## CS 476 Homework #7 Due 10:45am on 3/24

**Note:** Answers to the exercises listed below as well as the Maude code for Exercise 2 should be emailed to abir2@illinois.edu in *typewritten form* (latex formatting preferred) by the deadline mentioned above.

1. Solve Exercise 100 in STACS.

**Note**. Your equality proofs should *only use the axioms of the theory of groups listed in Exercise 100* in *STACS* and no other equations. However, equations already proved using such axioms can also be used as *lemmas* to prove other equations.

2. Consider the following functional theory specifying an alternative axiomatization of the theory of groups, where i denotes the inverse operation  $(\_)^{-1}$ 

```
set include BOOL off .
fth GROUP is
  sort Group .
  op 1 : -> Group .
  op i : Group -> Group .
  op _*_ : Group Group -> Group .
  var x y z : Group .
     (x * y) * z
                     = x * (y * z) .
  eq
     1 * x
     x * 1
     x * i(x)
     i(x) * x
     i(1)
     i(i(x))
                     = x.
                     = i(y) * i(x).
  eq i(x * y)
  eq x * (i(x) * y) = y.
  eq i(x) * (x * y) = y.
endfth
```

Do the following:

- (a) Prove that the module GROUP is locally confluent by using the Church-Rosser Checker tool.
- (b) Use the MTA tool to prove the termination of GROUP. **Hint**. This module has a termination poof by an  $A \vee C$ -RPO order.
- (c) What can you now conclude from (a) and (b) above about provable equality in the theory of groups using this axiomatization of the theory of groups instead of the axiomatization in Exercise 100 in STACS? Specifically, giving any equation u = v in the signature of GROUP, can one decide, i.e., effectively and mechanically give a yes/no answer, to the question of wether u = v can be proved using the equations of the theory of groups, and if so, how? Justify your answer.
- (d) (For 8 points of extra credit, i.e., you could get as much as 18 points instead of the usual 10 for Exercise 2 if you did everything right, including this extra part). Call two equational theories  $(\Sigma, E)$  and  $(\Sigma, E')$  equivalent (denoted  $(\Sigma, E) \equiv (\Sigma, E')$ ) iff: (i)  $\forall (u' = v') \in E'$ ,  $(\Sigma, E) \vdash u' = v'$ , and (ii)  $\forall (u = v) \in E'$

E,  $(\Sigma, E') \vdash u = v$ . Prove in detail that the theory of groups in Exercise 100 in STACS and the confluent and termination axiomatization in GROUP above are equivalent theories in this precise sense. **Important Remark**. Note that Exercise 2 would be nonsense in case the two formulation of the theory of groups you are comparing were not equivalent in the precise sense just defined.