

CS477 Formal Software Dev Methods

Elsa L. Gunter
2112 SC, UIUC
egunter@illinois.edu
<http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs477>

Slides based in part on previous lectures
by Mahesh Vishwanathan, and by Gul Agha

February 21, 2018

Free Variables: Terms

Informally: **free variables** of a expression are variables that have an occurrence in an expression that is not bound. Written $fv(e)$ for expression e

Free variables of terms defined by structural induction over terms; written

- $f_V(x) = \{x\}$
- $f_V(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \bigcup_{i=1, \dots, n} f_V(t_i)$

Note:

- Free variables of term just variables occurring in term; no bound variables
- No free variables in constants
- **Example:** $fv(add(1, abs(x))) = \{x\}$

Free Variables: Formulae

Defined by structural induction on formulae; uses fv on terms

- $\text{fv}(\text{true}) = \text{fv}(\text{false}) = \{\}$
- $\text{fv}(r(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \bigcup_{i=1, \dots, n} \text{fv}(t_i)$
- $\text{fv}(\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2) = \text{fv}(\psi_1 \vee \psi_2) = \text{fv}(\psi_1 \Rightarrow \psi_2) = \text{fv}(\psi_1 \Leftrightarrow \psi_2) = (\text{fv}(\psi_1) \cup \text{fv}(\psi_2))$
- $\text{fv}(\forall v. \psi) = \text{fv}(\exists v. \psi) = (\text{fv}(\psi) \setminus \{v\})$

Variable occurrence at quantifier are **binding occurrence**
Occurrence that is not free and not binding is a **bound occurrence**

Example: $fv(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y. (\forall z. z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y))) = \{x, z\}$

Free Variables, Assignments and Interpretation

Theorem

Assume given structure $S = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$, term t over \mathcal{G} , and a and b assignments. If for every $x \in \text{fv}(t)$ we have $a(x) = b(x)$ then $\mathcal{T}_a(t) = \mathcal{T}_b(a)$.

Theorem

Assume given structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$, formula ψ over \mathcal{G} , and a and b assignments. If for every $x \in \text{fv}(\psi)$ we have $a(x) = b(x)$ then $\mathcal{M}_a(\psi) = \mathcal{M}_b(\psi)$.

Syntactic Substitution versus Assignment Update

- When interpreting universal quantification ($\forall x. \psi$), wanted to check interpretation of every instance of ψ where v was replaced by element of semantic domain \mathcal{D}
- How: semantically - interpret ψ with assignment updated by $v \mapsto d$ for every $d \in \mathcal{D}$
- Syntactically?
- Answer: substitution

Substitution in Terms

- Substitution of term t for variable x in term s (written $s[t/x]$) gotten by replacing every instance of x in s by t
 - x called **redex**; t called **residue**
- Yields *instance* of s

Formally defined by structural induction on terms:

- $x[t/x] = t$
- $y[t/x] = y$ for variable y where $y \neq x$
- $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)[t/x] = f(t_1[t/x], \dots, t_n[t/x])$

Example: $(add(1, abs(x)))[add(x, y)/x] = add(1, abs(add(x, y)))$

Substitution in Formulae: Problems

- Want to define by structural induction, similar to terms
- Quantifiers must be handled with care
 - Substitution only replaces **free** occurrences of variable

Example:

$$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y. (\forall z. z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y))) [x + 2/z] = (x > 3 \wedge (\exists y. (\forall z. z \geq (y - x)) \vee (x + 2 \geq y)))$$

- Need to avoid *free variable capture*

Example Problem:

$$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y. (\forall z. z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y))) [x + y/z] \neq (x > 3 \wedge (\exists y. (\forall z. z \geq (y - x)) \vee (x + y \geq y)))$$

Theorem

Assume given structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$, variable x , terms s and t over \mathcal{G} , and a assignment. Let $b = a[x \mapsto \mathcal{T}_a(t)]$. Then $\mathcal{T}_a[s[t/x]] = \mathcal{T}_b(s)$.

