CS 473 **♦** Fall 2024

Conflict Midterm 2 Problem 1 Solution

Suppose we initialize an array A[1..n] by setting A[i] = i for all *i*, and then randomly shuffle the array. After shuffling, each of the *n*! possible permutations of the array *A* is equally likely. An index *i* is called a *fixed point* of the shuffling permutation if A[i] = i. Let *X* denote the number of fixed points of this random permutation.

- (a) What is the exact value of E[X]?
- (b) What is the exact value of $E[X^2]$?

Prove that both of your answers are correct.

Solution:

(a) For each index *i*, define an indicator variable X_i that is equal to 1 if and only if A[i] = i. Then $X = \sum_i X_i$. Each element A[i] is equally likely to have any value from 1 to *n*, so $\Pr[A[i] = i] = 1/n$. So linearity of expectation implies

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Pr[X_i = 1] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Pr[A[i] = i] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} = 1$$

(b) First we observe that

$$X^{2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)^{2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2} + \sum_{i \neq j} X_{i} X_{j}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} + \sum_{i \neq j} X_{i} X_{j} = X + \sum_{i \neq j} X_{i} X_{j}$$

So linearity of expectation and part (a) imply

$$E[X^2] = 1 + \sum_{i \neq j} Pr[X_i X_j = 1]$$

We have $X_iX_j = 1$ if and only if both A[i] = i and A[j] = 1. A[i] is equally likely to have any value from 1 to n; once A[i] is fixed, A[j] is equally likely to have any value *except* A[i]. Thus, $\Pr[X_iX_j = 1] = 1/n(n-1)$. We conclude

$$E[X^{2}] = 1 + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} = 1 + n(n-1) \cdot \frac{1}{n(n-1)} = 1 + 1 = \boxed{2}$$

Rubric: 10 points = 5 for part (a) + 5 for part (b). This is more detail than necessary for full credit. These are not the only correct proofs.

CS 473 ♦ Fall 2024

Conflict Midterm 2 Problem 2 Solution

Let *P* be a multilinear polynomial with *n* variables x_1, \ldots, x_n and *m* terms, where the degree of every term is exactly n/10. We call a set of variables $H \subseteq \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ a *hitting set* for *P* if *H* contains at least one variable from each term of *P*. Suppose we randomly generate *H* by independently including each variable x_i with probability $p = (c \log m)/n$, for some constant $c \ge 1000$.

- (a) *Prove* that for any fixed term in *P*, the set *H* contains at least one variable from that term with probability at least $1 1/m^3$.
- (b) *Prove* that *H* contains at most $c^2 \log m$ variables with probability at least $1 1/m^2$.
- (c) *Prove* that *H* is a hitting set of size $O(\log m)$ with probability at least 1 1/m.

Solution: Let's assume that log means $\ln = \log_e$.

(a) Let *T* be any single term in *P*. Because each variable in *T* is independently excluded from *H* with probability 1 - p, we have

 $\Pr[H \text{ contains no variable in } T] = (1-p)^{n/10} = \left(1 - \frac{c \log m}{n}\right)^{n/10} \le e^{-(c \log m)/10}$

by The World's Most Useful Inequality. Setting c = 1000 gives us

$$\Pr[H \text{ contains no variable in } T] \leq e^{-100 \log m} = \frac{1}{m^{100}} \leq \frac{1}{m^3}$$

(b) We immediately have

$$\mathbf{E}[|H|] = pn = c \log m,$$

and therefore

$$\Pr\left[|H| > c^2 \log m\right] = \Pr\left[|H| > c \cdot \mathbb{E}[|X|]\right]$$

Because |H| is a sum of independent indicator variables, one for each element of *U*, the Chernoff bound $\Pr[|X| > (1 + \delta)\mu] < e^{-\delta\mu/2}$ with $\delta = c - 1$ implies

$$\Pr\left[|H| > c \cdot E[|X|]\right] \leq e^{-((c-1)c\log m)/2}$$

Finally, setting c = 1000 gives us

$$e^{-((c-1)c\log m)/2} \leq e^{-499500\log m} = \frac{1}{m^{499500}} \leq \frac{1}{m^2}.$$

(c) *H* is *not* a hitting set of size at most $c^2 \log n$ if and only if either (1) *H* excludes all variables in one of the *m* terms of *P*, or (2) $|H| > c^2 \log m$. Parts (a) and (b) and the

union bound imply that *H* is **not** a hitting set of size at most $c^2 \log n$ with probability at most

$$\frac{m}{m^3} + \frac{1}{m^2} = \frac{2}{m^2} \le \frac{1}{m}.$$

(The last inequality breaks down when m = 1, but in that case the probability is *trivially* at most 1/m = 1.)

