CS477 Formal Software Dev Methods

Elsa L Gunter
2112 SC, UIUC
egunter@illinois.edu
http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs477

Slides based in part on previous lectures
by Mahesh Vishwanathan, and by Gul Agha

February 19, 2020

First Order Logic vs Propositional Logic

First Order Logic extends Propositional Logic with

- Non-boolean constants
- Variables
- Functions and relations (or predicates, more generally)
- Quantification of variables

Sample first order formula:

$$\forall x.\exists y.x < y \wedge y \leq x + 1$$

Reference: Peled, *Software Reliability Methods*, Chapter 3

Signatures

Start with signature:

$$\mathcal{G} = (V, F, af, R, ar)$$

- V a countably infinite set of *variables*
- F finite set of function symbols
- $af : F \to \mathbb{N}$ gives the *arity*, the number of arguments for each function Constant c is a function symbol of arity 0 ($af(c) = 0$)
- R finite set of relation symbols
- $ar : R \to \mathbb{N}$, the arity for each relation symbol
  - Assumes $= \in R$ and $ar(=) = 2$

Terms over Signature

Terms t are expressions built over a signature (V, F, af, R, ar)

$$\begin{array}{lll} t ::= & v & v \in V \\ \mid & f(t_1, \ldots, t_n) & f \in F \text{ and } n = af(f) \end{array}$$

- **Example**: $add(1, abs(x))$ where $add, abs, 1 \in F$; $x \in V$
- For constant c write c instead of $c( )$
- Will write $s + t$ instead of $+(s, t)$
  - Similarly for other common infixes (*e.g.* $+, -, *, \ldots$)

Structures

Meaning of terms starts with a structure:

$$\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$$

where

- $\mathcal{G} = (V, F, af, R, ar)$ a signature,
- $\mathcal{D}$ and *domain* on interpretation
- $\mathcal{F}$ set of functions over $\mathcal{D}$; $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{D}^n \to \mathcal{D}$
  - **Note:** $\mathcal{F}$ can contain elements of $\mathcal{D}$ since $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{D}^0 \to \mathcal{D})$
- $\phi : F \to \mathcal{F}$ where if $\phi(f) \in (\mathcal{D}^n \to \mathcal{D})$ then $n = af(f)$
- $\mathcal{R}$ set of relations over $\mathcal{D}$; $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}^n)$
- $\rho : R \to \mathcal{R}$ where if $\rho(r) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^n$ then $n = ar(r)$

Assignments

V set of variables, $\mathcal{D}$ domain of interpretation
An assignment is a function $a : V \to \mathcal{D}$
Example:

$$V = \{w, x, y, z\}$$

$$a = \{w \mapsto 3.14, x \mapsto -2.75, y \mapsto 13.9, z \mapsto -25.3\}$$

- Assignment is a fixed association of values to variables; not "update-able"

Interpretation of Terms

Fix structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ where $\mathcal{G} = (V, F, af, R, ar)$

For given assignment $a : V \to \mathcal{D}$, the interpretation $\mathcal{T}_a$ of a term t is defined by structural induction on terms:

- $\mathcal{T}_a(v) = a(v)$ for $v \in V$
- $\mathcal{T}_a(f(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) = \phi(f)(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n))$

Example of Interpretation

- $V = \{w, x, y, z\}$, $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{R}$
- $1, add, abs \in F$, constant 1, and functions (in $\mathcal{F}$) for addition and absolute value respectively
- $a = \{w \mapsto 3.14, x \mapsto -2.75, y \mapsto 13.9, z \mapsto -25.3\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_a(add(1, abs(x))) &= (\mathcal{T}_a(1)) + (\mathcal{T}_a(abs(x))) \\
&= 1.0 + (\mathcal{T}_a(abs(x))) \\
&= 1.0 + |\mathcal{T}_a(x)| \\
&= 1.0 + |a(x)| \\
&= 1.0 + |-2.75| \\
&= 1.0 + 2.75 \\
&= 3.75
\end{aligned}$$

First-Order Formulae

First-order formulae built from terms using relations, logical connectives, quantifiers:

$$\begin{aligned}
form ::= & \text{ true} \mid \text{false} \\
& \mid r(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \qquad r \in R,\ t_i \text{ terms},\ n = ar(r) \\
& \mid (form) \mid \neg form \\
& \mid form \wedge form \\
& \mid form \vee form \\
& \mid form \Rightarrow form \\
& \mid \forall v.form \\
& \mid \exists v.form
\end{aligned}$$

Note: Scope of quantifiers as far to right as possible

$\forall x.(x > y) \wedge (2 > x)$ same as $\forall x.((x > y) \wedge (2 > x))$
not same as $(\forall x.(x > y)) \wedge (2 > x)$

Subformulae

- A subformula of formula ψ is a formula that occurs in ψ
  - More rigorous definition by structural induction on formulae
  - ψ subformula of ψ
  - Use proper subformula to exclude ψ
- Write $\bigwedge_{i=1,\ldots,n} \psi_i$ for $\psi_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \psi_n$
  - ψ_i called a conjunct
- Write $\bigvee_{i=1,\ldots,n} \psi_i$ for $\psi_1 \vee \ldots \vee \psi_n$
  - ψ_i called a disjunct

Interpretation of Formulae

Fix structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ where $\mathcal{G} = (V, F, af, R, ar)$

For given assignment $a : V \to \mathcal{D}$, the interpretation $\mathcal{M}_a$ of a formula ψ assigning a value in $\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$ is defined by structural induction on formulae:

Interpretation of Formulae

Fix structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ where $\mathcal{G} = (V, F, af, R, ar)$

For given assignment $a : V \to \mathcal{D}$, the interpretation $\mathcal{M}_a$ of a formula ψ assigning a value in $\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$ is defined by structural induction on formulae:

- $\mathcal{M}_a(\text{true}) = \mathbf{T}$ $\qquad$ $\mathcal{M}_a(\text{false}) = \mathbf{F}$

