

CS477 Formal Software Dev Methods

Elsa L Gunter
2112 SC, UIUC
egunter@illinois.edu

<http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs477>

Slides based in part on previous lectures
by Mahesh Vishwanathan, and by Gul Agha

February 26, 2020

Substitution in Formulae: Two Approaches

- When quantifier would capture free variable of redex, can't substitute in formula as is
- Solution 1: Make substitution partial function – undefined in this case
- Solution 2: Define equivalence relation based on renaming bound variables; define substitution on equivalence classes
- Will take Solution 1 here
- Still need definition of equivalence up to renaming bound variables

Substitution in Formulae

- Defined by structural induction; uses substitution in terms
- Read equations below as saying left is not defined if any expression on right not defined
- $\text{true}[t/x] = \text{true}$ $\text{false}[t/x] = \text{false}$
- $r(t_1, \dots, t_n)[t/x] = r((t_1[t/x], \dots, t_n[t/x]))$
- $(\psi)[t/x] = (\psi[t/x])$ $(\neg\psi)[t/x] = \neg(\psi[t/x])$
- $(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)[t/x] = (\psi_1[t/x]) \otimes (\psi_2[t/x])$ for $\otimes \in \{\wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow\}$
- $(Qx. \psi)[t/x] = Qx. \psi$ for $Q \in \{\forall, \exists\}$
- $(Qy. \psi)[t/x] = Qy. (\psi[t/x])$ if $x \neq y$ and $y \notin \text{fv}(t)$ for $Q \in \{\forall, \exists\}$
- $(Qy. \psi)[t/x]$ not defined if $x \neq y$ and $y \in \text{fv}(t)$ for $Q \in \{\forall, \exists\}$

Examples

$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y. (\forall z. z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y)))[x + y/z]$ not defined

$$\begin{aligned} & (x > 3 \wedge (\exists w. (\forall z. z \geq (w - x)) \vee (z \geq w)))[x + y/z] = \\ & (x > 3 \wedge (\exists w. (\forall z. z \geq (w - x)) \vee ((x + y) \geq y))) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

Assume given structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$, formula ψ over \mathcal{G} , and a assignment. If $\psi[t/x]$ defined, then $a \models^{\mathcal{S}} \psi[t/x]$ if and only if $a[x \mapsto \mathcal{T}_a(t)] \models^{\mathcal{S}} \psi$

Renaming by Swapping: Terms

Define the **swapping** of two variables in a term $t[x \leftrightarrow y]$ by structural induction on terms:

- $x[x \leftrightarrow y] = y$ and $y[x \leftrightarrow y] = x$
- $z[x \leftrightarrow y] = z$ for z a variable, $z \neq x$, $z \neq y$
- $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)[x \leftrightarrow y] = f(t_1[x \leftrightarrow y], \dots, t_n[x \leftrightarrow y])$

Examples:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add}(1, \text{abs}(\text{add}(x, y)))[x \leftrightarrow y] &= \text{add}(1, \text{abs}(\text{add}(y, x))) \\ \text{add}(1, \text{abs}(\text{add}(x, y)))[x \leftrightarrow z] &= \text{add}(1, \text{abs}(\text{add}(z, y))) \end{aligned}$$

Renaming by Swapping: Terms

Theorem

Assume given structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$, variables x and y , term t over \mathcal{G} , and a assignment. Let $b = a[x \mapsto a(y)][y \mapsto a(x)]$. Then $\mathcal{T}_a(t[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t)$

Renaming by Swapping: Terms

Proof.

By structural induction on terms, suffices to show theorem for the case where t variable, and case $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, assuming result for t_1, \dots, t_n

- Case: t variable

- Subcase: $t = x$. Then $\mathcal{T}_a(x[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_a(y) = a(y)$ and $\mathcal{T}_b(x) = b(x) = a[x \mapsto a(y)][y \mapsto a(x)](x) = a[x \mapsto \mathcal{T}_a(y)](x) = a(y)$ so $\mathcal{T}_a(t[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t)$
- Subcase: $t = y$. Then $\mathcal{T}_a(y[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_a(x) = a(x)$ and $\mathcal{T}_b(y) = b(y) = a[x \mapsto a(y)][y \mapsto a(x)](x) = a(x)$ so $\mathcal{T}_a(t[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t)$
- Subcase: $t = z$ variable, $z \neq x$ and $z \neq y$. Then $\mathcal{T}_a(z[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_a(z) = a(z)$ and $\mathcal{T}_b(z) = b(z) = a[x \mapsto a(y)][y \mapsto a(x)](z) = a[x \mapsto \mathcal{T}_a(y)](z) = a(z)$ so $\mathcal{T}_a(t[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t)$

Renaming by Swapping: Terms

Proof.

