

Program Verification: Lecture 11

José Meseguer

Computer Science Department
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Unsorted Homomorphisms

Given unsorted Σ -algebras $\mathbb{A} = (A, _A)$ and $\mathbb{B} = (B, _B)$, a Σ -**homomorphism** h from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{B} , written $h : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$, is a function $h : A \rightarrow B$ that **preserves the operations** Σ , i.e.,

- for each constant $a : \epsilon \rightarrow s$ in Σ , $h(a_{\mathbb{A}}) = a_{\mathbb{B}}$ (**preservation of constants**)
- for each $f : s \cdot^n \cdot s \rightarrow s$ in Σ , $n \geq 1$, and each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$, we have $h(f_{\mathbb{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = f_{\mathbb{B}}(h(a_1), \dots, h(a_n))$ (**preservation of (non-constant) operations**).

Example of Unsorted Homomorphism

Ex.11.1. The natural numbers \mathbb{N} , and the natural numbers modulo k , \mathbb{N}_k (for any $k \geq 1$) are all $\Sigma_{\text{NAT-MIXFIX}}$ -algebras (Lecture 3, pages 3–4). Prove in detail that (for any $k \geq 1$) we have a $\Sigma_{\text{NAT-PREFIX}}$ -homomorphism:

$$\text{res}_k : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}_k$$

where res_k sends each number to its residue after dividing by k . For example, $\text{res}_7(23) = 2$, and $\text{res}_5(23) = 3$.

Note that $\Sigma_{\text{NAT-MIXFIX}} = \{0, s, +, *\}$. So you have to **prove** the $\Sigma_{\text{NAT-PREFIX}}$ -homomorphism property of res_k for 0 and for the operations $\{s, +, *\}$.

Examples of Unsorted Homomorphisms (II)

Ex.11.2. Recall (Lecture 3, pgs. 6–8) the powerset algebra $\mathbb{P}(A) = (\mathcal{P}(A), __{\mathbb{P}(A)})$ over the Boolean signature Σ_{BOOL} . Let A and B be any sets, and let $f : A \longrightarrow B$ be any function. Prove in detail that the function:

$$f^{-1}[_] : \mathcal{P}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$$

defined for any $B' \subseteq B$ by: $f^{-1}[B'] = \{a \in A \mid f(a) \in B'\}$, is a Σ_{BOOL} -homomorphism $f^{-1}[_] : \mathbb{P}(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(A)$. Consider also a function $g : B \longrightarrow C$. Prove that we have the identity $(f; g)^{-1}[_] = g^{-1}[_]; f^{-1}[_]$, and therefore that $g^{-1}[_]; f^{-1}[_] : \mathcal{P}(C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$ is also a Σ_{BOOL} -homomorphism from $\mathbb{P}(C)$ to $\mathbb{P}(A)$.

Many-Sorted Homomorphisms

Given (many-sorted) Σ -algebras $\mathbb{A} = (A, _A)$ and $\mathbb{B} = (B, _B)$, a Σ -**homomorphism** h from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{B} , written $h : \mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}$, is an S -indexed family of functions $h = \{h_s : A_s \rightarrow B_s\}_{s \in S}$ such that:

- for each constant $a : \epsilon \rightarrow s$, $h_s(a_{\mathbb{A}}^{nil,s}) = a_{\mathbb{B}}^{nil,s}$ (**preservation of constants**)
- for each $f : w \rightarrow s$ with $w = s_1 \dots s_n$, $n \geq 1$, and each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^w$, we have $h_s(f_{\mathbb{A}}^{w,s}(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = f_{\mathbb{B}}^{w,s}(h_{s_1}(a_1), \dots, h_{s_n}(a_n))$ (**preservation of (non-constant) operations**).

Examples of Many-Sorted Homomorphisms

Ex.11.3. Recall the module **NAT-LIST** in Lecture 2, and the two $\Sigma_{\text{NAT-LIST}}$ -algebras, let us call them \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} , defined on pages 4–5 of Lecture 4, namely $\mathbb{A} =$ lists of natural numbers and $\mathbb{B} =$ (finite) sets of natural numbers. Show that there **cannot** be any $\Sigma_{\text{NAT-LIST}}$ -homomorphism $h : \mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}$.