Interpretation of Formulae

Fix structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ where $\mathcal{G} = (V, F, af, R, ar)$

For given assignment $a : V \to \mathcal{D}$, the interpretation $\mathcal{M}_a$ of a formula ψ assigning a value in $\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$ is defined by structural induction on formulae:

- $\mathcal{M}_a(\text{true}) = \mathbf{T}$ $\quad$ $\mathcal{M}_a(\text{false}) = \mathbf{F}$
- $\mathcal{M}_a(r(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) = \mathbf{T}$ if $(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n)) \in \rho(r)$ and $\mathcal{M}_a(r(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) = \mathbf{F}$ if $(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n)) \notin \rho(r)$

Interpretation of Formulae

Fix structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ where $\mathcal{G} = (V, F, af, R, ar)$

For given assignment $a : V \to \mathcal{D}$, the interpretation $\mathcal{M}_a$ of a formula ψ assigning a value in $\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$ is defined by structural induction on formulae:

- $\mathcal{M}_a(\text{true}) = \mathbf{T}$ $\quad$ $\mathcal{M}_a(\text{false}) = \mathbf{F}$
- $\mathcal{M}_a(r(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) = \mathbf{T}$ if $(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n)) \in \rho(r)$ and $\mathcal{M}_a(r(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) = \mathbf{F}$ if $(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n)) \notin \rho(r)$
- $\mathcal{M}_a((\psi)) = \mathcal{M}_a(\psi)$

Interpretation of Formulae

Fix structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ where $\mathcal{G} = (V, F, af, R, ar)$

For given assignment $a : V \to \mathcal{D}$, the interpretation $\mathcal{M}_a$ of a formula ψ assigning a value in $\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$ is defined by structural induction on formulae:

- $\mathcal{M}_a(\text{true}) = \mathbf{T}$ $\quad$ $\mathcal{M}_a(\text{false}) = \mathbf{F}$
- $\mathcal{M}_a(r(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) = \mathbf{T}$ if $(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n)) \in \rho(r)$ and $\mathcal{M}_a(r(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) = \mathbf{F}$ if $(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n)) \notin \rho(r)$
- $\mathcal{M}_a((\psi)) = \mathcal{M}_a(\psi)$
- $\mathcal{M}_a(\neg\psi) = \mathbf{T}$ if $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi) = \mathbf{F}$ and $\mathcal{M}_a(\neg\psi) = \mathbf{F}$ if $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi) = \mathbf{T}$

Interpretation of Formulae

Fix structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ where $\mathcal{G} = (V, F, af, R, ar)$

For given assignment $a : V \to \mathcal{D}$, the interpretation $\mathcal{M}_a$ of a formula ψ assigning a value in $\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$ is defined by structural induction on formulae:

- $\mathcal{M}_a(\text{true}) = \mathbf{T}$ $\quad$ $\mathcal{M}_a(\text{false}) = \mathbf{F}$
- $\mathcal{M}_a(r(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) = \mathbf{T}$ if $(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n)) \in \rho(r)$ and $\mathcal{M}_a(r(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) = \mathbf{F}$ if $(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n)) \notin \rho(r)$
- $\mathcal{M}_a((\psi)) = \mathcal{M}_a(\psi)$
- $\mathcal{M}_a(\neg\psi) = \mathbf{T}$ if $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi) = \mathbf{F}$ and $\mathcal{M}_a(\neg\psi) = \mathbf{F}$ if $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi) = \mathbf{T}$
- $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2) = \mathbf{T}$ if $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1) = \mathbf{T}$ and $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_2) = \mathbf{T}$, and $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2) = \mathbf{F}$ otherwise

Interpretation of Formulae

Fix structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ where $\mathcal{G} = (V, F, af, R, ar)$

For given assignment $a : V \to \mathcal{D}$, the interpretation $\mathcal{M}_a$ of a formula ψ assigning a value in $\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$ is defined by structural induction on formulae:

- $\mathcal{M}_a(\text{true}) = \mathbf{T}$ $\quad$ $\mathcal{M}_a(\text{false}) = \mathbf{F}$
- $\mathcal{M}_a(r(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) = \mathbf{T}$ if $(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n)) \in \rho(r)$ and $\mathcal{M}_a(r(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) = \mathbf{F}$ if $(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n)) \notin \rho(r)$
- $\mathcal{M}_a((\psi)) = \mathcal{M}_a(\psi)$
- $\mathcal{M}_a(\neg\psi) = \mathbf{T}$ if $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi) = \mathbf{F}$ and $\mathcal{M}_a(\neg\psi) = \mathbf{F}$ if $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi) = \mathbf{T}$
- $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2) = \mathbf{T}$ if $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1) = \mathbf{T}$ and $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_2) = \mathbf{T}$, and $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2) = \mathbf{F}$ otherwise
- $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1 \vee \psi_2) = \mathbf{T}$ if $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1) = \mathbf{T}$ or $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_2) = \mathbf{T}$, and $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1 \vee \psi_2) = \mathbf{F}$ otherwise

Interpretation of Formulae

Fix structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ where $\mathcal{G} = (V, F, af, R, ar)$

For given assignment $a : V \to \mathcal{D}$, the interpretation $\mathcal{M}_a$ of a formula ψ assigning a value in $\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$ is defined by structural induction on formulae:

- $\mathcal{M}_a(\text{true}) = \mathbf{T}$ $\quad$ $\mathcal{M}_a(\text{false}) = \mathbf{F}$
- $\mathcal{M}_a(r(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) = \mathbf{T}$ if $(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n)) \in \rho(r)$ and $\mathcal{M}_a(r(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) = \mathbf{F}$ if $(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n)) \notin \rho(r)$
- $\mathcal{M}_a((\psi)) = \mathcal{M}_a(\psi)$
- $\mathcal{M}_a(\neg\psi) = \mathbf{T}$ if $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi) = \mathbf{F}$ and $\mathcal{M}_a(\neg\psi) = \mathbf{F}$ if $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi) = \mathbf{T}$
- $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2) = \mathbf{T}$ if $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1) = \mathbf{T}$ and $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_2) = \mathbf{T}$, and $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2) = \mathbf{F}$ otherwise
- $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1 \vee \psi_2) = \mathbf{T}$ if $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1) = \mathbf{T}$ or $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_2) = \mathbf{T}$, and $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1 \vee \psi_2) = \mathbf{F}$ otherwise
- $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1 \Rightarrow \psi_2) = \mathbf{T}$ if $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1) = \mathbf{F}$ or $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_2) = \mathbf{T}$, and $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi_1 \Rightarrow \psi_2) = \mathbf{F}$ otherwise

Interpretation of Formulae

Fix structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ where $\mathcal{G} = (V, F, af, R, ar)$

Let

$$a + [v \mapsto d]\ (w) = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } w = v \\ a(w) & \text{if } w \neq v \end{cases}$$

Interpretation of Formulae

Fix structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ where $\mathcal{G} = (V, F, af, R, ar)$

Let

$$(a + [v \mapsto d])(w) = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } w = v \\ a(w) & \text{if } w \neq v \end{cases}$$

Interpretation of Formulae

Fix structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ where $\mathcal{G} = (V, F, af, R, ar)$

Let

$$a + [v \mapsto d]\ (w) = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } w = v \\ a(w) & \text{if } w \neq v \end{cases}$$

- $\mathcal{M}_a(\forall v.\psi) = \mathbf{T}$ if for every $d \in \mathcal{D}$ we have $\mathcal{M}_{a+[v \mapsto d]}(\psi) = \mathbf{T}$, and $\mathcal{M}_a(\forall v.\psi) = \mathbf{F}$ otherwise

Interpretation of Formulae

Fix structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ where $\mathcal{G} = (V, F, af, R, ar)$

Let

$$a + [v \mapsto d]\ (w) = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } w = v \\ a(w) & \text{if } w \neq v \end{cases}$$

- $\mathcal{M}_a(\forall v.\psi) = \mathbf{T}$ if for every $d \in \mathcal{D}$ we have $\mathcal{M}_{a+[v \mapsto d]}(\psi) = \mathbf{T}$, and $\mathcal{M}_a(\forall v.\psi) = \mathbf{F}$ otherwise
- $\mathcal{M}_a(\exists v.\psi) = \mathbf{T}$ if there exists $d \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{a+[v \mapsto d]}(\psi) = \mathbf{T}$, and $\mathcal{M}_a(\forall v.\psi) = \mathbf{F}$ otherwise

Modeling First-order Formulae

Given structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$ where $\mathcal{G} = (V, F, af, R, ar)$

- $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{M})$ model for first-order language over signature $\mathcal{G}$
- Truth of formulae in language over signature $\mathcal{G}$ depends on structure $\mathcal{S}$
- Assignment a models ψ, or a satisfies ψ, or $a \models^{\mathcal{S}} \psi$ if $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi) = \mathbf{T}$
- ψ is valid for $\mathcal{S}$ if $a \models^{\mathcal{S}} \psi$ for some a.
- $\mathcal{S}$ is a model of ψ, written $\models^{\mathcal{S}} \psi$ if every assignment for $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies ψ.
- ψ is valid, or a tautology if ψ valid for every mode. Write $\models \psi$
- ψ_1 logically equivalent to ψ_2 if for all structures $\mathcal{S}$ and assignments a, $a \models^{\mathcal{S}} \psi_1$ iff $a \models^{\mathcal{S}} \psi_2$

Examples

- Assignment $\{x \mapsto 0\}$ satisfies $\exists y.x < y$ valid in interval $[0,\ 1]$; assignment $\{x \mapsto 1\}$ doesn't
- $\forall x.\exists y.x < y$ valid in $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{R}$, but not interval $[0,\ 1]$
- $(\exists x.\forall y.(y \leq x)) \Rightarrow (\forall y.\exists x.(y \leq x))$ tautology
  - Why?

Sample Tautologies

All instances of propositional tautologies

Sample Tautologies

All instances of propositional tautologies

$$\models (\exists x.\forall y.(y \leq x)) \Rightarrow (\forall y.\exists x.(y \leq x))$$

Sample Tautologies

All instances of propositional tautologies

$$\models (\exists x.\forall y.(y \leq x)) \Rightarrow (\forall y.\exists x.(y \leq x))$$

$$\models ((\forall x.\forall y.\psi) \Leftrightarrow (\forall y.\forall x.\psi))$$

Sample Tautologies

All instances of propositional tautologies

$$\models (\exists x.\forall y.(y \leq x)) \Rightarrow (\forall y.\exists x.(y \leq x))$$

$$\models ((\forall x.\forall y.\psi) \Leftrightarrow (\forall y.\forall x.\psi))$$

$$\models ((\forall x.\psi) \Rightarrow (\exists x.\psi))$$

Sample Tautologies

All instances of propositional tautologies

$$\models (\exists x.\forall y.(y \leq x)) \Rightarrow (\forall y.\exists x.(y \leq x))$$

$$\models ((\forall x.\forall y.\psi) \Leftrightarrow (\forall y.\forall x.\psi))$$

$$\models ((\forall x.\psi) \Rightarrow (\exists x.\psi))$$

$$\models (\forall x.\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2) \Leftrightarrow ((\forall x.\psi_1) \wedge (\forall x.\psi_2))$$

Sample Tautologies

All instances of propositional tautologies

$$\models (\exists x.\forall y.(y \leq x)) \Rightarrow (\forall y.\exists x.(y \leq x))$$

$$\models ((\forall x.\forall y.\psi) \Leftrightarrow (\forall y.\forall x.\psi))$$

$$\models ((\forall x.\psi) \Rightarrow (\exists x.\psi))$$

$$\models (\forall x.\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2) \Leftrightarrow ((\forall x.\psi_1) \wedge (\forall x.\psi_2))$$

$$(\exists x.\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2) \Rightarrow ((\exists x.\psi_1) \wedge (\exists x.\psi_2))$$

