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Basic Local Alignment Search Tool

• Rapidly compare a sequence \( Q \) to a database to find all sequences in the database with an score above some cutoff \( S \).
  - Which protein is most similar to a newly sequenced one?
  - Where does this sequence of DNA originate?

• Speed achieved by using a procedure that typically finds “most” matches with scores \( > S \).
  - Tradeoff between sensitivity and specificity/speed
    • Sensitivity – ability to find all related sequences
    • Specificity – ability to reject unrelated sequences
Why is database search difficult?
Consider a simpler problem

**Goal:** Find all occurrences of a pattern in a text

**Input:** Pattern $p = p_1 \ldots p_n$ and text $t = t_1 \ldots t_m$

**Output:** All positions $1 \leq i \leq (m - n + 1)$ such that the $n$-letter substring of $t$ starting at $i$ matches $p$

**Motivation:** Searching database for a known pattern
Further simplified version

\[ p = \text{ATC or AAA or TTC or} \ldots \]

Text = Human genome (3 billion basepairs)
Pattern = a 3-letter word
Output: All positions \(1 \leq i \leq (m - n + 1)\) such that the \(n\)-letter substring of \(t\) starting at \(i\) matches \(p\)
Key idea: preprocessing

Preprocessing:
store exact matches of all short patterns on the text

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ATC} & \rightarrow \{1, 6, 100, 2000, 5454, \ldots, \} \\
\text{AAA} & \rightarrow \{15, 21, 30, 785, 3434, \ldots, \} \\
\text{TTC} & \rightarrow \{5, 164, 220, 502, 943, \ldots, \} \\
\vdots
\end{align*}
\]

After preprocessing, a large part of the computation is already finished before we search for similarities.
For biological sequences of length \(n\) there are \(4^n\) (for the DNA-alphabet \(\Sigma = \{A, G, C, T\}\)) and/or \(20^n\) (for the amino acid alphabet) different strings.
For small \(|\Sigma|\) and \(n\), it is possible to store all in a table.
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After preprocessing, a large part of the computation is already finished before we search for similarities.
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what if \( n \) is big?
Hashing

A hash function maps a key to a value
Hash table

- Hash table is a data structure: a way to store key-value pairs, and a way to retrieve them

- Based on the idea of a hash function. This maps a key or an object (e.g., a string, or a more complex record) to an integer, the “address”

- The value of the key is then stored at that address in memory
Hashing: an example

• Key: (AAACGTAT, 1234321)
  • i.e., a 8 bp-string and its location in genome
• We want to store many such strings and their locations
  • and later retrieve all locations of a particular string really quickly
• Hash function $h$(AAACGTAT) = 435

Key=String

Value = Address of where Location(String) is stored
Hashing: an example

• Let’s assume that there are $4^8 = 64K$ memory locations available.

• The first time we see (AAACGTAT, *), we store it at address $h(AAACGTAT) = 435$.

• The next time we see (AAACGTAT, *), we compute $h(AAACGTAT)$, go to 435, find it already occupied. A collision!
How to handle collisions

- **Buckets**: Address 435 can store multiple keys/objects (e.g., as a linked list)

- **Linear probing**: If an address is occupied, store the key/object in next available location

- **Multiple hashing**: have an army of hash functions. If the first one ("h") led to a collision, try another hash function ("h2")
Bucketing and Chaining

- Rather than searching for a free entry, make each entry in the table an ARRAY (bucket) or LINKED LIST (chain) of items/entries.
- Buckets
  - How big should you make each array?
  - Too much wasted space
- Chaining
  - Each entry is a linked List
Open addressing and linear probing

- Open addressing means an item with key, \( k \), may not be located at \( h(k) \)
- Assume, location 2 is occupied with another item
- If a new item hashes to location 2, we need to find another location to store it
- Linear Probing
  - Just move on to location \( h(k)+1 \), \( h(k)+2 \), \( h(k)+3 \),...
Preprocessing and hash

Preprocessing:
store exact matches of all short patterns on the text by a hash table

ATC → address1 → retrieve: \{1, 6, 100, 2000, 5454, \ldots, \}

AAA → address2 → retrieve: \{15, 21, 30, 785, 3434, \ldots, \}

TTC → address3 → retrieve: \{5, 164, 220, 502, 943, \ldots, \}
BLAST: finding maximal segment pairs

• Given two sequences of same length, the similarity score of their alignment (without gaps) is the sum of similarity values for each pair of aligned residues.

• Maximal segment pair (MSP): Highest scoring pair of identical length segments from the two sequences being compared ("query" and "subject").

• The similarity score of an MSP is called the MSP score.

• BLAST heuristically aims to find them.
Maximal segment pairs and High scoring pairs

Query: HBA_HUMAN Hemoglobin alpha subunit
Sbjct: SPAC869.02c [Schizosaccharomyces pombe]

Score = 33.1 bits (74), Expect = 0.24
Identities = 27/95 (28%), Positives = 50/95 (52%), Gaps = 10/95 (10%)

---

- Goal: report database sequences that have MSP score above some threshold S.
  - Thus, sequences with at least one locally maximal segment pair that scores above S.
A quick way to find MSPs

- Homologous sequences tend to have very similar or even identical substrings, also called seeds.
- From a seed, it is possible to construct a local HSP/MSP by extending to flanking regions.
Efficient algorithm?