Ex.11.4. For Σ the signature in picture 4.1, consider the first family of algebras for it described in point 1, pages 5–6 of Lecture 4, namely n -dimensional vector spaces on the rational, the real, or the complex numbers. Let us be specific and fix the reals. Let \mathbb{A} be the 3-dimensional real vector space, and \mathbb{B} the 2-dimensional real vector space. What is then a Σ -homomorphism $h : \mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}$? Prove that any such homomorphism h can be completely described by a 2×3 matrix M_h with real coefficients, so that applying to a

3-dimensional vector \vec{v} the homomorphism h , that is, computing $h(\vec{v})$ exactly corresponds to computing the matrix multiplication $\vec{v} \circ M_h$. Generalize this to \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} real vector spaces of arbitrary finite dimensions n and m . Generalize it further to rational, resp. complex, vector spaces of any pair of finite dimensions n and m .

Now generalize this even further to characterize by means of matrices **all** Σ -homomorphisms between Σ -algebras in cases 2–3 in page 6 of Lecture 4. Give for each of these cases specific examples of $h : \mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}$ showing how this works and how h is thus applied to specific elements in the corresponding algebra \mathbb{A} .

Order-Sorted Homomorphisms

For $\Sigma = ((S, <), F, \Sigma)$ an order-sorted signature, and \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} order-sorted Σ -algebras, a Σ -**homomorphism** h from \mathbb{A} to \mathbb{B} , written $h : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$, is an S -indexed family of functions $h = \{h_s : A_s \rightarrow B_s\}_{s \in S}$ such that:

- $h : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is a many-sorted (S, F, Σ) -homomorphism; and
- if $[s] = [s']$ and $a \in A_s \cap A_{s'}$, then $h_s(a) = h_{s'}(a)$ (**agreement on data in the same connected component**)

Examples of Order-Sorted Homomorphisms

Ex.11.5. Consider the order-sorted signature Σ of the NAT-LIST-II example in Lecture 2, the two algebras on such a signature, let us call them \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} , defined on page 8 of Lecture 4, with \mathbb{A} case (1), and \mathbb{B} case (2). Show that there is **exactly one** order-sorted Σ -homomorphism $h : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$. Describe such a homomorphism h in complete detail. Show that there **cannot be** any other Σ -homomorphisms $h' : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ with $h \neq h'$.

What is a Pocket Calculator?

Consider a **pocket calculator** for expressions on the signature $\Sigma = \{0, 1, _ + _, _ * _ \}$, evaluated on the integers $\mathbb{Z} = (\mathbb{Z}, _ \mathbb{Z})$.

Q: What is a pocket calculator as a **computable function**?

A: A function, say, $_ \mathbb{Z} : T_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Call it **evaluation** in \mathbb{Z} .

Q: What is the **recursive definition** of $_ \mathbb{Z} : T_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$?

A: It is defined by the recursive equations: $0_{\mathbb{Z}} = 0$, $1_{\mathbb{Z}} = 1$,
 $(t + t')_{\mathbb{Z}} = t_{\mathbb{Z}} +_{\mathbb{Z}} t'_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $(t * t')_{\mathbb{Z}} = t_{\mathbb{Z}} *_{\mathbb{Z}} t'_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Q: What is the **essential property** of the function $_ \mathbb{Z} : T_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$?

A: It is a Σ -**homomorphism** $_ \mathbb{Z} : T_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ because, for example,

$$(0_{T_\Sigma})_{\mathbb{Z}} = (0)_{\mathbb{Z}} = 0_{\mathbb{Z}} = 0, \quad (t +_{T_\Sigma} t')_{\mathbb{Z}} = (t + t')_{\mathbb{Z}} = t_{\mathbb{Z}} +_{\mathbb{Z}} t'_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

What is a Pocket Calculator? (II)

In the same way we also have pocket calculators for the ground terms of $\Sigma = \{0, 1, _ + _, _ * _ \}$, evaluated on the natural numbers $\mathbb{N} = (\mathbb{N}, _ \mathbb{N})$, the natural numbers modulo $k \geq 1$, $\mathbb{N}_k = (\mathbb{N}_k, _ \mathbb{N}_k)$, or the rational numbers $\mathbb{Q} = (\mathbb{Q}, _ \mathbb{Q})$.

More generally, we shall see shortly, that for Σ a **sensible** order-sorted signature and any order-sorted Σ -algebra $\mathbb{A} = (A, _ \mathbb{A})$ there is a **unique pocket calculator** evaluating the terms T_Σ in \mathbb{A} , that is, a **unique Σ -homomorphism** $_ \mathbb{A} : T_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$, defined by the recursive equations:

- $(a)_\mathbb{A} = a_\mathbb{A}$ for each constant a in Σ , and
- $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)_\mathbb{A} = f_\mathbb{A}(t_{1\mathbb{A}}, \dots, t_{n\mathbb{A}})$ for each $f : s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s$ in Σ .