Substitution in Formulae: Two Approaches

- When quantifier would capture free variable of redex, can't substitute in formula as is
- Solution 1: Make substitution partial function – undefined in this case
- Solution 2: Define equivalence relation based on renaming bound variables; define substitution on equivalence classes
- Will take Solution 1 here
- Still need definition of equivalence up to renaming bound variables

Substitution in Formulae

- Defined by structural induction; uses substitution in terms
- Read equations below as saying left is not defined if any expression on right not defined
- $\text{true}[t/x] = \text{true}$ $\text{false}[t/x] = \text{false}$
- $r(t_1, \dots, t_n)[t/x] = r((t_1[t/x], \dots, t_n[t/x]))$
- $(\psi)[t/x] = (\psi[t/x])$ $(\neg\psi)[t/x] = \neg(\psi[t/x])$
- $(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)[t/x] = (\psi_1[t/x]) \otimes (\psi_2[t/x])$ for $\otimes \in \{\wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow\}$
- $(Qx. \psi)[t/x] = Qx. \psi$ for $Q \in \{\forall, \exists\}$
- $(Qy. \psi)[t/x] = Qy. (\psi[t/x])$ if $x \neq y$ and $y \notin \text{fv}(t)$ for $Q \in \{\forall, \exists\}$
- $(Qy. \psi)[t/x]$ not defined if $x \neq y$ and $y \in \text{fv}(t)$ for $Q \in \{\forall, \exists\}$

Substitution in Formulae

Examples

$$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y. (\forall z. z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y))) [x + y/z] \text{ not defined}$$

$$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists w. (\forall z. z \geq (w - x)) \vee (z \geq w))) [x + y/z] = (x > 3 \wedge (\exists w. (\forall z. z \geq (w - x)) \vee ((x + y) \geq w)))$$

Theorem

Assume given structure $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$, formula ψ over \mathcal{G} , and a assignment. If $\psi[t/x]$ defined, then $a \models^{\mathcal{S}} \psi[t/x]$ if and only if $a[x \mapsto \mathcal{T}_a(t)] \models^{\mathcal{S}} \psi$

Renaming by Swapping: Terms

Define the **swapping** of two variables in a term $t[x \leftrightarrow y]$ by structural induction on terms:

- $x[x \leftrightarrow y] = y$ and $y[x \leftrightarrow y] = x$
- $z[x \leftrightarrow y] = z$ for z a variable, $z \neq x$, $z \neq y$
- $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)[x \leftrightarrow y] = f(t_1[x \leftrightarrow y], \dots, t_n[x \leftrightarrow y])$

Examples:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add}(1, \text{abs}(\text{add}(x, y))) [x \leftrightarrow y] &= \text{add}(1, \text{abs}(\text{add}(y, x))) \\ \text{add}(1, \text{abs}(\text{add}(x, y))) [x \leftrightarrow z] &= \text{add}(1, \text{abs}(\text{add}(z, y))) \end{aligned}$$

Renaming by Swapping: Terms

Theorem

Assume given structure $S = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$, variables x and y , term t over \mathcal{G} , and a assignment. Let $b = a[x \mapsto a(y)][y \mapsto a(x)]$. Then $\mathcal{T}_a(t[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t)$

Renaming by Swapping: Terms

Proof.

By structural induction on terms, suffices to show theorem for the case where t variable, and case $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, assuming result for t_1, \dots, t_n

• Case: t variable

- Subcase: $t = x$. Then $\mathcal{T}_a(x[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_a(y) = a(y)$ and $\mathcal{T}_b(x) = b(x) = a[x \mapsto a(y)][y \mapsto a(x)](x) = a[x \mapsto \mathcal{T}_a(y)](x) = a(y)$ so $\mathcal{T}_a(t[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t)$
- Subcase: $t = y$. Then $\mathcal{T}_a(y[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_a(x) = a(x)$ and $\mathcal{T}_b(y) = b(y) = a[x \mapsto a(y)][y \mapsto a(x)](y) = a(x)$ so $\mathcal{T}_a(t[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t)$
- Subcase: $t = z$ variable, $z \neq x$ and $z \neq y$. Then $\mathcal{T}_a(z[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_a(z) = a(z)$ and $\mathcal{T}_b(z) = b(z) = a[x \mapsto a(y)][y \mapsto a(x)](z) = a[x \mapsto \mathcal{T}_a(y)](z) = a(z)$ so $\mathcal{T}_a(t[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t)$

Renaming by Swapping: Terms

Proof.

- Case: $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. Assume $\mathcal{T}_a(t_i[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_a(t[x \leftrightarrow y]) &= \mathcal{T}_a(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)[x \leftrightarrow y]) \\ &= \mathcal{T}_a(f(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1[x \leftrightarrow y]), \dots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n[x \leftrightarrow y]))) \\ &= \phi(f)(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1[x \leftrightarrow y]), \dots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n[x \leftrightarrow y])) \\ &= \phi(f)(\mathcal{T}_b(t_1), \dots, \mathcal{T}_b(t_n)) \\ &\quad \text{since } \mathcal{T}_a(t_i[x \leftrightarrow y]) = \mathcal{T}_b(t_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n \\ &= \mathcal{T}_b(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) \\ &= \mathcal{T}_b(t) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Renaming by Swapping: Formulae

