

## AMS Sampling, Estimating Frequency moments, $F_2$ Estimation

Lecture 07

September 13, 2022

# Frequency Moments

- Stream consists of  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m$  where each  $e_j$  is an integer in  $[n]$ . We know  $n$  in advance (or an upper bound)
- Given a stream let  $f_i$  denote the frequency of  $i$  or number of times  $i$  is seen in the stream
- Consider vector  $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$
- For  $k \geq 0$  the  $k$ 'th frequency moment  $F_k = \sum_i f_i^k$ . We can also consider the  $\ell_k$  norm of  $f$  which is  $(F_k)^{1/k}$ .

Example:  $n = 5$  and stream is 4, 2, 4, 1, 1, 1, 4, 5

**Problem:** Estimate  $F_k$  from stream using small memory

# A more general estimation problem

- Stream consists of  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m$  where each  $e_j$  is an integer in  $[n]$ . We know  $n$  in advance (or an upper bound)
- Given a stream let  $f_i$  denote the frequency of  $i$  or number of times  $i$  is seen in the stream
- Consider vector  $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$
- Define a function  $g(\sigma)$  of stream  $\sigma$  to be  $\sum_{i=1}^m g_i(f_i)$  where  $g_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a real-valued function such that  $g_i(0) = 0$ .

# A more general estimation problem

- Stream consists of  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m$  where each  $e_j$  is an integer in  $[n]$ . We know  $n$  in advance (or an upper bound)
- Given a stream let  $f_i$  denote the frequency of  $i$  or number of times  $i$  is seen in the stream
- Consider vector  $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$
- Define a function  $g(\sigma)$  of stream  $\sigma$  to be  $\sum_{i=1}^m g_i(f_i)$  where  $g_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a real-valued function such that  $g_i(0) = 0$ .

Examples:

- Frequency moments  $F_k$  where for each  $i$ ,  $g_i(f_i) = h(f_i)$  where  $h(x) = x^k$
- Entropy of stream:  $g(\sigma) = \sum_i f_i \log(f_i)$   
(assume  $0 \log 0 = 0$ )

# Part I

## AMS Sampling

# AMS Sampling

An unbiased statistical estimator for  $g(\sigma)$

- Sample  $e_j$  uniformly at random from stream of length  $m$
- Suppose  $e_j = i$  where  $i \in [n]$
- Let  $R = |\{j \mid J \leq j \leq m, e_j = e_J = i\}|$
- Output  $(g_i(R) - g_i(R - 1)) \cdot m$

# AMS Sampling

An unbiased statistical estimator for  $g(\sigma)$

- Sample  $e_j$  uniformly at random from stream of length  $m$
- Suppose  $e_j = i$  where  $i \in [n]$
- Let  $R = |\{j \mid J \leq j \leq m, e_j = e_J = i\}|$
- Output  $(g_i(R) - g_i(R - 1)) \cdot m$

Can be implemented in streaming setting with reservoir sampling.

# Streaming Implementation

AMS-Estimate:

$s \leftarrow \text{null}$

$m \leftarrow 0$

$R \leftarrow 0$

While (stream is not done)

$m \leftarrow m + 1$

$a_m$  is current item

Toss a biased coin that is heads with probability  $1/m$

If (coin turns up heads)

$s \leftarrow a_m$

$R \leftarrow 1$

Else If ( $a_m == s$ )

$R \leftarrow R + 1$

endWhile

Output  $(g_s(R) - g_s(R - 1)) \cdot m$



# Expectation of output

Let  $Y$  be the output of the algorithm.

## Lemma

$$E[Y] = g(\sigma) = \sum_{i \in [n]} g_i(f_i).$$

# Expectation of output

Let  $Y$  be the output of the algorithm.

## Lemma

$$E[Y] = g(\sigma) = \sum_{i \in [n]} g_i(f_i).$$

$\Pr[e_j = i] = f_i/m$  since  $e_j$  is chosen uniformly from stream.

# Expectation of output

Let  $Y$  be the output of the algorithm.

## Lemma

$$E[Y] = g(\sigma) = \sum_{i \in [n]} g_i(f_i).$$

$\Pr[e_J = i] = f_i/m$  since  $e_J$  is chosen uniformly from stream.