Term Algebras on Sensible Signatures

If a signature is sensible, then **different terms denote different things**. In the argot of algebraic specifications, this is expressed by saying that the term algebra \mathbb{T}_Σ has **no confusion**.

Furthermore, the term algebra \mathbb{T}_Σ is in some sense **minimal**, since it has only the elements it needs to have to be an algebra: the constants, and the terms needed so that the operations can yield a result; that is why this minimality is expressed saying that it has **no junk**.

The **key intuition** of why there is a **unique** pocket calculator $_ \mathbb{A} : \mathbb{T}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ for any Σ -algebra \mathbb{A} , is that: (i) **no junk** ensures **uniqueness** of $_ \mathbb{A}$, and (ii) **no confusion** ensures the **existence** of $_ \mathbb{A}$.

No Pocket Calculators for Term Algebras on Non-sensible Signatures

The intuition that **no confusion** ensures the **existence** of $_A : \mathbb{T}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ suggests that **confusion/ambiguity** in \mathbb{T}_Σ , i.e., Σ **non-sensible**, will **prevent/block** the existence of $_A : \mathbb{T}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$. Let us see an example.

For example, $_K : \mathbb{T}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ cannot be defined for Σ the non-sensible signature we showed in pg. 16 of Lecture 4 and the Σ -algebra $\mathbb{K} = (K, _K)$ with: $K_A = \{a\}$, $K_B = \{b\}$, $K_C = \{c\}$, $K_D = \{d, d'\}$, and with $f_K^{A,B}(a) = b$, $f_K^{A,C}(a) = c$, $g_K^{B,D}(b) = d$, and $g_K^{C,D}(c) = d'$. Indeed, there is **no** Σ -homomorphism $h : \mathbb{T}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ at all, since $h_D(g(f(a)))$ must be either d or d' . But if $h_D(g(f(a))) = d$, then h fails to preserve the operation $g : C \rightarrow D$, and if $h_D(g(f(a))) = d'$, then h fails to preserve the operation $g : B \rightarrow D$.

Initiality of the Term Algebra \mathbb{T}_Σ when Σ Sensible

In summary, the claim is that, if Σ is sensible, then for any Σ -algebra \mathbb{A} there is a **unique pocket calculator** for \mathbb{A} , i.e., a **unique** Σ -homomorphism $_ \mathbb{A} : \mathbb{T}_\Sigma \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$. This is called the **initiality property** of \mathbb{T}_Σ . This unique Σ -homomorphism $_ \mathbb{A}$ is the obvious **evaluation function**, mapping each term t to the result of evaluating it in \mathbb{A} . As already mentioned, $_ \mathbb{A}$ is defined inductively as follows:

- for a constant a we define $(a)_\mathbb{A} = a_\mathbb{A}$, and
- for a term $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ we define
$$(f(t_1, \dots, t_n))_\mathbb{A} = f_\mathbb{A}((t_1)_\mathbb{A}, \dots, (t_n)_\mathbb{A}).$$

Let us prove it in detail.

Theorem. If Σ is a sensible order-sorted signature, then \mathbb{T}_Σ satisfies the initiality property.

Proof of the Initiality Theorem

Proof: For \mathbb{A} any Σ -algebra Let us first prove the uniqueness of $_ \mathbb{A}$, and then its existence.

Proof of uniqueness. Let us suppose that we have two different homomorphisms $h, h' : \mathbb{T}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$. We can prove that $h = h'$ by induction on the depth of the terms.

For terms of depth 0 let a be a constant in $T_{\Sigma, s}$. That means that there is a sort $s' \leq s$ with an operator declaration $a : nil \rightarrow s'$ and therefore, by h and h' being Σ -homomorphisms we must have $h_s(a) = h'_s(a) = a_{\mathbb{A}}^{nil, s'}$.

Proof of the Initiality Theorem (II)

Assume that the equality $h = h'$ holds for terms of depth less or equal to n , and let $f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T_{\Sigma, s}$ have depth $n + 1$. That means that there is an operator declaration $f : s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow s'$ with $s' \leq s$ and $t_i \in T_{\Sigma, s_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Again, by h and h' being Σ -homomorphisms we must have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_s(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) &= \\
 &= f_{\mathbb{A}}^{s_1 \dots s_n, s'}(h_{s_1}(t_1), \dots, h_{s_n}(t_n)) \quad (h \text{ homomorphism and } s' \leq s) \\
 &= f_{\mathbb{A}}^{s_1 \dots s_n, s'}(h'_{s_1}(t_1), \dots, h'_{s_n}(t_n)) \quad (\text{induction hypothesis}) \\
 &= h'_s(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) \quad (h' \text{ homomorphism and } s' \leq s).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the Initiality Theorem (III)