- Case: $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. Assume $\mathcal{T}_a(t_i[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_a(t[x \leftrightarrow y]) &= \mathcal{T}_a(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)[x \leftrightarrow y]) \\ &= \mathcal{T}_a(f(t_1[x \leftrightarrow y], \dots, t_n[x \leftrightarrow y])) \\ &= \phi(f)(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1[x \leftrightarrow y]), \dots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n[x \leftrightarrow y])) \\ &= \phi(f)(\mathcal{T}_b(t_1), \dots, \mathcal{T}_b(t_n)) \\ &\quad \text{since } \mathcal{T}_a(t_i[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \\ &= \mathcal{T}_b(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) \\ &= \mathcal{T}_b(t) \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Renaming by Swapping: Formulae

Define the **swapping** of two variables in a formula $\psi[x \leftrightarrow y]$ by structural induction, using swapping on terms:

- $\text{true}[x \leftrightarrow y] = \text{true}$ $\text{false}[x \leftrightarrow y] = \text{false}$
- $r(t_1, \dots, t_n)[x \leftrightarrow y] = r((t_1[x \leftrightarrow y], \dots, t_n[x \leftrightarrow y]))$
- $(\psi)[x \leftrightarrow y] = (\psi[x \leftrightarrow y])$ $(\neg\psi)[x \leftrightarrow y] = \neg(\psi[x \leftrightarrow y])$
- $(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)[x \leftrightarrow y] = (\psi_1[x \leftrightarrow y]) \otimes (\psi_2[x \leftrightarrow y])$ for
 $\otimes \in \{\wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow\}$
- $(\mathcal{Q}x. \psi)[x \leftrightarrow y] = \mathcal{Q}y. (\psi[x \leftrightarrow y])$ for $\mathcal{Q} \in \{\forall, \exists\}$
- $(\mathcal{Q}y. \psi)[x \leftrightarrow y] = \mathcal{Q}y. (\psi[x \leftrightarrow y])$ for $\mathcal{Q} \in \{\forall, \exists\}$
- $(\mathcal{Q}z. \psi)[x \leftrightarrow y] = \mathcal{Q}z. (\psi[x \leftrightarrow y])$ for z a variable with $z \neq x$,
 $z \neq y$, and $\mathcal{Q} \in \{\forall, \exists\}$

Renaming by Swapping: Formulae

Examples

$$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y. (\forall z. z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y)))[x \leftrightarrow y] \\ = (y > 3 \wedge (\exists x. (\forall z. z \geq (x - y)) \vee (z \geq x)))$$

$$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y. (\forall z. z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y)))[y \leftrightarrow z] \\ (x > 3 \wedge (\exists y. (\forall z. z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y)))[y \leftrightarrow w]$$

Theorem

Assume given structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$, variables x and y , formula ψ over \mathcal{G} , and a assignment. If $x \notin \text{fv}(t)$ and $y \notin \text{fv}(t)$ then $\psi[x \leftrightarrow y] \equiv \psi$

α -equivalence

- $\psi \equiv^{\alpha} \psi$
- If $\psi_1 \equiv^{\alpha} \psi_2$ then $\psi_2 \equiv^{\alpha} \psi_1$.
- If $\psi_1 \equiv^{\alpha} \psi_2$ and $\psi_2 \equiv^{\alpha} \psi_3$ then $\psi_1 \equiv^{\alpha} \psi_3$
- If $x \notin \text{fv}(\psi)$ and $y \notin \text{fv}(\psi)$ then $\psi \equiv^{\alpha} \psi[x \leftrightarrow y]$.
- If $\psi_i \equiv^{\alpha} \psi'_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ then
 - $(\psi_1) \equiv^{\alpha} (\psi'_1) \quad \neg\psi_1 \equiv^{\alpha} \neg\psi'_1$
 - $\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \equiv^{\alpha} \psi'_1 \otimes \psi'_2$ for $\otimes \in \{\wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow\}$
 - $Qz. \psi_1 \equiv^{\alpha} Qz. \psi'_1$ for $Q \in \{\forall, \exists\}$

α -equivalence: Example

$$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y. (\forall z. z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y))) \\ \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} (x > 3 \wedge (\exists w. (\forall z. z \geq (w - x)) \vee (z \geq w)))$$

$$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y. (\forall z. z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y))) \\ \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} (x > 3 \wedge (\exists w. (\forall y. y \geq (w - x)) \vee (z \geq w)))$$