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y] &= \sum_{i \in [n]} \Pr[a_J = i] E[Y | a_J = i] \\ &= \sum_{i \in [n]} \frac{f_i}{m} E[Y | a_J = i] \\ &= \sum_{i \in [n]} \frac{f_i}{m} \sum_{\ell=1}^{f_i} m \frac{1}{f_i} (g_i(\ell) - g_i(\ell - 1)) \\ &= \sum g_i(f_i). \end{aligned}$$

# Application to estimating frequency moments

Suppose  $g(\sigma) = F_k$  for some  $k > 1$ . That is  $g_i(x) = x^k$  for each  $i$ .  
What is  $\text{Var}(Y)$ ?

# Application to estimating frequency moments

Suppose  $g(\sigma) = F_k$  for some  $k > 1$ . That is  $g_i(x) = x^k$  for each  $i$ . What is  $\text{Var}(Y)$ ?

## Lemma

When  $g(x) = x^k$  and  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\text{Var}[Y] \leq kF_1F_{2k-1} \leq kn^{1-\frac{1}{k}}F_k^2$ .

# Application to estimating frequency moments

Suppose  $g(\sigma) = F_k$  for some  $k > 1$ . That is  $g_i(x) = x^k$  for each  $i$ . What is  $\text{Var}(Y)$ ?

## Lemma

When  $g(x) = x^k$  and  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\text{Var}[Y] \leq kF_1F_{2k-1} \leq kn^{1-\frac{1}{k}}F_k^2$ .

$E[Y] = F_k$  and  $\text{Var}(Y) \leq kn^{1-\frac{1}{k}}F_k^2$ . Hence, if we want to use averaging and Cheybshev we need to average  $h = \Omega(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}kn^{1-\frac{1}{k}})$  parallel runs and space to get a  $(1 \pm \epsilon)$  estimate to  $F_k$  with constant probability.

# Variance calculation

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}[Y] &\leq E[Y^2] \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in [n]} \Pr[a_J = i] \sum_{\ell=1}^{f_i} \frac{m^2}{f_i} (\ell^k - (\ell-1)^k)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in [n]} \frac{f_i}{m} \sum_{\ell=1}^{f_i} \frac{m^2}{f_i} (\ell^k - (\ell-1)^k)(\ell^k - (\ell-1)^k) \\ &\leq m \sum_{i \in [n]} \sum_{\ell=1}^{f_i} k \ell^{k-1} (\ell^k - (\ell-1)^k) \quad \text{using } x^k - (x-1)^k \leq kx^{k-1} \\ &\leq km \sum_{i \in [n]} f_i^{k-1} f_i^k \\ &\leq km F_{2k-1} = k F_1 F_{2k-1}.\end{aligned}$$

# Variance calculation

**Claim:** For  $k \geq 1$ ,  $F_1 F_{2k-1} \leq n^{1-1/k} (F_k)^2$ .



# Variance calculation

**Claim:** For  $k \geq 1$ ,  $F_1 F_{2k-1} \leq n^{1-1/k} (F_k)^2$ .

The function  $g(x) = x^k$  is convex for  $k \geq 1$ .  
Implies  $\sum_i x_i / n \leq ((\sum_i x_i^k) / n)^{1/k}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 F_{2k-1} &= \left( \sum_i f_i \right) \left( \sum_i f_i^{2k-1} \right) \leq \left( \sum_i f_i \right) (F_\infty)^{k-1} \left( \sum_i f_i^k \right) \\ &\leq \left( \sum_i f_i \right) \left( \sum_i f_i^k \right)^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \left( \sum_i f_i^k \right) \\ &\leq n^{1-1/k} \left( \sum_i f_i^k \right)^{1/k} \left( \sum_i f_i^k \right)^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \left( \sum_i f_i^k \right) \\ &= n^{1-1/k} (F_k)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Worst case is when  $f_i = m/n$  for each  $i \in [n]$ .

# Frequency moment estimation

AMS-Estimator shows that  $F_k$  can be estimated in  $O(n^{1-1/k})$  space.

**Question:** Can one do better?

# Frequency moment estimation

AMS-Estimator shows that  $F_k$  can be estimated in  $O(n^{1-1/k})$  space.

