

Turing-reducibility and Oracle Machines

- a i. Fix TM M , and construct TM N as follows:

$N =$ “On any input:

- Run M in parallel on all strings in Σ^* (*using our usual strategy of gradually simultaneously increasing both the number of strings being tested and the number of timesteps to test each string for.*)
- If M accepts any of these strings, *accept*.”

What is $L(N)$?

$$L(N) = \begin{cases} \underline{\hspace{2cm}} & \text{if } \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \\ \underline{\hspace{2cm}} & \text{if } \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \end{cases}$$

- ii. An oracle TM M^L is a regular TM that can also as a single step ask an “oracle” whether a given string is in the language L . Consider this oracle TM:

$T^{A_{TM}} =$ “On input $\langle M \rangle$, where M is a TM:

- Use M to construct N as described in part i.
- Query the oracle about $\langle N, \varepsilon \rangle$ (i.e. ask it to determine whether $\langle N, \varepsilon \rangle \in A_{TM}$).
- If the oracle answers NO, *accept*; if YES, *reject*.”

What language L does $T^{A_{TM}}$ decide? (We will then say that L is decidable *relative to* A_{TM} , or that L is *Turing reducible* to A_{TM} , written as $L \leq_T A_{TM}$).

- b A_{TM} is decidable relative to A_{TM} , since we can build a machine $R^{A_{TM}}$ which simply queries its oracle about the input string and accepts/rejects if it gets a yes/no answer. Where specifically is the flaw in the following proof that A_{TM} is *undecidable* relative to A_{TM} ?

“Proof”: Assume towards contradiction that A_{TM} is decidable relative to A_{TM} . Then there is an oracle machine $H^{A_{TM}}$ which decides A_{TM} . Now define TM D as follows:

$D =$ “On input $\langle M \rangle$, where M is a TM:

- Simulate $H^{A_{TM}}$ on input $\langle M, \langle M \rangle \rangle$.
- Output the opposite of what $H^{A_{TM}}$ outputs. That is, if $H^{A_{TM}}$ accepts, *reject*; and if $H^{A_{TM}}$ rejects, *accept*.”

Now consider what happens when we run D on $\langle D \rangle$, and we reach a contradiction exactly as in the original proof that A_{TM} is undecidable (Sipser p207).

- c Show that if $A \leq_T B$ and $B \leq_T C$, then $A \leq_T C$. (Show that if some R^B decides A and some S^C decides B , then you can construct a T^C that decides A . Note that your T^C does not have access to an oracle for B .)

d Show that for any two languages A and B , a language J exists, where $A \leq_T J$ and $B \leq_T J$.

e Let $A'_{TM} = \{\langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is an oracle TM and } M^{A_{TM}} \text{ accepts } w\}$. Prove that A'_{TM} is undecidable relative to A_{TM} . Similarly, argue that $A''_{TM} = \{\langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is an oracle TM and } M^{A'_{TM}} \text{ accepts } w\}$ is undecidable relative to A'_{TM} .

f Prove that A_{TM} is decidable relative to A'_{TM} .