## CS 473 ♦ Spring 2016 • Homework 5 •

Due Tuesday, March 1, 2016, at 8pm

Unless a problem specifically states otherwise, you may assume a function RANDOM that takes a positive integer k as input and returns an integer chosen uniformly and independently at random from  $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$  in O(1) time. For example, to flip a fair coin, you could call RANDOM(2).

1. *Reservoir sampling* is a method for choosing an item uniformly at random from an arbitrarily long stream of data.

```
GETONESAMPLE(stream S):
\ell \leftarrow 0
while S is not done
x \leftarrow \text{next item in } S
\ell \leftarrow \ell + 1
if \text{RANDOM}(\ell) = 1
sample \leftarrow x \qquad (\star)
return sample
```

At the end of the algorithm, the variable  $\ell$  stores the length of the input stream S; this number is *not* known to the algorithm in advance. If S is empty, the output of the algorithm is (correctly!) undefined. In the following, consider an arbitrary non-empty input stream S, and let n denote the (unknown) length of S.

- (a) Prove that the item returned by GetOneSample(*S*) is chosen uniformly at random from *S*.
- (b) Describe and analyze an algorithm that returns a subset of *k* distinct items chosen uniformly at random from a data stream of length at least *k*. The integer *k* is given as part of the input to your algorithm. Prove that your algorithm is correct.

For example, if k=2 and the stream contains the sequence  $(\spadesuit, \heartsuit, •, •)$ , the algorithm should return the subset  $\{•, •\}$  with probability 1/6.

2. In this problem, we will derive a streaming algorithm that computes an accurate estimate  $\tilde{n}$  of the number of distinct items in a data stream S. Suppose S contains n unique items (but possible several copies of each item); the algorithm does *not* know n in advance. Given an accuracy parameter  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and a confidence parameter  $0 < \delta < 1$  as part of the input, our final algorithm will guarantee that  $\Pr[|\tilde{n} - n| > \varepsilon n] < \delta$ .

As a first step, fix a positive integer m that is large enough that we don't have to worry about round-off errors in the analysis. Our first algorithm chooses a hash function  $h: \mathcal{U} \to [m]$  at random from a **2-uniform** family, computes the minimum hash value  $\hbar = \min\{h(x) \mid x \in S\}$ , and finally returns the estimate  $\widetilde{n} = m/\hbar$ .

- (a) Prove that  $\Pr[\widetilde{n} > (1+\varepsilon)n] \le 1/(1+\varepsilon)$ . [Hint: Markov's inequality]
- (b) Prove that  $\Pr[\tilde{n} < (1 \varepsilon)n] \le 1 \varepsilon$ . [Hint: Chebyshev's inequality]
- (c) We can improve this estimator by maintaining the k smallest hash values, for some integer k > 1. Let  $\widetilde{n}_k = k \cdot m/\hbar_k$ , where  $\hbar_k$  is the kth smallest element of  $\{h(x) \mid x \in S\}$ . Estimate the smallest value of k (as a function of the accuracy parameter  $\varepsilon$ ) such that  $\Pr[|\widetilde{n}_k n| > \varepsilon n] \le 1/4$ .
- (d) Now suppose we run d copies of the previous estimator in parallel to generate d independent estimates  $\widetilde{n}_{k,1}, \widetilde{n}_{k,2}, \ldots, \widetilde{n}_{k,d}$ , for some integer d > 1. Each copy uses its own independently chosen hash function, but they all use the same value of k that you derived in part (c). Let  $\widetilde{N}$  be the *median* of these d estimates.

Estimate the smallest value of d (as a function of the confidence parameter  $\delta$ ) such that  $\Pr[|\widetilde{N} - n| > \varepsilon n] \le \delta$ .