**Question:** Can one do better?

- For  $F_2$  and  $1 \leq k \leq 2$  one can do  $O(\text{polylog}(n))$  space!
- For  $k > 2$  space complexity is  $\tilde{O}(n^{1-2/k})$  which is known to be essentially tight.

Thus a phase transition at  $k = 2$ .

# Part II

## $F_2$ Estimation

# Estimating $F_2$

- Stream consists of  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m$  where each  $e_j$  is an integer in  $[n]$ . We know  $n$  in advance (or an upper bound)
- Given a stream let  $f_i$  denote the frequency of  $i$  or number of times  $i$  is seen in the stream
- Consider vector  $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$

**Question:** Estimate  $F_2 = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i^2$  in small space.

Using generic AMS sampling scheme we can do this in  $O(\sqrt{n} \log n)$  space. Can we do it better?

# AMS Scheme for $F_2$

## AMS- $F_2$ -Estimate:

Let  $h : [n] \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  be chosen from  
a 4-wise independent hash family  $\mathcal{H}$ .

$z \leftarrow 0$

While (stream is not empty) do

$a_j$  is current item

$z \leftarrow z + h(a_j)$

endWhile

Output  $z^2$

# AMS Scheme for $F_2$

## AMS- $F_2$ -Estimate:

```
Let  $h : [n] \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  be chosen from  
a 4-wise independent hash family  $\mathcal{H}$ .  
 $z \leftarrow 0$   
While (stream is not empty) do  
     $a_j$  is current item  
     $z \leftarrow z + h(a_j)$   
endWhile  
Output  $z^2$ 
```

## AMS- $F_2$ -Estimate:

```
Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  be  $\{-1, +1\}$  random variable that are  
4-wise independent  
 $z \leftarrow 0$   
While (stream is not empty) do  
     $a_j$  is current item  
     $z \leftarrow z + Y_{a_j}$   
endWhile  
Output  $z^2$ 
```

# Analysis

$Z = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i Y_i$  and output is  $Z^2$



# Analysis

$Z = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i Y_i$  and output is  $Z^2$

- $E[Y_i] = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(Y_i) = E[Y_i^2] = 1$
- For  $i \neq j$ , since  $Y_i$  and  $Y_j$  are pairwise-independent  $E[Y_i Y_j] = 0$ .

# Analysis

$Z = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i Y_i$  and output is  $Z^2$

- $E[Y_i] = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(Y_i) = E[Y_i^2] = 1$
- For  $i \neq j$ , since  $Y_i$  and  $Y_j$  are pairwise-independent  $E[Y_i Y_j] = 0$ .

$$Z^2 = \sum_i f_i^2 Y_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} f_i f_j Y_i Y_j$$

and hence

$$E[Z^2] = \sum_i f_i^2 = F_2.$$

# Variance

What is  $\text{Var}(Z^2)$ ?

# Variance

What is  $\text{Var}(Z^2)$ ?

$$E[Z^4] = \sum_{i \in [n]} \sum_{j \in [n]} \sum_{k \in [n]} \sum_{\ell \in [n]} f_i f_j f_k f_\ell E[Y_i Y_j Y_k Y_\ell].$$

# Variance

What is  $\text{Var}(Z^2)$ ?

$$E[Z^4] = \sum_{i \in [n]} \sum_{j \in [n]} \sum_{k \in [n]} \sum_{\ell \in [n]} f_i f_j f_k f_\ell E[Y_i Y_j Y_k Y_\ell].$$

4-wise independence implies  $E[Y_i Y_j Y_k Y_\ell] = 0$  if there is a number among  $i, j, k, \ell$  that occurs only once. Otherwise 1.

