_{i' \in T_{\text{big}}} Y_{i'}$. $E[Y] \leq \epsilon^2/3$ by linearity of expectation.
- Hence $\Pr[A_\ell] = \Pr[Y \geq 1] \leq \epsilon^2/3$ by Markov

Analysis

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\ell &= \mathbf{g}_\ell(i) \mathbf{C}[\ell, \mathbf{h}_\ell(i)] \\ &= \mathbf{x}_i + \sum_{i' \in T_{\text{big}}} \mathbf{g}_\ell(i) \mathbf{g}_\ell(i') \mathbf{Y}_{i'} \mathbf{x}_{i'} + \sum_{i' \in T_{\text{small}}} \mathbf{g}_\ell(i) \mathbf{g}_\ell(i') \mathbf{Y}_{i'} \mathbf{x}_{i'} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{Z}'_\ell = \sum_{i' \in T_{\text{small}}} \mathbf{g}_\ell(i) \mathbf{g}_\ell(i') \mathbf{Y}_{i'}$

Lemma

$$\Pr \left[|\mathbf{Z}'_\ell| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x}) \right] \leq 1/3.$$

Analysis

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\ell &= \mathbf{g}_\ell(i) \mathbf{C}[\ell, \mathbf{h}_\ell(i)] \\ &= \mathbf{x}_i + \sum_{i' \in T_{\text{big}}} \mathbf{g}_\ell(i) \mathbf{g}_\ell(i') \mathbf{Y}_{i'} \mathbf{x}_{i'} + \sum_{i' \in T_{\text{small}}} \mathbf{g}_\ell(i) \mathbf{g}_\ell(i') \mathbf{Y}_{i'} \mathbf{x}_{i'} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } \mathbf{Z}'_\ell = \sum_{i' \in T_{\text{small}}} \mathbf{g}_\ell(i) \mathbf{g}_\ell(i') \mathbf{Y}_{i'}$$

Lemma

$$\Pr \left[|\mathbf{Z}'_\ell| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x}) \right] \leq 1/3.$$

- $E[\mathbf{Z}'_\ell] = 0$
- $\text{Var}(\mathbf{Z}'_\ell) \leq E[(\mathbf{Z}'_\ell)^2] = \sum_{i' \in T_{\text{small}}} \mathbf{x}_{i'}^2 / w \leq \frac{\epsilon^2}{3k} (\text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x}))^2$
- By Cheybshev $\Pr \left[|\mathbf{Z}'_\ell| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x}) \right] \leq 1/3.$

Analysis: Proof of lemma

Want to show:

Lemma

$$\Pr\left[|Z_\ell - x_i| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(x)\right] \leq 2/5.$$

Analysis: Proof of lemma

Want to show:

Lemma

$$\Pr\left[|Z_\ell - x_i| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(x)\right] \leq 2/5.$$

We have $Z_\ell = \mathbf{g}_\ell(i) \mathbf{C}[\ell, \mathbf{h}_\ell(i)]$
 $= x_i + \sum_{i' \in T_{\text{big}}} \mathbf{g}_\ell(i) \mathbf{g}_\ell(i') Y_{i' x_{i'}} + \sum_{i' \in T_{\text{small}}} \mathbf{g}_\ell(i) \mathbf{g}_\ell(i') Y_{i' x_{i'}}$

Analysis: Proof of lemma

Want to show:

Lemma

$$\Pr\left[|Z_\ell - x_i| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(x)\right] \leq 2/5.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We have } Z_\ell &= g_\ell(i) C[\ell, h_\ell(i)] \\ &= x_i + \sum_{i' \in T_{\text{big}}} g_\ell(i) g_\ell(i') Y_{i'} x_{i'} + \sum_{i' \in T_{\text{small}}} g_\ell(i) g_\ell(i') Y_{i'} x_{i'} \end{aligned}$$

We saw:

Lemma

$$\Pr\left[|Z'_\ell| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(x)\right] \leq 1/3.$$

Lemma

$\Pr[A_\ell] \leq \epsilon^2/3$. In other words with $1 - \epsilon^2/3$ probability no big coordinates collide with i under h_ℓ .