Define the **swapping** of two variables in a formula $\psi[x \leftrightarrow y]$ by structural induction, using swapping on terms:

- $\text{true}[x \leftrightarrow y] = \text{true}$ $\text{false}[x \leftrightarrow y] = \text{false}$
- $r(t_1, \dots, t_n)[x \leftrightarrow y] = r(\mathcal{T}_a(t_1[x \leftrightarrow y]), \dots, \mathcal{T}_a(t_n[x \leftrightarrow y]))$
- $(\psi)[x \leftrightarrow y] = (\psi[x \leftrightarrow y])$ $(\neg\psi)[x \leftrightarrow y] = \neg(\psi[x \leftrightarrow y])$
- $(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2)[x \leftrightarrow y] = (\psi_1[x \leftrightarrow y]) \otimes (\psi_2[x \leftrightarrow y])$ for $\otimes \in \{\wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow\}$
- $(Qx. \psi)[x \leftrightarrow y] = Qy. (\psi[x \leftrightarrow y])$ for $Q \in \{\forall, \exists\}$
- $(Qy. \psi)[x \leftrightarrow y] = Qy. (\psi[x \leftrightarrow y])$ for $Q \in \{\forall, \exists\}$
- $(Qz. \psi)[x \leftrightarrow y] = Qz. (\psi[x \leftrightarrow y])$ for $z \neq x$, $z \neq y$, and $Q \in \{\forall, \exists\}$

Renaming by Swapping: Formulae

Examples

$$\begin{aligned} (x > 3 \wedge (\exists y. (\forall z. z \geq (y - x) \vee (z \geq y))))[x \leftrightarrow y] \\ &= (y > 3 \wedge (\exists x. (\forall z. z \geq (x - y) \vee (z \geq x)))) \\ (x > 3 \wedge (\exists y. (\forall z. z \geq (y - x) \vee (z \geq y))))[y \leftrightarrow z] \\ &= (x > 3 \wedge (\exists y. (\forall z. z \geq (y - x) \vee (z \geq y))))[y \leftrightarrow w] \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

Assume given structure $S = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \phi, \mathcal{R}, \rho)$, variables x and y , formula ψ over \mathcal{G} , and a assignment. If $x \notin \text{fv}(t)$ and $y \notin \text{fv}(t)$ then $\psi[x \leftrightarrow y] \equiv \psi$

α -equivalence

- $\psi \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi$
- If $\psi_1 \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi_2$ then $\psi_2 \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi_1$.
- If $\psi_1 \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi_2$ and $\psi_2 \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi_3$ then $\psi_1 \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi_3$
- If $x \notin \text{fv}(\psi)$ and $y \notin \text{fv}(\psi)$ then $\psi \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi[x \leftrightarrow y]$.
- If $\psi_i \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi'_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ then
 - $(\psi_1) \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} (\psi'_1)$ $\neg\psi_1 \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \neg\psi'_1$
 - $\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} \psi'_1 \otimes \psi'_2$ for $\otimes \in \{\wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow\}$
 - $Qz. \psi_1 \stackrel{\alpha}{\equiv} Qz. \psi'_1$ for $Q \in \{\forall, \exists\}$

α -equivalence: Example

$$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y. (\forall z. z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y))) \\ \equiv (x > 3 \wedge (\exists w. (\forall z. z \geq (w - x)) \vee (z \geq w)))$$

$$(x > 3 \wedge (\exists y. (\forall z. z \geq (y - x)) \vee (z \geq y))) \\ \equiv (x > 3 \wedge (\exists w. (\forall y. y \geq (w - x)) \vee (z \geq w)))$$

Proof Rules

Will give Sequent version of Natural Deduction rules
All rules from Propositional Logic included

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi'[t/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x. \psi} \text{Ex I} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \exists x. \psi \quad \Gamma \cup \{(\psi[y/x])\} \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi} \text{Ex E}$$

provided $\psi \equiv \psi'$ provided $y \notin \text{fv}(\varphi) \cup (\text{fv}(\psi) \setminus \{x\}) \cup \bigcup_{\psi' \in \Gamma} \text{fv}(\psi')$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi[y/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x. \psi} \text{All I} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \forall x. \psi \quad \Gamma \cup \{\psi'[t/x]\} \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi} \text{All E}$$

provided $y \notin (\text{fv}(\psi) \setminus \{x\}) \cup \bigcup_{\psi' \in \Gamma} \text{fv}(\psi')$ provided $\psi \equiv \psi'$