# Variance

What is  $\text{Var}(Z^2)$ ?

$$E[Z^4] = \sum_{i \in [n]} \sum_{j \in [n]} \sum_{k \in [n]} \sum_{\ell \in [n]} f_i f_j f_k f_\ell E[Y_i Y_j Y_k Y_\ell].$$

4-wise independence implies  $E[Y_i Y_j Y_k Y_\ell] = 0$  if there is a number among  $i, j, k, \ell$  that occurs only once. Otherwise 1.

$$\begin{aligned} E[Z^4] &= \sum_{i \in [n]} \sum_{j \in [n]} \sum_{k \in [n]} \sum_{\ell \in [n]} f_i f_j f_k f_\ell E[Y_i Y_j Y_k Y_\ell] \\ &= \sum_{i \in [n]} f_i^4 + 6 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n f_i^2 f_j^2. \end{aligned}$$

# Variance

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(Z^2) &= E[Z^4] - (E[Z^2])^2 \\ &= F_4 - F_2^2 + 6 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n f_i^2 f_j^2 \\ &= F_4 - (F_4 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n f_i^2 f_j^2) + 6 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n f_i^2 f_j^2 \\ &= 4 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n f_i^2 f_j^2 \\ &\leq 2F_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

# Averaging and median trick again

Output is  $Z^2$ : and  $E[Z^2] = F_2$  and  $\text{Var}(Z^2) \leq 2F_2^2$

- Reduce variance by averaging  $8/\epsilon^2$  independent estimates. Let  $Y$  be the averaged estimator.
- Apply Chebyshev to average estimator.  
 $\Pr[|Y - F_2| \geq \epsilon F_2] \leq 1/4.$
- Reduce error probability to  $\delta$  by independently doing  $O(\log(1/\delta))$  estimators above.
- Total space  $O(\log(1/\delta) \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \log n)$



# Geometric Interpretation

**Observation:** The estimation algorithm works even when  $f_i$ 's can be negative. What does this mean?

# Geometric Interpretation

**Observation:** The estimation algorithm works even when  $f_i$ 's can be negative. What does this mean?

## Richer model:

- Want to estimate a function of a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  which is initially assume to be the all 0's vector. (previously we were thinking of the frequency vector  $\mathbf{f}$ )
- Each element  $e_j$  of a stream is a tuple  $(i_j, \Delta_j)$  where  $i_j \in [n]$  and  $\Delta_j \in \mathbb{R}$  is a real-value: this updates  $x_{i_j}$  to  $x_{i_j} + \Delta_j$ . ( $\Delta_j$  can be positive or negative)

# Algorithm revisited

## AMS- $\ell_2$ -Estimate:

Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  be  $\{-1, +1\}$  random variable that are  
4-wise independent

$z \leftarrow 0$

While (stream is not empty) do

$a_j = (i_j, \Delta_j)$  is current update

$z \leftarrow z + \Delta_j Y_{i_j}$

endWhile

Output  $z^2$

# Algorithm revisited

## AMS- $\ell_2$ -Estimate:

Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  be  $\{-1, +1\}$  random variable that are  
4-wise independent

$z \leftarrow 0$

While (stream is not empty) do

$a_j = (i_j, \Delta_j)$  is current update

$z \leftarrow z + \Delta_j Y_{i_j}$

endWhile

Output  $z^2$

**Claim:** Output estimates  $\|x\|_2^2$  where  $x$  is the vector at end of stream of updates.

# Analysis

$Z = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i Y_i$  and output is  $Z^2$

# Analysis

$Z = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i Y_i$  and output is  $Z^2$

- $E[Y_i] = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(Y_i) = E[Y_i^2] = 1$
- For  $i \neq j$ , since  $Y_i$  and  $Y_j$  are pairwise-independent  $E[Y_i Y_j] = 0$ .

$$Z^2 = \sum_i x_i^2 Y_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} x_i x_j Y_i Y_j$$

and hence

$$E[Z^2] = \sum_i x_i^2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2.$$

# Analysis

$Z = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i Y_i$  and output is  $Z^2$

- $E[Y_i] = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(Y_i) = E[Y_i^2] = 1$
- For  $i \neq j$ , since  $Y_i$  and  $Y_j$  are pairwise-independent  $E[Y_i Y_j] = 0$ .

$$Z^2 = \sum_i x_i^2 Y_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} x_i x_j Y_i Y_j$$

and hence

$$E[Z^2] = \sum_i x_i^2 = \|x\|_2^2.$$

And as before one can show that  $\text{Var}(Z^2) \leq 2(E[Z^2])^2$ .

