MP 1 – Basic Isabelle Proofs

CS 477 – Spring 2014 Revision 1.0

Assigned February 12, 2014 **Due** February 19, 2014, 9:00 PM **Extension** 48 hours (penalty 20% of total points possible)

1 Change Log

1.0 Initial Release.

2 Objectives and Background

The purpose of this MP is to test the student's ability to

- start up and interact with Isabelle;
- write proofs of propositional formulae using the basic proof methods.

Another purpose of MPs in general is to provide a framework to study for the exam. Several of the questions on the exam will appear similar to the MP problems. By the time of the exam, your goal is to be able to solve any of the following problems with pen and paper in less than 2 minutes.

3 Turn-In Procedure

The pdf for this assignment (mpl.pdf) should be found in the assignments/mpl/ subdirectory of your svn directory for this course, along with a skeleton version of the file mpl.thy. You should put code answering each of the problems below in the file mpl.thy. Your completed mpl.thy file should be put in the assignments/mpl/ subdirectory of your svn directory (where it was originally found) and committed as follows:

```
svn commit -m "Turning in mp1"
```

Please read the Instructions for Submitting Assignments in

http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs477/mps/index.html

4 Propositional Logic in Isabelle/HOL

Isabelle/HOL supports Natural Deduction proofs for propostional logic with the following introduction and elimination rules:

 $(P \Longrightarrow False) \Longrightarrow \neg P$ notI: $\llbracket \neg P : P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow R$ notE: $\llbracket P; Q \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\cdot} P \wedge Q$ conjI: $\llbracket P \wedge Q; \llbracket P; Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow R \rrbracket \Longrightarrow R$ conjE: $\texttt{disjI1}:\ P \Longrightarrow P \vee Q$ $\texttt{disjI2}:\ Q \Longrightarrow P \vee Q$ $\operatorname{disjE}: \quad \llbracket P \vee Q; P \Longrightarrow R; Q \Longrightarrow R \rrbracket \Longrightarrow R$ $(P \Longrightarrow Q) \Longrightarrow P \longrightarrow Q$ impI: $\llbracket P \longrightarrow Q; P; Q \Longrightarrow R \rrbracket \Longrightarrow R$ impE: $\begin{bmatrix}
 P \Longrightarrow Q; Q \Longrightarrow P \end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow P = Q$ $\begin{bmatrix}
 P = Q; \llbracket P \longrightarrow Q; Q \longrightarrow P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow R \rrbracket \Longrightarrow R
\end{bmatrix}$ iffI: iffE:

I have tried to use the same names for the variables as in Isabelle, but variable names are subject to change from one version to another, so it is best to check the actual names by using thm before relying upon the names.

5 Problems

Note: For each of the foramulae in each of the problems below, give a complete apply style proof. You must remove each occurence of sorry and replace it with a proof. For this assignment, the proofs must by in apply style (as shown in class) and are to use only the restricted proof methods that are the composition of one of rule, rule_tac, erule, or erule_tac with one of the (possibly specialized) rules given above.

Note: You are free to create your own lemmas in Isabelle, and use them in proofs, so long as they have complete apply style proofs satisfying the above restrictions. (You may apply this extension recursively. ©)

1. (3pts)
$$(A \wedge B) \longrightarrow (B \wedge A)$$

2. (4pts)
$$(A \lor B) \longrightarrow (B \lor A)$$

3. (4pts)
$$(A \wedge B) \longrightarrow ((\neg B) \longrightarrow (\neg A))$$

4. (5pts)
$$(A \longrightarrow B) \longrightarrow ((\neg B) \longrightarrow (\neg A))$$

5. (5pts)
$$((A \land B) \longrightarrow C) \longrightarrow (A \longrightarrow (B \longrightarrow C))$$

6.
$$(7pts) ((\neg B) \lor (\neg A)) \longrightarrow (\neg (A \land B))$$

7.
$$(7pts) ((\neg A) \lor (\neg B)) \longrightarrow (\neg (A \land B))$$

6 Extra Credit

The set of rules given above are sound for the standard model of propositional logic, but they are not complete. We require at least one more rule to admit what is caled "classical" reasoning. (Reasoning done with only the rules give above is referred to as "intuitionistic" reasoning.) The one Isabelle rule we will add is the following:

classical:
$$(\neg A \Longrightarrow A) \Longrightarrow A$$

In the problems below, you must follow the same rules as for the problems above except that you are also allowed to use classical.

- 8. (1 pt) $\neg \neg A \longrightarrow A$
- 9. (2 pts) $A \vee \neg A$
- 10. (2 pts) $(\neg A \longrightarrow B) \longrightarrow (\neg B \longrightarrow A)$
- 11. (2 pts) $((A \longrightarrow B) \longrightarrow A) \longrightarrow A$
- 12. (5 pts) $(\neg (A \land B)) = (\neg A \lor \neg B)$
- 13. (3 pts) $(\neg A \Longrightarrow False) \Longrightarrow A$

In this problem you will probably want to use rule_tac. If, for example, you wanted to use rule_tac with impI, you would first use

thm impI

to see the actual variable names. In this case, you would see:

$$(?P \Longrightarrow ?Q) \Longrightarrow ?P \longrightarrow ?Q$$

Then you could use

apply (rule_tac
$$P = "A \land B"$$
 and $Q = "A"$ in impI)

This will cause you to use the instance of the rule impI that says:

$$(A \land B \Longrightarrow A) \Longrightarrow A \land B \longrightarrow A$$