cs473 Algorithms Out: Fri., 2022-03-25 17:00

Problem Set #7

All problems are of equal value.

- 1. Load Balancing. Kleinberg-Tardos Chapter 13, Problem #11.
- 2. (Multiplicative Chernoff Bound). Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be independent random variables taking values over the continuous interval [0, 1]. Let $X = \sum_i X_i$. Show the following.
	- (a) For $r \in (-\infty, \ln 2]$, prove that $\mathbb{E}[e^{rX}] \leq e^{r\mathbb{E}[X]+r^2\mathbb{E}[X]}$, where you may use (without proof) that $1 + x \le e^x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $e^x \le 1 + x + x^2$ for $x \le \ln 2$.
	- (b) Explain how the above used the independence of the X_i .
	- (c) Apply Markov's inequality $(\Pr[Y \ge a] \le \mathbb{E}[Y]/a)$ to e^{rX} , and optimize over r, to conclude that:
		- i. For $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \ln 4$, $Pr[X \geq (1 + \epsilon) \mathbb{E}[X]] \leq e^{-\epsilon^2 \mathbb{E}[X]/4}$.
		- ii. For $\epsilon \geq \ln 4$, $\Pr[X \geq (1 + \epsilon) \mathbb{E}[X]] \leq 2^{-\epsilon \mathbb{E}[X]/2}$.
		- iii. For $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$, $\Pr[X \leq (1 \epsilon)\mathbb{E}[X]] \leq e^{-\epsilon^2 \mathbb{E}[X]/4}$.
		- iv. (Additive Chernoff Bound) For $\epsilon \geq 0$, $Pr[|X \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq \epsilon \cdot n] \leq 2e^{-\epsilon^2 n/4}$.

Note: The additive Chernoff bound suffices for applications such as estimating the errors in polling, but the multiplicative bound is in general stronger and often needed (e.g. consider $\mathbb{E}[X] = \lg n$ and the resulting bound for $\Pr[X \geq 2\mathbb{E}[X]]$. Note also that the above omits one range of parameters, where one can show that $Pr[X \geq (1 + \epsilon) \mathbb{E}[X]] \leq e^{-(1+\epsilon)\ln(1+\epsilon)\mathbb{E}[X]/4}$ if $\epsilon \geq 1$.

- 3. Let G be an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ with n vertices and m edges. We wish to partition the vertex set V into k disjoint sets $V = V_1 \sqcup V_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup V_k$, while minimizing the total number of *conflicting vertices*, where two vertices are in conflict if they are adjacent in G and belong to the same set in the partition.
	- (a) Give an $O(n)$ time *randomized* algorithm that outputs a partition, where the expected number of conflicts is at most $\frac{m}{k}$.
	- (b) Give an $O(n + m)$ time *deterministic* algorithm that outputs a partition, where the number of conflicts is at most $\frac{m}{k}$. Hint: Use a greedy approach.