Proof of Existence. Before defining $_A$, recall the notation $T_\Sigma^\circ =_{def} \bigcup_{s \in S} T_{\Sigma_s}$. Likewise, for $A = (A, _A)$ a Σ -algebra define $A^\circ =_{def} \bigcup_{s \in S} A_s$. Recall also from STACS that $[T_\Sigma^\circ \multimap A^\circ]$ denotes the set of partial functions from T_Σ° to A° . Define the subset $[T_\Sigma^\circ \multimap A^\circ]_S \subseteq [T_\Sigma^\circ \multimap A^\circ]$ as follows:

$$[T_\Sigma^\circ \multimap A^\circ]_S =_{def} \{h \in [T_\Sigma^\circ \multimap A^\circ] \mid \forall s \in S, \forall t \in T_{\Sigma,s} (t, a) \in h \Rightarrow a \in A_s\}$$

that is, $[T_\Sigma^\circ \multimap A^\circ]_S$ is the subset of **sort-preserving** partial functions from T_Σ° to A° . One such function in $[T_\Sigma^\circ \multimap A^\circ]_S$ is:

$$_A^0 =_{def} \{(a, a_A) \mid (\epsilon \rightarrow a) \in G\}$$

Now define the function:

$$next : [T_\Sigma^\circ \multimap A^\circ]_S \rightarrow [T_\Sigma^\circ \multimap A^\circ]_S$$

by the lambda expression: $next = \lambda h \in [T_\Sigma^\circ \multimap A^\circ]_S. h \uplus s(h)$, where

Proof of the Initiality Theorem (IV)

$$s(h) = \{(f(t_1, \dots, t_n), f_{\mathbb{A}}(h(t_1), \dots, h(t_n))) \mid f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T_{\Sigma}^{\circ} \setminus \text{dom}(h) \wedge t_i \in \text{dom}(h), 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

Since in order-sorted algebras overloaded operators **agree on common data** and Σ is **sensible**, the result $f_{\mathbb{A}}(h(t_1), \dots, h(t_n))$ does **not** depend on the specific typing chosen for f in G and therefore $s(h)$ and $\text{next}(h)$ are both indeed partial functions in $[T_{\Sigma}^{\circ} \multimap A^{\circ}]_S$.

Now define

$$_A^{\circ} =_{\text{def}} \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{rec}(_A^0, \text{next})(n)$$

Recall from STACS §6.1, Thm 3, that $\text{rec}(_A^0, \text{next})$ is the function

$$\text{rec}(_A^0, \text{next}) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [T_{\Sigma}^{\circ} \multimap A^{\circ}]_S$$

defined by **simple recursion** from $_A^0$ and next . Note that: (i) $_A^{\circ}$ is a partial function in $[T_{\Sigma}^{\circ} \multimap A^{\circ}]$ because $\{\text{rec}(_A^0, \text{next})(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a

chain in the subset order \subseteq and $[T_\Sigma^\circ \rightarrow A^\circ]$ is closed under limits of chains (see STACS §7.5); (ii) furthermore, $_A^\circ$ belongs to $[T_\Sigma^\circ \rightarrow A^\circ]_S$ because for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $rec(_A^0, next)(n)$ does so; and (iii) $_A^\circ$ is a **total** function $_A^\circ : T_\Sigma^\circ \rightarrow A^\circ$, since $next(_A^\circ) = _A^\circ$.

Now define the S -sorted function:

$$_A = \{ _A^\circ|_{T_{\Sigma,s}} : T_{\Sigma,s} \rightarrow A_s \}_{s \in S}$$

This is our desired Σ -homomorphism $_A : \mathbb{T}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ because for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $rec(_A^0, next)(n)$ (viewed as an S -sorted partial function) is a **partial** Σ -homomorphism, i.e., by construction it satisfies the Σ -homomorphism requirements for all terms in $dom(rec(_A^0, next)(n))$, i.e., for all constants a in Σ and for all $f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T_\Sigma^\circ$ of tree depth n or less. q.e.d.

The Pocket Calculator of a Canonical Term Algebra

Ex.11.6. Recall the **canonical term algebra**

$\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E,B} = (C_{\Sigma/E,B}, _C_{\Sigma/E,B})$, defined in page 17 of Lecture 6 for a functional $\mathbf{fmod}(\Sigma, E \cup B)$ \mathbf{endfm} , where Σ is B -preregular and satisfies the Unique Termination, Sufficient Completeness and Sort Preservation requirements.^a What is the pocket calculator of $\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E,B}$?