Analysis: Proof of lemma

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\ell &= g_\ell(i) C[\ell, h_\ell(i)] \\ &= x_i + \sum_{i' \in T_{\text{big}}} g_\ell(i) g_\ell(i') Y_{i'} x_{i'} + \sum_{i' \in T_{\text{small}}} g_\ell(i) g_\ell(i') Y_{i'} x_{i'} \end{aligned}$$

$|Z_\ell - x_i| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(x)$ implies

- A_ℓ happens (that is some big coordinate collides with i in h_ℓ or
- $|Z'_\ell| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(x)$

Analysis: Proof of lemma

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\ell &= \mathbf{g}_\ell(i) \mathbf{C}[\ell, \mathbf{h}_\ell(i)] \\ &= \mathbf{x}_i + \sum_{i' \in T_{\text{big}}} \mathbf{g}_\ell(i) \mathbf{g}_\ell(i') \mathbf{Y}_{i'} \mathbf{x}_{i'} + \sum_{i' \in T_{\text{small}}} \mathbf{g}_\ell(i) \mathbf{g}_\ell(i') \mathbf{Y}_{i'} \mathbf{x}_{i'} \end{aligned}$$

$|Z_\ell - \mathbf{x}_i| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})$ implies

- \mathbf{A}_ℓ happens (that is some big coordinate collides with i in \mathbf{h}_ℓ or
- $|Z'_\ell| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})$

Therefore, by union bound,

$$\Pr\left[|Z_\ell - \mathbf{x}_i| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})\right] \leq \epsilon^2/3 + 1/3 \leq 2/5$$

if ϵ is sufficiently small.

High probability estimate

Lemma

$$\Pr\left[|Z_\ell - x_i| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})\right] \leq 2/5.$$

Recall $\tilde{x}_i = \text{median}\{g_1(i)C[1, h_1(i)], \dots, g_d(i)C[d, h_d(i)]\}$.

- Hence by Chernoff bounds with $d = \Omega(\log n)$,

$$\Pr\left[|\tilde{x}_i - x_i| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})\right] \leq 1/n^2$$

- By union bound, with probability at least $(1 - 1/n)$,
 $|\tilde{x}_i - x_i| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})$ for all $i \in [n]$.

High probability estimate

Lemma

$$\Pr\left[|Z_\ell - x_i| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(x)\right] \leq 2/5.$$

Recall $\tilde{x}_i = \text{median}\{g_1(i)C[1, h_1(i)], \dots, g_d(i)C[d, h_d(i)]\}$.

- Hence by Chernoff bounds with $d = \Omega(\log n)$,

$$\Pr\left[|\tilde{x}_i - x_i| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(x)\right] \leq 1/n^2$$

- By union bound, with probability at least $(1 - 1/n)$,
 $|\tilde{x}_i - x_i| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(x)$ for all $i \in [n]$.

Lemma

Count-Sketch with $w = 3k/\epsilon^2$ and $d = O(\log n)$ ensures that $\forall i \in [n]$, $|\tilde{x}_i - x_i| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(x)$ with high probability (at least $(1 - 1/n)$).

Second lemma of outline

Lemma

Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_\infty \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})$. Then, $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|_2 \leq (1 + 5\epsilon) \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})$, where \mathbf{z} is the vector obtained as follows: $z_i = y_i$ for $i \in T$ where T is the set of k largest (in absolute value) indices of \mathbf{y} and $z_i = 0$ for $i \notin T$.

What the lemma is saying:

- $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ the estimated vector of Count-Sketch approximates \mathbf{x} very closely in *each coordinate*
- Algorithm picks the top k coordinates of $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ to create \mathbf{z}
- Then \mathbf{z} approximates \mathbf{x} well

Second lemma of outline

Lemma

Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_\infty \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})$. Then, $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|_2 \leq (1 + 5\epsilon) \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})$, where \mathbf{z} is the vector obtained as follows: $z_i = y_i$ for $i \in T$ where T is the set of k largest (in absolute value) indices of \mathbf{y} and $z_i = 0$ for $i \notin T$.