Proof Rules

Will give Sequent version of Natural Deduction rules

All rules from Propositional Logic included

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi'[t/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x.\psi} \text{ Ex I}$$

provided $\psi \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi'$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \exists x.\psi \quad \Gamma \cup \{(\psi[y/x])\} \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi} \text{ Ex E}$$

provided
 $y \notin \text{fv}(\varphi) \cup (\text{fv}(\psi) \setminus \{x\}) \cup \bigcup_{\psi' \in \Gamma} \text{fv}(\psi')$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi[y/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x.\psi} \text{ All I}$$

provided

$y \notin (\text{fv}(\psi) \setminus \{x\}) \cup \bigcup_{\psi' \in \Gamma} \text{fv}(\psi')$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \forall x.\psi \quad \Gamma \cup \{\psi'[t/x]\} \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi} \text{ All E}$$

provided $\psi \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi'$

Example

Show

$$\{ \} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\overline{\{(\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)\} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x}}{\{\} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)} \text{Imp I}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\frac{\{(\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)\} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\{(\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)\} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x} \text{All I}}{\{\} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)} \text{Imp I}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{}{\{\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y\} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y}$$

$$\frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \\ \forall y. z \leq y \end{array} \right\} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\text{Ex E}}$$

$$\frac{\{\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y\} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\text{All I}}$$

$$\{\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y\} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x$$

$$\frac{\{\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y\} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x}{\{\} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)} \text{Imp I}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\{\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y\} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y} \text{Hyp}}{\{\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y\} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x} \text{Ex E}}{\{\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y\} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x} \text{All I}}{\{\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y\} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x} \text{Imp I}}{\{\} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)} \text{Imp I}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \\ \forall y. z \leq y \end{array} \right\} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y}{\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y} \text{Hyp}}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \\ \forall y. z \leq y \end{array} \right\} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x} \text{Ex E}}{\left\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y \right\} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x} \text{All I}}{\left\{ \right\} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)} \text{Imp I}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\text{Hyp}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \\ \forall y. z \leq y \end{array} \right\} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y}{\text{All E}} \quad \frac{\frac{}{\text{Hyp}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \\ \forall y. z \leq y; z \leq x \end{array} \right\} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\text{Ex E}}}{\frac{\frac{}{\text{Hyp}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y \\ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y \end{array} \right\} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \\ \forall y. z \leq y \end{array} \right\} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\text{All I}}}{\frac{\left\{ (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \right\} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\text{All I}} \quad \frac{\left\{ (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \right\} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x}{\text{Imp I}}}{\left\{ \right\} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y}{\text{Hyp}}}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\text{Ex I}}}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\text{All E}}}{\frac{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y \} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y}{\text{Hyp}} \quad \frac{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\text{Ex E}}}{\frac{\{ (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\text{All I}} \quad \frac{\{ (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x}{\text{Imp I}}}{\{ \} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)}}{}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \}} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y \quad \text{Hyp} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y; z \leq x \}} \vdash z \leq x \quad \text{Hyp}}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y; z \leq x \}} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x \quad \text{Ex I}}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \}} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x \quad \text{All E}}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y \} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y \quad \text{Hyp}} \quad \frac{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \}}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y \} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x} \quad \text{Ex E}}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y \} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x} \quad \text{All I} \quad \frac{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y \} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x}{} \quad \text{Imp I}}{\{ \} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)} \quad \text{Imp I}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show

$$\{ \} \vdash (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show

$$\frac{\overline{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y}}{\{\} \vdash (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)} \text{Imp I}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y}}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y} \text{Ex I}}{\{\} \vdash (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)} \text{Imp I}}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash z \leq x}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y} \text{All I}}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y} \text{Ex I}}{\{\} \vdash (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)} \text{Imp I}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x} \text{Hyp}}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x} \text{All E}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash z \leq x}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y} \text{All I}}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y} \text{Ex I}}{\{\} \vdash (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)} \text{Imp I}}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x} \text{Hyp} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\} \vdash z \leq x$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x} \text{Hyp}}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x} \text{Ex E}}{\frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\} \vdash z \leq x} \text{All E}}{\frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\} \vdash z \leq x}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\} \vdash z \leq x} \text{All I}}{\frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\} \vdash z \leq x}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y} \text{Ex I}}{\frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y} \text{Imp I}}{\left\{ \right\} \vdash (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)} \text{Imp I}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\}}{\vdash \exists y. y \leq x} \text{Hyp}}{\frac{}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\}}{\vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x} \text{Hyp}}{\frac{}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\}}{\vdash \exists y. y \leq x} \text{Hyp}} \text{Ex E}}{\frac{}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\}}{\vdash z \leq x} \text{Ex E}} \text{All E}}{\frac{}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\}}{\vdash z \leq x} \text{All I}} \text{Ex I}}{\frac{}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\}}{\vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y} \text{Imp I}} \text{Imp I}}{\frac{}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\}}{\vdash (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)} \text{Imp I}} \text{Imp I}}$$