By the Initiality Theorem, it is the unique Σ -homomorphism $_C_{\Sigma/E,B} : \mathbb{T}_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E,B}$. Prove that, as an S -sorted function on S -sorted sets, $_C_{\Sigma/E,B} : T_{\Sigma} \rightarrow C_{\Sigma/E,B}$ is exactly the S -sorted function: $\{T_{\Sigma,s} \ni t \mapsto [t!_{E/B}] \in C_{\Sigma/E,B,s}\}_{s \in S}$ (what Maude's **red** command implements!!), which we used in defining $\mathbb{C}_{\Sigma/E,B}$.

^aWhich of course can be checked by checking sort-decreasingness, local confluence and termination of \vec{E} modulo B , and sufficient completeness w.r.t. the constructors Ω .

More on Homomorphisms

Ex.11.7. Prove that homomorphisms compose. That is, if $h : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ and $g : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are Σ -homomorphisms, then $h;g = \{h_s;g_s\}_{s \in \mathcal{S}}$ is a Σ -homomorphism $h;g : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Ex.11.8. Prove that identities are homomorphisms. That is, given a Σ -algebra $\mathbb{A} = (A, __{\mathbb{A}})$, the family of identity functions $id_A = \{id_{A_s}\}$ is a Σ -homomorphism $id_A : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$.

More on Homomorphisms (II)

A Σ -homomorphism $h : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is called an **isomorphism** if there is another Σ -homomorphism $g : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ such that $h;g = id_A$ and $g;h = id_B$. We then may use the notation $g = h^{-1}$ and $h = g^{-1}$.

We call a Σ -homomorphism $h : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

- **injective** (resp. **surjective**) if for each sort $s \in S$ the function h_s is injective (resp. surjective)
- a **monomorphism** if for any pair of Σ -homomorphisms $g, q : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$, if $g;h = q;h$ then $g = q$
- an **epimorphism** if for any pair of Σ -homomorphisms $g, q : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, if $h;g = h;q$ then $g = q$.

More on Homomorphisms (III)

For example, if \mathbb{N}_{bin} , resp. \mathbb{N}_{dec} , denote the natural numbers with 0, successor, and addition in binary, resp. decimal, representation, we have an obvious binary-to-decimal **isomorphism** $b2d : \mathbb{N}_{bin} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{dec}$ preserving all operations, whose inverse is the decimal-to-binary **isomorphism**, $d2b : \mathbb{N}_{bin} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{dec}$. Of course, $d2b; b2d = id_{\mathbb{N}_{dec}}$, and $b2d; d2b = id_{\mathbb{N}_{bin}}$.

For \mathbb{N}_n the residue classes modulo n , the reminder function $\mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{rem_n} \mathbb{N}_n$ is a **surjective** homomorphism for Σ containing, say, 0, 1, +, \times .

Similarly, for \mathbb{Z}_{dec} the integers in decimal notation, the inclusion $j : \mathbb{N}_{dec} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{dec}$ is an **injective** homomorphism preserving all shared operations: 0, 1, +, \times , etc.

Theorem: All Initial Algebras Are Isomorphic

Proof: Suppose \mathbb{I} and \mathbb{J} are Σ -algebras and both satisfy the initiality property of having a unique Σ -homomorphism to any other Σ -algebra. In particular, we have unique homomorphisms,

$$h : \mathbb{I} \longrightarrow \mathbb{J} \quad g : \mathbb{J} \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}$$

and therefore a composed homomorphism

$$\mathbb{I} \xrightarrow{h} \mathbb{J} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{I}$$

but we also have the identity homomorphism $id_{\mathbb{I}}$, which by uniqueness forces $h; g = id_{\mathbb{I}}$. Interchanging the role of \mathbb{I} and \mathbb{J} we also get, $g; h = id_{\mathbb{J}}$. q.e.d.

Exercises

Ex.11.9. Show that a homomorphism is injective iff it is a monomorphism. Prove that every surjective homomorphism is an epimorphism. Construct an epimorphism that is not surjective.

Ex.11.10. Show that any many-sorted Σ -homomorphism that is surjective and injective is an isomorphism.

Construct an order-sorted homomorphism that is surjective and injective but is not an isomorphism. Give a sufficient condition on the poset (S, \leq) (more general of course than being a discrete poset, since that is the many-sorted case) so that h is an isomorphism iff h is surjective and injective.

Exercises (II)

Ex.11.11. Prove that an algebra \mathbb{J} initial iff it is isomorphic to an initial algebra \mathbb{I} .

Ex.11.12. Show that the natural numbers in Peano notation (zero and successor) and in base 2 are isomorphic Σ -algebras (both initial) for Σ the signature with one sort **Natural** and zero and successor operations.