What the lemma is saying:

- $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ the estimated vector of Count-Sketch approximates \mathbf{x} very closely in *each coordinate*
- Algorithm picks the top k coordinates of $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ to create \mathbf{z}
- Then \mathbf{z} approximates \mathbf{x} well

Proof is basically follows the intuition of triangle inequality

Proof of lemma

S (previously T_{big}) is set of k biggest coordinates in \mathbf{x}

T is the set of k biggest coordinates in $\mathbf{y} = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$

Let $\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})$ for ease of notation.

$$(\text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x}))^2 = k\mathbf{E}^2 = \sum_{i \in [n] \setminus S} x_i^2 = \sum_{i \in T \setminus S} x_i^2 + \sum_{i \in [n] \setminus (S \cup T)} x_i^2.$$

Want to bound

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|_2^2 &= \sum_{i \in T} |x_i - z_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in S \setminus T} |x_i - z_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in [n] \setminus (S \cup T)} x_i^2 \\ &= \sum_{i \in T} |x_i - y_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in S \setminus T} x_i^2 + \sum_{i \in [n] \setminus (S \cup T)} x_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

Analysis continued

Want to bound

$$\begin{aligned}\|x - z\|_2^2 &= \sum_{i \in T} |x_i - z_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in S \setminus T} |x_i - z_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in [n] \setminus (S \cup T)} x_i^2 \\ &= \sum_{i \in T} |x_i - y_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in S \setminus T} x_i^2 + \sum_{i \in [n] \setminus (S \cup T)} x_i^2.\end{aligned}$$

First term: $\sum_{i \in T} |x_i - \tilde{x}_i|^2 \leq k\epsilon^2 E^2 \leq \epsilon^2 (\text{err}_2^k(x))^2$

Analysis continued

Want to bound

$$\begin{aligned}\|x - z\|_2^2 &= \sum_{i \in T} |x_i - z_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in S \setminus T} |x_i - z_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in [n] \setminus (S \cup T)} x_i^2 \\ &= \sum_{i \in T} |x_i - y_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in S \setminus T} x_i^2 + \sum_{i \in [n] \setminus (S \cup T)} x_i^2.\end{aligned}$$

First term: $\sum_{i \in T} |x_i - \tilde{x}_i|^2 \leq k\epsilon^2 E^2 \leq \epsilon^2 (\text{err}_2^k(x))^2$

Third term: common to expression for $(\text{err}_2^k(x))^2$

Analysis continued

Want to bound

$$\begin{aligned}\|x - z\|_2^2 &= \sum_{i \in T} |x_i - z_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in S \setminus T} |x_i - z_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in [n] \setminus (S \cup T)} x_i^2 \\ &= \sum_{i \in T} |x_i - y_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in S \setminus T} x_i^2 + \sum_{i \in [n] \setminus (S \cup T)} x_i^2.\end{aligned}$$

First term: $\sum_{i \in T} |x_i - \tilde{x}_i|^2 \leq k\epsilon^2 E^2 \leq \epsilon^2 (\text{err}_2^k(x))^2$

Third term: common to expression for $(\text{err}_2^k(x))^2$

Second term: needs more care

Analysis contd

Want to bound $\sum_{i \in S \setminus T} x_i^2$

Let $\ell = |S \setminus T| \leq k$. Since $|S| = |T| = k$, $|T \setminus S| = \ell$

Coordinates in $S \setminus T$ and $T \setminus S$ must be close: within $\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(x)$

Analysis contd

Want to bound $\sum_{i \in S \setminus T} x_i^2$

Let $\ell = |S \setminus T| \leq k$. Since $|S| = |T| = k$, $|T \setminus S| = \ell$

Coordinates in $S \setminus T$ and $T \setminus S$ must be close: within $\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})$

Claim: Let $a = \max_{i \in S \setminus T} |x_i|$ and $b = \min_{i \in T \setminus S} |x_i|$. Then $a \leq b + 2 \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})$.