Floyd-Hoare Logic

- Also called **Axiomatic Semantics**
- Based on formal logic (first order predicate calculus)
- Logical system built from **axioms** and **inference rules**
- Mainly suited to simple imperative programming languages
- Ideas applicable quite broadly

- Used to formally prove a property (**post-condition**) of the **state** (the values of the program variables) after the execution of program, assuming another property (**pre-condition**) of the state holds before execution

- Goal: Derive statements of form

$$\{P\} C \{Q\}$$

- P , Q logical statements about state, P precondition, Q postcondition, C program
- Example:

$$\{x = 1\} x := x + 1 \{x = 2\}$$

Floyd-Hoare Logic

- **Approach:** For each type of language statement, give an axiom or inference rule stating how to derive assertions of form

$$\{P\} C \{Q\}$$

where C is a statement of that type

- Compose axioms and inference rules to build proofs for complex programs

Partial vs Total Correctness

- An expression $\{P\} C \{Q\}$ is a **partial correctness** statement
- For **total correctness** must also prove that C terminates (i.e. doesn't run forever)
 - Written: $[P] C [Q]$
- Will only consider partial correctness here

Simple Imperative Language

- We will give rules for simple imperative language

$\langle \textit{command} \rangle ::= \langle \textit{variable} \rangle := \langle \textit{term} \rangle$
| $\langle \textit{command} \rangle; \dots; \langle \textit{command} \rangle$
| *if* $\langle \textit{statement} \rangle$ *then* $\langle \textit{command} \rangle$ *else* $\langle \textit{command} \rangle$
| *while* $\langle \textit{statement} \rangle$ *do* $\langle \textit{command} \rangle$

- Could add more features, like for-loops

Substitution

- Notation: $P[e/v]$ (sometimes $P[v \rightarrow e]$)
- Meaning: Replace every v in P by e
- Example:

$$(x + 2)[y - 1/x] = ((y - 1) + 2)$$

The Assingment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P[e/x]\} x := e \{P\}}$$

Example:

$$\frac{}{\{ \quad ? \} x := y \{ x = 2 \}}$$

The Assingment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P[e/x]\} x := e \{P\}}$$

Example:

$$\frac{}{\{\square = 2\} x := y \{\square = 2\}}$$

The Assingment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P[e/x]\} x := e \{P\}}$$

Example:

$$\frac{}{\{\boxed{x} = 2\} x := y \{\boxed{x} = 2\}}$$

The Assingment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P[e/x]\} x := e \{P\}}$$

Examples:

$$\frac{}{\{y = 2\} x := y \{x = 2\}}$$

$$\frac{}{\{y = 2\} x := 2 \{y = x\}}$$

$$\frac{}{\{x + 1 = n + 1\} x := x + 1 \{x = n + 1\}}$$

$$\frac{}{\{2 = 2\} x := 2 \{x = 2\}}$$

The Assignment Rule – Your Turn

- What is the weakest precondition of

$$x := x + y \{ x + y = wx \}?$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} ? \\ x := x + y \\ \{ x + y = wx \} \end{array} \right\}$$

The Assignment Rule – Your Turn

- What is the weakest precondition of

$$x := x + y \{ x + y = wx \}?$$

$$\{ (x + y) + y = w(x + y) \}$$
$$x := x + y$$
$$\{ x + y = wx \}$$

Precondition Strengthening

$$\frac{(P \Rightarrow P') \{P'\} C \{Q\}}{\{P\} C \{Q\}}$$

- Meaning: If we can show that P implies P' (i.e. $(P \Rightarrow P')$ and we can show that $\{P'\} C \{Q\}$, then we know that $\{P\} C \{Q\}$
- P is **stronger** than P' means $P \Rightarrow P'$

Precondition Strengthening

- Examples:

$$\frac{x = 3 \Rightarrow x < 7 \quad \{x < 7\} x := x + 3 \{x < 10\}}{\{x = 3\} x := x + 3 \{x < 10\}}$$

$$\frac{\text{True} \Rightarrow (2 = 2) \quad \{2 = 2\} x := 2 \{x = 2\}}{\{\text{True}\} x := 2 \{x = 2\}}$$

$$\frac{x = n \Rightarrow x + 1 = n + 1 \quad \{x + 1 = n + 1\} x := x + 1 \{x = n + 1\}}{\{x = n\} x := x + 1 \{x = n + 1\}}$$

Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x = 3\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}} \text{ YES}$$

$$\frac{\{x = 3\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}} \text{ YES}$$

$$\frac{\{x = 3\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}} \text{ NO}$$

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}} \text{ YES}$$

$$\frac{\{x = 3\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}} \text{ NO}$$

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}} \text{ YES}$$