Example

Show

$$\{ \} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\{(\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)\} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x}{\{ \} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)} \text{Imp I}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\{(\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)\} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\{(\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)\} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x} \text{All I}}{\{ \} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)} \text{Imp I}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\{(\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)\} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\{(\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)\} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x} \text{All I}}{\{ \} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)} \text{Imp I} \quad \frac{\frac{\{(\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)\} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\{ \} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)} \text{Imp I}}{\frac{\{(\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)\} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\{ \} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)} \text{Ex E}}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y \} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y} \text{Hyp}}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y \} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y} \text{Ex E}}{\frac{\frac{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y \} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y \} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x} \text{All I}}{\{ \} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)} \text{Imp I}}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y} \text{Hyp}}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y} \text{Ex E}}{\frac{\frac{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x} \text{All I}}{\{ \} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)} \text{Imp I}}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y} \text{Hyp}}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y} \text{Ex E}}{\frac{\frac{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x} \text{All I}}{\{ \} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)} \text{Imp I}}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y} \text{Hyp}}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y} \text{Ex E}}{\frac{\frac{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x} \text{All I}}{\{ \} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)} \text{Imp I}}$$

Example

Show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y} \text{Hyp}}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y} \text{Ex E}}{\frac{\frac{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\{ \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y; \forall y. z \leq y \} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x} \text{All I}}{\{ \} \vdash (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y) \Rightarrow (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x)} \text{Imp I}}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show

$$\{ \} \vdash (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y}}{\{\} \vdash (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)} \text{Imp I}}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y}}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y} \text{Ex I}}{\{\} \vdash (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)} \text{Imp I}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash z \leq x}}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y} \text{All I}}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y} \text{Ex I}}{\{\} \vdash (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)} \text{Imp I}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x} \quad \frac{\overline{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\} \vdash z \leq x}}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash z \leq x} \text{All E}}{\frac{\frac{\overline{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash z \leq x}}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y} \text{All I}}{\frac{\overline{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y}}{\{\} \vdash (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)} \text{Imp I}} \text{Ex I}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x} \text{Hyp} \quad \frac{\overline{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\} \vdash z \leq x}}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash z \leq x} \text{All E}}{\frac{\frac{\overline{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash z \leq x}}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y} \text{All I}}{\frac{\overline{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y}}{\{\} \vdash (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)} \text{Imp I}} \text{Ex I}$$

Example of Failure

Let's try to show

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x} \quad \frac{\overline{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x; \quad z \leq x \end{array} \right\} \vdash z \leq x}}{\frac{\overline{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x} \text{Hyp} \quad \frac{\overline{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \\ \exists y. y \leq x \end{array} \right\} \vdash z \leq x}}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash z \leq x} \text{All E}}{\frac{\frac{\overline{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash z \leq x}}{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \forall y. z \leq y} \text{All I}}{\frac{\overline{\{\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x\} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y}}{\{\} \vdash (\forall x. \exists y. y \leq x) \Rightarrow (\exists x. \forall y. x \leq y)} \text{Imp I}} \text{Ex I}$$

Example of Failure

--	--

Let's try to show

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\{ \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \quad \exists y. y \leq x \}} \vdash \exists y. y \leq x}{\{ \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x \} \vdash \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x} \text{Hyp}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\{ \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \quad \exists y. y \leq x; \quad \textcircled{x} \leq x \}} \vdash z \leq x}{\{ \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x; \quad \exists y. y \leq x \}} \vdash z \leq x}{\{ \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x \} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y} \text{Ex E}}{\{ \forall x. \exists y. y \leq x \} \vdash \exists x. \forall y. x \leq y} \text{All I}} \text{Imp I}$$

Floyd-Hoare Logic

- Also called **Axiomatic Semantics**
- Based on formal logic (first order predicate calculus)
- Logical system built from **axioms** and **inference rules**
- Mainly suited to simple imperative programming languages
- Ideas applicable quite broadly

Floyd-Hoare Logic

- Used to formally prove a property (**post-condition**) of the **state** (the values of the program variables) after the execution of program, assuming another property (**pre-condition**) of the state holds before execution

Floyd-Hoare Logic

- Goal: Derive statements of form

$$\{P\} \subseteq \{Q\}$$

- P , Q logical statements about state, P precondition, Q postcondition, C program