Rubric: 10 points = 4 for part (a) + 4 for part (b) + 2 for part (c). This is more detail than necessary for full credit.

CS 473 ♦ Fall 2024

Conflict Midterm 2 Problem 3 Solution

Suppose you have a set *X* of *n* items from some universe \mathcal{U} that you want to store in a simple array A[1..n]. Your manager has given you five *access* functions h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, h_5 , each of which takes an element of \mathcal{U} as input and returns an integer between 1 and *n* as output, in constant time.

These access functions are *flawless* if it is possible store each element $x \in X$ at one of the five addresses $A[h_1(x)], A[h_2(x)], A[h_3(x)], A[h_4(x)]$, or $A[h_5(x)]$, with no collisions—each array entry A[i] must store exactly one element of X.

Describe and analyze an algorithm to determine whether the given access functions are flawless. The input to your algorithm is the set *X* and the access functions h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, h_5 .

Solution: Suppose $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$. Define a bipartite graph $G = (L \sqcup R, E)$ with vertices L = X and R = [n], which contains an edge between $x_i \in L$ and $j \in R$ if and only if $h_1(x_i) = j$ or $h_2(x_i) = j$ or $h_3(x_i) = j$ or $h_4(x_i) = j$ or $h_5(x_i) = j$. The graph *G* has exactly 2*n* vertices and at most 5n edges.

Compute a maximum matching *M* in this graph, as described in class, and then report that the given access functions are flawless if and only if *M* contains exactly *n* edges. The algorithm runs in $O(VE) = O(n^2)$ time.

Rubric: 10 points: standard graph reduction rubric

CS 473 ∻ Fall 2024

Conflict Midterm 2 Problem 4 Solution

Suppose you are given a 3CNF formula with *n* variables x_1, \ldots, x_n and *m* clauses, where $m \ge 100$.

- (a) Suppose we independently assign each variable x_i to be TRUE or FALSE with equal probability. What is the exact expected number of *unsatisfied* clauses under this assignment?
- (b) *Prove* that the probability that at least m/8 + 1 clauses are *unsatisfied* is at most 1 C/m for some constant *C*.
- (c) Part (b) implies that under a random assignment, the number of *satisfied* clauses is at least 7m/8 with probability at least C/m. Using this fact, describe an *efficient* randomized algorithm that *always* finds an assignment that *satisfies* at least 7m/8 clauses, and analyze its expected running time.

Solution: Suppose we are given a 3CNF formula $\Phi = C_1 \wedge C_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_m$, where each C_j is a clause with three literals.

(a) For each index $1 \le j \le m$, let $X_j = 1$ if the random assignment does *not* satisfy the clause C_j and $X_j = 0$ otherwise. Each clause C_j if and only if all its literals are FALSE. Since each literal is TRUE or FALSE with equal probability, we have $Pr[X_j = 1] = 1/8$.

Let $X = \sum_{j=1}^{m} X_j$ denote the number of *unsatisfied* clauses. The *expected* number of unsatisfied clauses is exactly

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \Pr[X_j = 1] = \boxed{\frac{m}{8}}$$

(b) Markov's inequality immediately implies

$$\Pr[X \ge m/8 + 1] \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{m/8 + 1}$$

$$= \frac{m/16}{m/8 + 1} \qquad [part (a)]$$

$$= 1 - \frac{1}{m/8 + 1} \qquad [math]$$

$$\le 1 - \frac{1}{m/4} \qquad [\frac{1}{1+t} \ge \frac{1}{2t}]$$

$$= \boxed{1 - \frac{4}{m}} \qquad [math]$$

(c) Our algorithm repeatedly generates and tests independent random assignments, until we find one that satisfies at least 7m/8 clauses.

Each iteration of the algorithm takes O(m+n) time to generate a random assignment and then check each clause by brute force. Part (b) implies that in each iteration, we generate a good assignment with probability at least 4/m. Let *Y* denote the number of iterations required to find a good assignment; we have

 $\mathbf{E}[Y] \le 1 + (1 - 4/m)\mathbf{E}[Y] \implies \mathbf{E}[Y] = m/4.$

Thus, our brute-force algorithm runs in O(m(m + n)) expected time.

Rubric: 10 points = 3 for part (a) + 3 for part (b) + 4 for part (c)