Free Variables: Terms

Informally: free variables of a expression are variables that have an occurrence in an expression that is not bound. Written $fv(e)$ for expression e
Free variables of terms defined by structural induction over terms; written

- $fv(x) = \{x\}$
- $fv(f(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = \bigcup_{i=1,\ldots,n} fv(t_i)$

Note:

- Free variables of term just variables occurring in term; no bound variables
- No free variables in constants
- **Example**: $fv(add(1, abs(x))) = \{x\}$

Free Variables: Formulae

Defined by structural induction on formulae; uses fv on terms

- $fv(\text{true}) = fv(\text{false}) = \{\ \}$
- $fv(r(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) = \bigcup_{i=1,\ldots,n} fv(t_i)$
- $fv(\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2) = fv(\psi_1 \vee \psi_2) = fv(\psi_1 \Rightarrow \psi_2) = fv(\psi_1 \Leftrightarrow \psi_2) = (fv(\psi_1) \cup fv(\psi_2))$
- $fv(\forall v.\, \psi) = fv(\exists v.\, \psi) = (fv(\psi) \setminus \{v\})$

Variable occurrence at quantifier are binding occurrence
Occurrence that is not free and not binding is a bound occurrence

Example: $fv(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y.\, (\forall z.\, z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y))) = \{x, z\}$

Free Variables, Assignments and Interpretation

Theorem

Assume given structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$, term t over $\mathcal{G}$, and a and b assignments. If for every $x \in fv(t)$ we have $a(x) = b(x)$ then $\mathcal{T}_a(t) = \mathcal{T}_b(a)$.

Theorem

Assume given structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$, formula ψ over $\mathcal{G}$, and a and b assignments. If for every $x \in fv(\psi)$ we have $a(x) = b(x)$ then $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi) = \mathcal{M}_b(\psi)$.

Syntactic Substitution versus Assignment Update

- When interpreting universal quantification ($\forall x.\, \psi$), wanted to check interpretation of every instance of ψ where v was replaced by element of semantic domain $\mathcal{D}$
- How: semantically - interpret ψ with assignment updated by $v \mapsto d$ for every $d \in \mathcal{D}$
- Syntactically?
- Answer: substitution

Substitution in Terms

- Substitution of term t for variable x in term s (written $s[t/x]$) gotten by replacing every instance of x in s by t
  - x called redex; t called residue
- Yields *instance* of s

Formally defined by structural induction on terms:

- $x[t/x] = t$
- $y[t/x] = y$ for variable y where $y \neq x$
- $f(t_1, \ldots, t_n)[t/x] = f(t_1[t/x], \ldots, t_n[t/x])$

Example: $(add(1, abs(x)))[add(x, y)/x] = add(1, abs(add(x, y)))$

Substitution in Formulae: Problems

- Want to define by structural induction, similar to terms
- Quantifiers must be handled with care
  - Substitution only replaces free occurrences of variable
    Example:

    $$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y.\, (\forall z.\, z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y)))[x + 2/z] =$$
    $$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y.\, (\forall z.\, z \geq (y - x)) \vee (x + 2 \geq y)))$$

  - Need to avoid *free variable capture*
    Example Problem:

    $$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y.\, (\forall z.\, z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y)))[x + y/z] \neq$$
    $$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y.\, (\forall z.\, z \geq (y - x)) \vee (x + y \geq y)))$$

Theorem

Assume given structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$*, variable* x*, terms* s *and* t *over* $\mathcal{G}$*, and* a *assignment. Let* $b = a[x \mapsto \mathcal{T}_a(t)]$*. Then* $\mathcal{T}_a(s[t/x]) = \mathcal{T}_b(s)$*.*

Substitution in Formulae: Two Approaches

- When quantifier would capture free variable of redex, can't substitute in formula as is
- Solution 1: Make substitution partial function – undefined in this case
- Solution 2: Define equivalence relation based on renaming bound variables; define substitution on equivalence classes
- Will take Solution 1 here
- Still need definition of equivalence up to renaming bound variables

Substitution in Formulae

- Defined by structural induction; uses substitution in terms
- Read equations below as saying left is not defined if any expression on right not defined
- $\text{true}[t/x] = \text{true}$ $\quad$ $\text{false}[t/x] = \text{false}$
- $r(t_1, \ldots, t_n)[t/x] = r((t_1[t/x], \ldots, t_n[t/x]))$
- $(\psi)[t/x] = (\psi[t/x])$ $\quad$ $(\neg\psi)[t/x] = \neg(\psi[t/x])$
- $(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)[t/x] = (\psi_1[t/x]) \otimes (\psi_2[t/x])$ for $\otimes \in \{\wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow\}$
- $(\mathcal{Q}\, x.\, \psi)[t/x] = \mathcal{Q}\, x.\, \psi$ for $\mathcal{Q} \in \{\forall, \exists\}$
- $(\mathcal{Q}\, y.\, \psi)[t/x] = \mathcal{Q}\, y.\, (\psi[t/x])$ if $x \neq y$ and $y \notin fv(t)$ for $\mathcal{Q} \in \{\forall, \exists\}$
- $(\mathcal{Q}\, y.\, \psi)[t/x]$ not defined if $x \neq y$ and $y \in fv(t)$ for $\mathcal{Q} \in \{\forall, \exists\}$

Substitution in Formulae

Examples

$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y.\, (\forall z.\, z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y)))[x + y/z]$ not defined

$$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists w.\, (\forall z.\, z \geq (w - x)) \vee (z \geq w)))[x + y/z] =$$
$$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists w.\, (\forall z.\, z \geq (w - x)) \vee ((x + y) \geq y)))$$

Theorem

Assume given structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$*, formula* ψ *over* $\mathcal{G}$*, and* a *assignment. If* $\psi[t/x]$ *defined, then* $a \models^{\mathcal{S}} \psi[t/x]$ *if and only if* $a[x \mapsto \mathcal{T}_a(t)] \models^{\mathcal{S}} \psi$