Analysis contd

Want to bound $\sum_{i \in S \setminus T} x_i^2$

Let $\ell = |S \setminus T| \leq k$. Since $|S| = |T| = k$, $|T \setminus S| = \ell$

Coordinates in $S \setminus T$ and $T \setminus S$ must be close: within $\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})$

Claim: Let $\mathbf{a} = \max_{i \in S \setminus T} |x_i|$ and $\mathbf{b} = \min_{i \in T \setminus S} |x_i|$. Then $\mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{b} + 2 \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x})$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in S \setminus T} x_i^2 &\leq \ell \mathbf{a}^2 \leq \ell \left(\mathbf{b} + 2 \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \ell \mathbf{b}^2 + 4k \frac{\epsilon^2}{k} (\text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x}))^2 + 4k \mathbf{b} \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}} \text{err}_2^k(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Analysis contd

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i \in S \setminus T} x_i^2 &\leq la^2 \leq l(b + 2\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}}\text{err}_2^k(x))^2 \\ &\leq lb^2 + 4k\frac{\epsilon^2}{k}(\text{err}_2^k(x))^2 + 4kb\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{k}}\text{err}_2^k(x) \\ &\leq lb^2 + 4\epsilon^2(\text{err}_2^k(x))^2 + 4\epsilon(\sqrt{k}b)\text{err}_2^k(x) \\ &\leq lb^2 + 8\epsilon(\text{err}_2^k(x))^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in T \setminus S} x_i^2 + 8\epsilon(\text{err}_2^k(x))^2.\end{aligned}$$

Exercise: Why is $\sqrt{k}b \leq \text{err}_2^k(x)$? (We used it above.)

Analysis contd

$$\begin{aligned}\|x - z\|_2^2 &= \sum_{i \in T} |x_i - z_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in S \setminus T} |x_i - z_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in [n] \setminus (S \cup T)} x_i^2 \\ &= \sum_{i \in T} |x_i - y_i|^2 + \sum_{i \in S \setminus T} x_i^2 + \sum_{i \in [n] \setminus (S \cup T)} x_i^2.\end{aligned}$$

First term: $\sum_{i \in T} |x_i - \tilde{x}_i|^2 \leq k\epsilon^2 E^2 \leq \epsilon^2 (\text{err}_2^k(x))^2$

Third term: common to expression for $(\text{err}_2^k(x))^2$

Second term: at most $\sum_{i \in T \setminus S} x_i^2 + 8\epsilon (\text{err}_2^k(x))^2$

Hence

$$\|x - z\|_2^2 \leq (1 + 9\epsilon) (\text{err}_2^k(x))^2$$

Implies

$$\|x - z\|_2 \leq (\sqrt{1 + 9\epsilon}) \text{err}_2^k(x) \leq (1 + 5\epsilon) \text{err}_2^k(x)$$

Application to signal processing

Given signal x approximate it via small number of basis signals

- Fourier analysis and Wavelets
- Useful in compression of various kinds

Application to signal processing

Given signal x approximate it via small number of basis signals

- Fourier analysis and Wavelets
- Useful in compression of various kinds

Transform x into $y = Bx$ where B is a transform and then approximate y by k -sparse vector z

To (approximately) reconstruct x , output $x' = B^{-1}z$

If Bx can be computed in streaming fashion from stream for x , we can apply preceding algorithm to obtain z

Compressed Sensing

We saw that *given* x in streaming fashion we can construct sketch that allows us to find k -sparse z that approximates x with high probability

Compressed sensing: we want to create projection matrix Π such that for *any* x we can create from Πx a good k -sparse approximation to x

Doable! With Π that has $O(k \log(n/k))$ rows. Creating Π requires randomization but once found it can be used. Called RIP matrices. First due to Candes, Romberg, Tao and Donoho. Lot of work in signal processing and algorithms.