

CS477 Formal Software Development Methods

Elsa L Gunter
2112 SC, UIUC
egunter@illinois.edu

<http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs477>

Slides based in part on previous lectures by Mahesh Vishwanathan, and
by Gul Agha

February 12, 2014

Defining Things

Introducing New Types

- **typedef**: Primitive for type definitions; Only real way of introducing a new type with new properties
 - Must build a model and prove it nonempty
 - Probably won't use in this course
- **typedefcl**: Pure declaration; New type with no properties (except that it is non-empty)
- **type_synonym**: Abbreviation - used only to make theory files more readable
- **datatype**: Defines recursive data-types; solutions to free algebra specifications

Datatypes: An Example

```
datatype 'a list = Nil | Cons 'a "'a list"
```

- Type constructors: `list` of one argument
- Term constructors: `Nil` $::$ 'a list
`Cons` $::$ 'a \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list
- Distinctness: `Nil` \neq `Cons x xs`
- Injectivity:
 $(\text{Cons } x \text{ xs} = \text{Cons } y \text{ ys}) = (x = y \wedge \text{xs} = \text{ys})$

Structural Induction on Lists

- To show P holds of every list
 - show $P \text{ Nil}$, and
 - for arbitrary a and list , show $P \text{ list}$ implies $P (\text{Cons } a \text{ list})$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} P \text{ list} \\ \vdots \\ P \text{ Nil} \quad P (\text{Cons } a \text{ list}) \end{array}}{P \text{ xs}}$$

In Isabelle:

$$[[?P []; \Lambda a \text{ list. } ?P \text{ list} \implies ?P (a\#\text{list})]] \implies ?P \text{ ?list}$$

datatype: The General Case

$$\text{datatype } (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)\tau = \begin{array}{l} C_1 \tau_{1,1} \dots \tau_{1,n_1} \\ | \\ \dots \\ | \\ C_k \tau_{k,1} \dots \tau_{k,n_k} \end{array}$$

- Term Constructors:

$$C_i :: \tau_{i,1} \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \tau_{i,n_i} \Rightarrow (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)\tau$$

- Distinctness: $C_i x_1 \dots x_{i,n_i} \neq C_j y_1 \dots y_{j,n_j}$ if $i \neq j$

- Injectivity: $(C_i x_1 \dots x_{n_i} = C_i y_1 \dots y_{n_i}) = (x_1 = y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n_i} = y_{n_i})$

Distinctness and Injectivity are applied by `simp`

Induction must be applied explicitly

- **Syntax:** `(induct_tac x)`
 - `x` must be a free variable in the first subgoal
 - The type of `x` must be a datatype
- **Effect:** Generates 1 new subgoal per constructor
- Type of `x` determines which induction principle to use

Every `datatype` introduces a `case` construct, e.g.

```
(case xs of [ ]  $\Rightarrow$ ... | y#ys  $\Rightarrow$  ...y ...ys ...)
```

In general: `case` *Arbitrarily nested pattern* \Rightarrow *Expression using pattern variables* | ...

Patterns may be non-exhaustive, or overlapping

Order of clauses matters - early clause takes precedence.

HOL Functions are Total

Why nontermination can be harmful:

- If $f\ x$ is undefined, is $f\ x = f\ x$?
- Excluded Middle says it must be True or False
- Reflexivity says it's True
- How about $f\ x = 0$? $f\ x = 1$? $f\ x = y$?
- If $f\ x \neq y$ then $\forall y. f\ x \neq y$.
- Then $f\ x \neq f\ x \#$

! All functions in HOL must be total !

Function Definition in Isabelle/HOL

- Non-recursive definitions with `definition`
No problem
- Well-founded recursion with `fun`
Proved automatically, but user must take care that recursive calls are on “obviously” smaller arguments
- Well-founded recursion with `function`
User must (help to) prove termination
(\rightsquigarrow later)
- Role your own, via definition of the functions graph
use of choose operator, and other tedious approaches, but can work when built-in methods don't.
- Shouldn't need last two in this class

A Recursive Function: List Append

Declaration:

```
consts app :: "'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list
```

and definition by *recursion*:

```
fun
```

```
app Nil ys = ys
```

```
app (Cons x xs) ys = Cons x (app xs ys)
```

Uses heuristics to find termination order

Guarantees termination (total function) if it succeeds

Demo: Another Datatype Example