Renaming by Swapping: Terms

Define the swapping of two variables in a term $t[x \leftrightarrow y]$ by structural induction on terms:

- $x[x \leftrightarrow y] = y$ and $y[x \leftrightarrow y] = x$
- $z[x \leftrightarrow y] = z$ for z a variable, $z \neq x$, $z \neq y$
- $f(t_1, \ldots, t_n)[x \leftrightarrow y] = f(t_1[x \leftrightarrow y], \ldots, t_n[x \leftrightarrow y])$

Examples:

$$add(1, abs(add(x, y)))[x \leftrightarrow y] = add(1, abs(add(y, x)))$$
$$add(1, abs(add(x, y)))[x \leftrightarrow z] = add(1, abs(add(z, y)))$$

Renaming by Swapping: Terms

Theorem

Assume given structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$*, variables* x *and* y*, term* t *over* $\mathcal{G}$*, and* a *assignment. Let* $b = a[x \mapsto a(y)][y \mapsto a(x)]$*. Then* $\mathcal{T}_a(t[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t)$

Renaming by Swapping: Terms

Proof.

By structural induction on terms, suffices to show theorem for the case where t variable, and case $t = f(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$, assuming result for $t_1, \ldots, t_n$

- Case: t variable
  - Subcase: $t = x$. Then $\mathcal{T}_a(x[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_a(y) = a(y)$ and $\mathcal{T}_b(x) = b(x) = a[x \mapsto a(y)][y \mapsto a(x)](x) = a[x \mapsto \mathcal{T}_a(y)](x) = a(y)$ so $\mathcal{T}_a(t[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t)$
  - Subcase: $t = y$. Then $\mathcal{T}_a(y[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_a(x) = a(x)$ and $\mathcal{T}_b(y) = b(y) = a[x \mapsto a(y)][y \mapsto a(x)](x) = a(x)$ so $\mathcal{T}_a(t[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t)$
  - Subcase: $t = z$ variable, $z \neq x$ and $z \neq y$. Then $\mathcal{T}_a(z[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_a(z) = a(z)$ and $\mathcal{T}_b(z) = b(z) = a[x \mapsto a(y)][y \mapsto a(x)](z) = a[x \mapsto \mathcal{T}_a(y)](z) = a(z)$ so $\mathcal{T}_a(t[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t)$

Renaming by Swapping: Terms

Proof.

- Case: $t = f(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$. Assume $\mathcal{T}_a(t_i[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_a(t[x \leftrightarrow y]) &= \mathcal{T}_a(f(t_1, \ldots, t_n)[x \leftrightarrow y]) \\
&= \mathcal{T}_a(f(t_1[x \leftrightarrow y], \ldots, t_n[x \leftrightarrow y])) \\
&= \phi(f)(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1[x \leftrightarrow y]), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n[x \leftrightarrow y])) \\
&= \phi(f)(\mathcal{T}_b(t_1), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_b(t_n)) \\
&\quad \text{since } \mathcal{T}_a(t_i[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n \\
&= \mathcal{T}_b(f(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) \\
&= \mathcal{T}_b(t) \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Renaming by Swapping: Formulae

Define the swapping of two variables in a formula $\psi[x \leftrightarrow y]$ by structural induction, using swapping on terms:

- $\text{true}[x \leftrightarrow y] = \text{true}$ $\quad$ $\text{false}[x \leftrightarrow y] = \text{false}$
- $r(t_1, \ldots, t_n)[x \leftrightarrow y] = r((t_1[x \leftrightarrow y], \ldots, t_n[x \leftrightarrow y]))$
- $(\psi)[x \leftrightarrow y] = (\psi[x \leftrightarrow y])$ $\quad$ $(\neg\psi)[x \leftrightarrow y] = \neg(\psi[x \leftrightarrow y])$
- $(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)[x \leftrightarrow y] = (\psi_1[x \leftrightarrow y]) \otimes (\psi_2[x \leftrightarrow y])$ for $\otimes \in \{\wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow\}$
- $(\mathcal{Q}\, x.\, \psi)[x \leftrightarrow y] = \mathcal{Q}\, y.\, (\psi[x \leftrightarrow y])$ for $\mathcal{Q} \in \{\forall, \exists\}$
- $(\mathcal{Q}\, y.\, \psi)[x \leftrightarrow y] = \mathcal{Q}\, y.\, (\psi[x \leftrightarrow y])$ for $\mathcal{Q} \in \{\forall, \exists\}$
- $(\mathcal{Q}\, z.\, \psi)[x \leftrightarrow y] = \mathcal{Q}\, z.\, (\psi[x \leftrightarrow y])$ for z a variable with $z \neq x$, $z \neq y$, and $\mathcal{Q} \in \{\forall, \exists\}$

Renaming by Swapping: Formulae

Examples

$$\begin{aligned}
&(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y.\, (\forall z.\, z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y)))[x \leftrightarrow y] \\
&= (y > 3 \wedge (\exists x.\, (\forall z.\, z \geq (x - y)) \vee (z \geq x)))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y.\, (\forall z.\, z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y)))[y \leftrightarrow z] \\
&(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y.\, (\forall z.\, z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y)))[y \leftrightarrow w]
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem

Assume given structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$, variables x and y, formula ψ over $\mathcal{G}$, and a assignment. If $x \notin fv(t)$ and $y \notin fv(t)$ then $\psi[x \leftrightarrow y] \equiv \psi$