- Example:

$$\{x = 1\} \ x := x + 1 \ \{x = 2\}$$

Floyd-Hoare Logic

- **Approach:** For each type of language statement, give an axiom or inference rule stating how to derive assertions of form

$$\{P\} \subset \{Q\}$$

where C is a statement of that type

- Compose axioms and inference rules to build proofs for complex programs

Partial vs Total Correctness

- An expression $\{P\} C \{Q\}$ is a **partial correctness** statement
- For **total correctness** must also prove that C terminates (i.e. doesn't run forever)
 - Written: $[P] C [Q]$
- Will only consider partial correctness here

Simple Imperative Language

- We will give rules for simple imperative language

$\langle \text{command} \rangle ::= \langle \text{variable} \rangle := \langle \text{term} \rangle$
 $\mid \langle \text{command} \rangle; \dots; \langle \text{command} \rangle$
 $\mid \text{if } \langle \text{statement} \rangle \text{ then } \langle \text{command} \rangle \text{ else } \langle \text{command} \rangle$
 $\mid \text{while } \langle \text{statement} \rangle \text{ do } \langle \text{command} \rangle$

- Could add more features, like for-loops

Substitution

- Notation: $P[e/v]$ (sometimes $P[v \rightarrow e]$)
- Meaning: Replace every v in P by e
- Example:

$$(x + 2)[y - 1/x] = ((y - 1) + 2)$$

The Assingment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P[e/x]\} \ x := e \ \{P\}}$$

Example:

$$\frac{}{\{ \quad ? \quad \} \ x := y \ \{ x = 2 \}}$$

The Assingment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P[e/x]\} \ x := e \ \{P\}}$$

Example:

$$\frac{}{\{ \square = 2 \} \ x := y \ \{ \boxed{x} = 2 \}}$$

The Assingment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P[e/x]\} \ x := e \ \{P\}}$$

Example:

$$\frac{}{\{ \boxed{x} = 2 \} \ x := y \ \{ \boxed{x} = 2 \}}$$

The Assingment Rule

$$\frac{}{\{P[e/x]\} \ x := e \ \{P\}}$$

Examples:

$$\frac{}{\{y = 2\} \ x := y \ \{x = 2\}}$$

$$\frac{}{\{y = 2\} \ x := 2 \ \{y = x\}}$$

$$\frac{}{\{x + 1 = n + 1\} \ x := x + 1 \ \{x = n + 1\}}$$

$$\frac{}{\{2 = 2\} \ x := 2 \ \{x = 2\}}$$

The Assignment Rule – Your Turn

- What is the weakest precondition of

$$x := x + y \{x + y = wx\}?$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} ? \\ x := x + y \\ \{x + y = wx\} \end{array} \right\}$$

The Assignment Rule – Your Turn

- What is the weakest precondition of

$$x := x + y \{x + y = wx\}?$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} (x + y) + y = w(x + y) \\ x := x + y \\ \{x + y = wx\} \end{array} \right\}$$

Precondition Strengthening

$$\frac{(P \Rightarrow P') \{P'\} C \{Q\}}{\{P\} C \{Q\}}$$

- Meaning: If we can show that P implies P' (i.e. $P \Rightarrow P'$) and we can show that $\{P'\} C \{Q\}$, then we know that $\{P\} C \{Q\}$
- P is **stronger** than P' means $P \Rightarrow P'$

Precondition Strengthening

- Examples:

$$\frac{x = 3 \Rightarrow x < 7 \quad \{x < 7\} x := x + 3 \{x < 10\}}{\{x = 3\} x := x + 3 \{x < 10\}}$$

$$\frac{True \Rightarrow (2 = 2) \quad \{2 = 2\} x := 2 \{x = 2\}}{\{True\} x := 2 \{x = 2\}}$$

$$\frac{x = n \Rightarrow x + 1 = n + 1 \quad \{x + 1 = n + 1\} x := x + 1 \{x = n + 1\}}{\{x = n\} x := x + 1 \{x = n + 1\}}$$

Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x = 3\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}} \text{ YES}$$

$$\frac{\{x = 3\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} \ x := x * x \ \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\} \ x := x * x \ \{x < 25\}} \text{ YES}$$

$$\frac{\{x = 3\} \ x := x * x \ \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} \ x := x * x \ \{x < 25\}} \text{ NO}$$

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\} \ x := x * x \ \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} \ x := x * x \ \{x < 25\}}$$

Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} \ x := x * x \ \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\} \ x := x * x \ \{x < 25\}} \text{ YES}$$

$$\frac{\{x = 3\} \ x := x * x \ \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} \ x := x * x \ \{x < 25\}} \text{ NO}$$

$$\frac{\{x * x < 25\} \ x := x * x \ \{x < 25\}}{\{x > 0 \wedge x < 5\} \ x := x * x \ \{x < 25\}} \text{ YES}$$