# Introduction to (Linear) Sketching

A *sketch* of a stream  $\sigma$  is a summary data structure  $C(\sigma)$  (ideally of small space) such that the sketch of the composition  $\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2$  of two streams  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  can be computed from  $C(\sigma_1)$  and  $C(\sigma_2)$ . The output of the algorithm is some function of the sketch.



# Introduction to (Linear) Sketching

A *sketch* of a stream  $\sigma$  is a summary data structure  $C(\sigma)$  (ideally of small space) such that the sketch of the composition  $\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2$  of two streams  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  can be computed from  $C(\sigma_1)$  and  $C(\sigma_2)$ . The output of the algorithm is some function of the sketch.

What is the summary of algorithm for  $F_2$  estimation? Is it a sketch?

# Introduction to (Linear) Sketching

A *sketch* of a stream  $\sigma$  is a summary data structure  $C(\sigma)$  (ideally of small space) such that the sketch of the composition  $\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2$  of two streams  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  can be computed from  $C(\sigma_1)$  and  $C(\sigma_2)$ . The output of the algorithm is some function of the sketch.

What is the summary of algorithm for  $F_2$  estimation? Is it a sketch?

A sketch is a *linear* sketch if  $C(\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2) = C(\sigma_1) + C(\sigma_2)$ .

# Introduction to (Linear) Sketching

A *sketch* of a stream  $\sigma$  is a summary data structure  $C(\sigma)$  (ideally of small space) such that the sketch of the composition  $\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2$  of two streams  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  can be computed from  $C(\sigma_1)$  and  $C(\sigma_2)$ . The output of the algorithm is some function of the sketch.

What is the summary of algorithm for  $F_2$  estimation? Is it a sketch?

A sketch is a *linear* sketch if  $C(\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2) = C(\sigma_1) + C(\sigma_2)$ .

Is the sketch for  $F_2$  estimation a linear sketch?

## $F_2$ Estimation as Linear Sketching

Recall that we take average of independent estimators and take median to reduce error. Can we view all this as a sketch?

### AMS- $\ell_2$ -Sketch:

$$\ell = c \log(1/\delta)/\epsilon^2$$

Let  $M$  be a  $\ell \times n$  matrix with entries in  $\{-1, 1\}$  s.t

(i) rows are independent and

(ii) in each row entries are 4-wise independent

$z$  is a  $\ell \times 1$  vector initialized to 0

While (stream is not empty) do

$a_j = (i_j, \Delta_j)$  is current update

$z \leftarrow z + \Delta_j M e_{i_j}$

endWhile

Output vector  $z$  as sketch.

$M$  is compactly represented via  $\ell$  hash functions, one per row, independently chosen from 4-wise independent hash family.

# An Application to Join Size Estimation

In Databases an important operation is the “join” operation

- A relation/table  $r$  of arity  $k$  consists of tuples of size  $k$  where each tuple element is from some given type. Example: (netid, uin, last name, first name, dob, address) in a student data base
- Given two relations  $r$  and  $s$  and a common attribute  $a$  one often needs to compute their join  $r \bowtie s$  over some common attribute that they share
- $r \bowtie s$  can have size quadratic in size of  $r$  and  $s$

**Question:** Estimate size of  $r \bowtie s$  without computing it explicitly.  
Very useful in database query optimization.

# An Application to Join Size Estimation

In Databases an important operation is the “join” operation

- A relation/table  $r$  of arity  $k$  consists of tuples of size  $k$  where each tuple element is from some given type. Example: (netid, uin, last name, first name, dob, address) in a student data base
- Given two relations  $r$  and  $s$  and a common attribute  $a$  one often needs to compute their join  $r \bowtie s$  over some common attribute that they share
- $r \bowtie s$  can have size quadratic in size of  $r$  and  $s$

**Question:** Estimate size of  $r \bowtie s$  without computing it explicitly.

Very useful in database query optimization.

Estimating  $r \bowtie r$  over an attribute  $a$  is same as  $F_2$  estimation.

Why?

# Sketching: a shift in perspective

- Sketching ideas have many powerful applications in theory and practice
- In particular linear sketches are powerful. Allows one to handle negative entries and deletions. Surprisingly linear sketches are feasible in several settings.
- Connected to dimension reduction (JL Lemma), subspace embeddings and other important topics