α-equivalence

- $\psi \overset{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi$
- If $\psi_1 \overset{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi_2$ then $\psi_2 \overset{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi$.
- It $\psi_1 \overset{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi_2$ and $\psi_2 \overset{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi_3$ then $\psi_1 \overset{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi_3$
- If $x \notin fv(\psi)$ and $y \notin fv(\psi)$ then $\psi \overset{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi[x \leftrightarrow y]$.
- If $\psi_i \overset{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi_i'$ for $i = 1, 2$ then
  - $(\psi_1) \overset{\alpha}{\equiv} (\psi_1')$ $\quad$ $\neg\psi_1 \overset{\alpha}{\equiv} \neg\psi_1'$
  - $\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \overset{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi_1' \otimes \psi_2'$ for $\otimes \in \{\wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow\}$
  - $\mathcal{Q}\, z.\, \psi_1 \overset{\alpha}{\equiv} \mathcal{Q}\, z.\, \psi_1'$ for $\mathcal{Q} \in \{\forall, \exists\}$

α-equivalence: Example

$$\begin{aligned}
&(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y.\, (\forall z.\, z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y))) \\
&\overset{\alpha}{\equiv} (x > 3 \wedge (\exists w.\, (\forall z.\, z \geq (w - x)) \vee (z \geq w)))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y.\, (\forall z.\, z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y))) \\
&\overset{\alpha}{\equiv} (x > 3 \wedge (\exists w.\, (\forall y.\, y \geq (w - x)) \vee (z \geq w)))
\end{aligned}$$

Proof Rules

Will give Sequent version of Natural Deduction rules
All rules from Propositional Logic included

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi'[t/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x.\psi}\ \text{Ex I}$$
provided $\psi \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi'$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \exists x.\psi \quad \Gamma \cup \{(\psi[y/x])\} \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi}\ \text{Ex E}$$
provided
$y \notin fv(\varphi) \cup (fv(\psi) \setminus \{x\}) \cup \bigcup_{\psi' \in \Gamma} fv(\psi')$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi[y/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x.\psi}\ \text{All I}$$
provided
$y \notin (fv(\psi) \setminus \{x\}) \cup \bigcup_{\psi' \in \Gamma} fv(\psi')$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \forall x.\psi \quad \Gamma \cup \{\psi'[t/x]\} \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi}\ \text{All E}$$
provided $\psi \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi'$

Example

Show

$$\frac{}{\{\,\} \vdash (\exists x.\forall y.x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x.\exists y.y \leq x)}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\dfrac{}{\{(\exists x.\forall y.x \leq y)\} \vdash \forall x.\exists y.y \leq x}}{\{\,\} \vdash (\exists x.\forall y.x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x.\exists y.y \leq x)}\ \text{Imp I}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\{(\exists x.\forall y.x \leq y)\} \vdash \exists y.y \leq x}}{\{(\exists x.\forall y.x \leq y)\} \vdash \forall x.\exists y.y \leq x}\ \text{All I}}{\{\,\} \vdash (\exists x.\forall y.x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x.\exists y.y \leq x)}\ \text{Imp I}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\{\exists x.\forall y.x \leq y\} \vdash \exists x.\forall y.x \leq y} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{c}\exists x.\forall y.x \leq y;\\ \forall y.z \leq y\end{array}\right\} \vdash \exists y.y \leq x}{\{(\exists x.\forall y.x \leq y)\} \vdash \exists y.y \leq x}\ \text{Ex E}}{\{(\exists x.\forall y.x \leq y)\} \vdash \forall x.\exists y.y \leq x}\ \text{All I}}{\{\,\} \vdash (\exists x.\forall y.x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x.\exists y.y \leq x)}\ \text{Imp I}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\{\exists x.\forall y.x \leq y\} \vdash \exists x.\forall y.x \leq y}\ \text{Hyp} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{c}\exists x.\forall y.x \leq y;\\ \forall y.z \leq y\end{array}\right\} \vdash \exists y.y \leq x}{\{(\exists x.\forall y.x \leq y)\} \vdash \exists y.y \leq x}\ \text{Ex E}}{\{(\exists x.\forall y.x \leq y)\} \vdash \forall x.\exists y.y \leq x}\ \text{All I}}{\{\,\} \vdash (\exists x.\forall y.x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x.\exists y.y \leq x)}\ \text{Imp I}$$

Example

Show

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y;\\ \forall y.\,z \le y\end{array}\right\} \vdash \forall y.\,z \le y} \quad \dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y;\\ \forall y.\,z \le y;\ z \le x\end{array}\right\} \vdash \exists y.\,y \le x}}{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\{\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y\} \vdash \exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y} \quad \dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y;\\ \forall y.\,z \le y\end{array}\right\} \vdash \exists y.\,y \le x}}{\{(\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y)\} \vdash \exists y.\,y \le x}}{\{(\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y)\} \vdash \forall x.\,\exists y.\,y \le x}}{\{\,\} \vdash (\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y) \Rightarrow (\forall x.\,\exists y.\,y \le x)}$$

All E, Hyp, Ex E, All I, Imp I

Example

Show

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y;\\ \forall y.\,z \le y\end{array}\right\} \vdash \forall y.\,z \le y} \quad \dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y;\\ \forall y.\,z \le y;\ z \le x\end{array}\right\} \vdash \exists y.\,y \le x}}{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\{\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y\} \vdash \exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y} \quad \dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y;\\ \forall y.\,z \le y\end{array}\right\} \vdash \exists y.\,y \le x}}{\{(\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y)\} \vdash \exists y.\,y \le x}}{\{(\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y)\} \vdash \forall x.\,\exists y.\,y \le x}}{\{\,\} \vdash (\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y) \Rightarrow (\forall x.\,\exists y.\,y \le x)}$$

All E, Hyp, Ex E, All I, Imp I

Elsa L Gunter CS477 Formal Software Dev Methods February 19, 2020 35 / 1

Example

Show

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y;\\ \forall y.\,z \le y\end{array}\right\} \vdash \forall y.\,z \le y} \quad \dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y;\\ \forall y.\,z \le y;\ z \le x\end{array}\right\} \vdash z \le x}}{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\{\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y\} \vdash \exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y} \quad \dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y;\\ \forall y.\,z \le y\end{array}\right\} \vdash \exists y.\,y \le x}}{\{(\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y)\} \vdash \exists y.\,y \le x}}{\{(\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y)\} \vdash \forall x.\,\exists y.\,y \le x}}{\{\,\} \vdash (\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y) \Rightarrow (\forall x.\,\exists y.\,y \le x)}$$

Hyp, Ex I, All E, Hyp, Ex E, All I, Imp I

Elsa L Gunter CS477 Formal Software Dev Methods February 19, 2020 35 / 1

Example

Show

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y;\\ \forall y.\,z \le y\end{array}\right\} \vdash \forall y.\,z \le y} \quad \dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y;\\ \forall y.\,z \le y;\ z \le x\end{array}\right\} \vdash z \le x}}{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\{\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y\} \vdash \exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y} \quad \dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y;\\ \forall y.\,z \le y\end{array}\right\} \vdash \exists y.\,y \le x}}{\{(\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y)\} \vdash \exists y.\,y \le x}}{\{(\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y)\} \vdash \forall x.\,\exists y.\,y \le x}}{\{\,\} \vdash (\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y) \Rightarrow (\forall x.\,\exists y.\,y \le x)}$$

Hyp, Ex I, All E, Hyp, Ex E, All I, Imp I

Elsa L Gunter CS477 Formal Software Dev Methods February 19, 2020 35 / 1

Example of Failure

Let's try to show 1

$$\dfrac{}{\{\,\} \vdash (\forall x.\,\exists y.\,y \le x) \Rightarrow (\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y)}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show 2

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\{\forall x.\,\exists y.\,y \le x\} \vdash \exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y}}{\{\,\} \vdash (\forall x.\,\exists y.\,y \le x) \Rightarrow (\exists x.\,\forall y.\,x \le y)}$$

Imp I

Example of Failure

Let's try to show 3

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash \forall y.\, z \leq y}}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash \exists x.\, \forall y.\, x \leq y}\,\text{Ex I}}{\{\,\} \vdash (\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x.\, \forall y.\, x \leq y)}\,\text{Imp I}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show 4

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash z \leq x}}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash \forall y.\, z \leq y}\,\text{All I}}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash \exists x.\, \forall y.\, x \leq y}\,\text{Ex I}}{\{\,\} \vdash (\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x.\, \forall y.\, x \leq y)}\,\text{Imp I}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show 5

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash \forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x} \quad \dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x;\\ \exists y.\, y \leq x\end{array}\right\} \vdash z \leq x}}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash z \leq x}\,\text{All E}}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash \forall y.\, z \leq y}\,\text{All I}}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash \exists x.\, \forall y.\, x \leq y}\,\text{Ex I}}{\{\,\} \vdash (\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x.\, \forall y.\, x \leq y)}\,\text{Imp I}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show 6

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash \forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x}\,\text{Hyp} \quad \dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x;\\ \exists y.\, y \leq x\end{array}\right\} \vdash z \leq x}}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash z \leq x}\,\text{All E}}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash \forall y.\, z \leq y}\,\text{All I}}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash \exists x.\, \forall y.\, x \leq y}\,\text{Ex I}}{\{\,\} \vdash (\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x.\, \forall y.\, x \leq y)}\,\text{Imp I}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show 7

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash \forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x}\,\text{Hyp} \quad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\textit{Something}} \quad \dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x;\\ \exists y.\, y \leq x;\ z \leq x\end{array}\right\} \vdash z \leq x}}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x;\\ \exists y.\, y \leq x\end{array}\right\} \vdash z \leq x}\,\text{Ex E}}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash z \leq x}\,\text{All E}}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash \forall y.\, z \leq y}\,\text{All I}}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash \exists x.\, \forall y.\, x \leq y}\,\text{Ex I}}{\{\,\} \vdash (\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x.\, \forall y.\, x \leq y)}\,\text{Imp I}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show 8

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash \forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x}\,\text{Hyp} \quad \dfrac{\dfrac{}{\textit{Something}} \quad \dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x;\\ \exists y.\, y \leq x;\ z \leq x\end{array}\right\} \vdash z \leq x}}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x;\\ \exists y.\, y \leq x\end{array}\right\} \vdash z \leq x}\,\text{Ex E}}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash z \leq x}\,\text{All E}}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash \forall y.\, z \leq y}\,\text{All I}}{\{\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x\} \vdash \exists x.\, \forall y.\, x \leq y}\,\text{Ex I}}{\{\,\} \vdash (\forall x.\, \exists y.\, y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x.\, \forall y.\, x \leq y)}\,\text{Imp I}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show 9

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\text{Something}}\ \text{Hyp} \qquad \dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\forall x.\ \exists y.\ y \le x;\\ \exists y.\ y \le x;\ z \le x\end{array}\right\} \vdash z \le x}\ \text{Ex E}}{\{\forall x.\ \exists y.\ y \le x\} \vdash \forall x.\ \exists y.\ y \le x}\ \text{Hyp} \qquad \dfrac{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\forall x.\ \exists y.\ y \le x;\\ \exists y.\ y \le x\end{array}\right\} \vdash z \le x}{\{\forall x.\ \exists y.\ y \le x\} \vdash z \le x}\ \text{All E}}{\{\forall x.\ \exists y.\ y \le x\} \vdash \forall y.\ z \le y}\ \text{All I}}{\{\forall x.\ \exists y.\ y \le x\} \vdash \exists x.\ \forall y.\ x \le y}\ \text{Ex I}}{\{\ \} \vdash (\forall x.\ \exists y.\ y \le x) \Rightarrow (\exists x.\ \forall y.\ x \le y)}\ \text{Imp I}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show 10

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{}{\text{Something}}\ \text{Hyp} \qquad \dfrac{}{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\forall x.\ \exists y.\ y \le x;\\ \exists y.\ y \le x;\ z \le x\end{array}\right\} \vdash z \le x}\ \text{Ex E}}{\{\forall x.\ \exists y.\ y \le x\} \vdash \forall x.\ \exists y.\ y \le x}\ \text{Hyp} \qquad \dfrac{\left\{\begin{array}{l}\forall x.\ \exists y.\ y \le x;\\ \exists y.\ y \le x\end{array}\right\} \vdash z \le x}{\{\forall x.\ \exists y.\ y \le x\} \vdash z \le x}\ \text{All E}}{\{\forall x.\ \exists y.\ y \le x\} \vdash \forall y.\ z \le y}\ \text{All I}}{\{\forall x.\ \exists y.\ y \le x\} \vdash \exists x.\ \forall y.\ x \le y}\ \text{Ex I}}{\{\ \} \vdash (\forall x.\ \exists y.\ y \le x) \Rightarrow (\exists x.\ \forall y.\ x \le y)}\ \text{Imp I}$$

Floyd-Hoare Logic

- Also called Axiomatic Semantics
- Based on formal logic (first order predicate calculus)
- Logical system built from axioms and inference rules
- Mainly suited to simple imperative programming languages
- Ideas applicable quite broadly

Floyd-Hoare Logic

- Used to formally prove a property (post-condition) of the state (the values of the program variables) after the execution of program, assuming another property (pre-condition) of the state holds before execution

Floyd-Hoare Logic

- Goal: Derive statements of form

$$\{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}$$

  - P, Q logical statements about state, P precondition, Q postcondition, C program
- Example:

$$\{x = 1\}\ x := x + 1\ \{x = 2\}$$

Floyd-Hoare Logic

- **Approach:** For each type of language statement, give an axiom or inference rule stating how to derive assertions of form

$$\{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}$$

  where C is a statement of that type
- Compose axioms and inference rules to build proofs for complex programs

Partial vs Total Correctness

- An expression $\{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}$ is a partial correctness statement
- For total correctness must also prove that C terminates (i.e. doesnt run forever)
  - Written: $[P]\ C\ [Q]$
- Will only consider partial correctness here

Simple Imperative Language

- We will give rules for simple imperative language

$\langle command \rangle ::= \langle variable \rangle := \langle term \rangle$
$\mid \langle command \rangle ; \ldots ; \langle command \rangle$
$\mid$ *if* $\langle statement \rangle$ *then* $\langle command \rangle$ *else* $\langle command \rangle$
$\mid$ *while* $\langle statement \rangle$ *do* $\langle command \rangle$

- Could add more features, like for-loops

Substitution

- Notation: $P[e/v]$ (sometimes $P[v \to e]$)
- Meaning: Replace every v in P by e
- Example:

$$(x+2)[y-1/x] = ((y-1)+2)$$

The Assingment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P[e/x]\}\ x\ :=\ e\ \{P\}}$$

Example:

$$\frac{}{\{\quad ?\quad \}\ x\ :=\ y\ \{\, x\ = 2\,\}}$$

The Assingment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P[e/x]\}\ x\ :=\ e\ \{P\}}$$

Example:

$$\frac{}{\{\,\square = 2\}\ x\ :=\ y\ \{\boxed{x} = 2\,\}}$$

The Assingment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P[e/x]\}\ x\ :=\ e\ \{P\}}$$

Example:

$$\frac{}{\{\,\boxed{x} = 2\}\ x\ :=\ y\ \{\boxed{x} = 2\,\}}$$

The Assingment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P[e/x]\}\ x\ :=\ e\ \{P\}}$$

Examples:

$$\frac{}{\{y = 2\}\ x\ :=\ y\ \{x = 2\}}$$

$$\frac{}{\{y = 2\}\ x\ :=\ 2\ \{y = x\}}$$

$$\frac{}{\{x + 1 = n + 1\}\ x\ :=\ x + 1\ \{x = n + 1\}}$$

$$\frac{}{\{2 = 2\}\ x\ :=\ 2\ \{x = 2\}}$$

The Assignment Rule – Your Turn

- What is the weakest precondition of

$$x\ :=\ x + y\ \{x + y = wx\}?$$

$$\begin{array}{c}\{\quad ?\quad\}\\ x\ :=\ x + y\\ \{x + y = wx\}\end{array}$$

The Assignment Rule – Your Turn

- What is the weakest precondition of

$$x\ :=\ x + y\ \{x + y = wx\}?$$

$$\begin{array}{c}\{\ (x + y) + y = w(x + y)\ \}\\ x\ :=\ x + y\\ \{x + y = wx\}\end{array}$$

Precondition Strengthening

$$\frac{(P \Rightarrow P')\{P'\}\ C\ \{Q\}}{\{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}}$$

- Meaning: If we can show that P implies P' (*i.e.* $(P \Rightarrow P')$ and we can show that $\{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}$, then we know that $\{P\}\ C\ \{Q\}$
- P is stronger than P' means $P \Rightarrow P'$

Precondition Strengthening

- Examples:

$$\frac{x = 3 \Rightarrow x < 7 \quad \{x < 7\}\ x\ :=\ x + 3\ \{x < 10\}}{\{x = 3\}\ x\ :=\ x + 3\ \{x < 10\}}$$

$$\frac{\mathit{True} \Rightarrow (2 = 2) \quad \{2 = 2\}\ x\ :=\ 2\ \{x = 2\}}{\{\mathit{True}\}\ x\ :=\ 2\ \{x = 2\}}$$

$$\frac{x = n \Rightarrow x + 1 = n + 1 \quad \{x + 1 = n + 1\}\ x\ :=\ x + 1\ \{x = n + 1\}}{\{x = n\}\ x\ :=\ x + 1\ \{x = n + 1\}}$$

Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x = 3\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}$$

Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}\ \textit{YES}$$

$$\frac{\{x = 3\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}$$

Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}\ \textit{YES}$$

$$\frac{\{x = 3\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}\ \textit{NO}$$

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}$$

Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}\ \textit{YES}$$

$$\frac{\{x = 3\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}\ \textit{NO}$$

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\}\ x\ :=\ x * x\ \{x < 25\}}\ \textit{YES}$$