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Where are we at?

Neural architectures:
  Basic feedforward nets
  CNNs
  RNNs (LSTMs, GRUs)

Today:
  Transformers (in more detail than LSTMs, GRUs)

Next:
  Using Transformers in large language models, for MT, etc
Recap: Seq2seq, Attention
Encoder-Decoder (seq2seq) model

The decoder is a language model that generates an output sequence **conditioned on the input** sequence.

- **Vanilla RNN**: condition on the last hidden state
- **Attention**: condition on all hidden states
Attention weights

We want to feed a weighted average of all encoder hidden states into the decoder at each decoding time step.

Weighted average:

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n h_n \text{ with } \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n = 1 \text{ and } \forall n : 0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 1 \]

The attention weights \( \alpha_n \) form a probability distribution over the \( N \) elements of the encoder. We can use a different set of weights at each decoder time step.
Adding attention to the decoder

**Basic idea:** Feed a \(d\)-dimensional representation of the entire (arbitrary-length) input sequence into the decoder *at each time step during decoding.*

This representation of the input can be a **weighted average of the encoder’s representation of the input** (i.e. hidden states).

The **averaging weights** associated with each encoder element specify how much attention to pay to that element.

Since different parts of the input may be more or less important for different parts of the output, we want to **vary the weights** over the input during the decoding process.

(Cf. Word alignments in machine translation)
Adding attention to the decoder

We want to **condition the output** generation of the decoder on a **context-dependent representation of the input** sequence.

**Attention** computes a probability **distribution over the encoder’s hidden states** that depends on the **decoder’s current hidden state**

(This distribution is **computed anew for each output symbol**)

This attention distribution is used to compute a **weighted average of the encoder’s hidden state vectors**.

This **context-dependent embedding** of the input sequence is fed into the output of the decoder RNN.
Attention, more formally

Define a probability distribution \( \alpha^{(t)} = (\alpha_{1}^{(t)}, \ldots, \alpha_{S}^{(t)}) \) over the \( S \) elements of the input sequence that depends on the current output element \( t \).

Use this distribution to compute a weighted average of the encoder’s output \( \sum_{s=1..S} \alpha_{s}^{(t)} o_{s} \) or hidden states \( \sum_{s=1..S} \alpha_{s}^{(t)} h_{s} \) and feed that into the decoder.
Lecture 12: Attention and Transformers

Vaswani et al. Attention is all you need, NIPS 2017
Transformers

Sequence transduction model based on **attention**
(\textit{no convolutions or recurrence})
- easier to parallelize than recurrent nets
- faster to train than recurrent nets
- captures more long-range dependencies than CNNs with fewer parameters

Transformers use stacked self-attention and position-wise, fully-connected layers for the encoder and decoder

Transformers form the basis of BERT, GPT(2-3), and other state-of-the-art neural sequence models.
Seq2seq attention mechanisms

Define a probability distribution \( \alpha^{(t)} = (\alpha_{1}^{(t)}, \ldots, \alpha_{S}^{(t)}) \) over the \( S \) elements of the input sequence that depends on the current output element \( t \)

Use this distribution to compute a weighted average of the encoder’s output \( \sum_{s=1..S} \alpha_{s}^{(t)} o_{s} \) or hidden states \( \sum_{s=1..S} \alpha_{s}^{(t)} h_{s} \) and feed that into the decoder.

[Diagram showing attention weights and vector]
Self-Attention

Attention so far (in seq2seq architectures):

In the **decoder** (which has access to the complete input sequence), compute **attention weights over encoder positions** that depend **on each decoder position**

Self-attention:

If the **encoder** has access to the complete input sequence, we can also compute **attention weights over encoder positions** that depend **on each encoder position**

**self-attention:**

For each **decoder position** \(t\)...

...Compute an attention weight for each **encoder position** \(s\)

...Renormalize these weights (that depend on \(t\)) w/ softmax to get a new weighted avg. of the input sequence vectors
Self-attention: Simple variant

Given $T$ $k$-dimensional input vectors $x^{(1)}\ldots x^{(i)}\ldots x^{(T)}$, compute $T$ $k$-dimensional output vectors $y^{(1)}\ldots y^{(i)}\ldots y^{(T)}$ where each output $y^{(i)}$ is a weighted average of the input vectors, and where the weights $w_{ij}$ depend on $y^{(i)}$ and $x^{(j)}$

$$y^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1..T} w_{ij} x^{(j)}$$

Computing weights $w_{ij}$ naively (no learned parameters)

Dot product: $w'_{ij} = \sum_{k} x^{(i)}_{k} x^{(j)}_{k}$

Followed by softmax: $w_{ij} = \frac{\exp(w'_{ij})}{\sum_{j} \exp(w'_{ij})}$
Towards more flexible self-attention

To compute \( y^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1..T} w_{ij} x^{(j)} \), we must...

... take the element \( x^{(i)} \) ...

... decide the weight \( w_{ij} \) of each \( x^{(i)} \) depending on \( x^{(i)} \)

... average all elements \( x^{(i)} \) according to their weights

Observation 1: Dot product-based weights are large when \( x^{(i)}, x^{(i)} \) are similar. But we may want a more flexible approach.

Idea 1: Learn attention weights \( w_{ij} \) that depend on \( x^{(i)} \) and \( x^{(i)} \) in a manner that works best for the task

Observation 2: This weighted average is still just a simple function of the original \( x^{(i)} \)s

Idea 2: Learn weights that re-weight the elements of \( x^{(i)} \) in a manner that works best for the task
Self-attention with queries, keys, values

Let's add learnable parameters (three $k \times k$ weight matrices $W$), that allow us to turn any input vector $x^{(i)}$ into three versions:

- **Query** vector $q^{(i)} = W_q x^{(i)}$ to compute averaging weights at pos. $i$
- **Key** vector: $k^{(i)} = W_k x^{(i)}$ to compute averaging weights of pos. $i$
- **Value** vector: $v^{(i)} = W_v x^{(i)}$ to compute the value of pos. $i$ to be averaged

The **attention weight** of the $j$-th position used in the weighted average at the $i$-th position depends on the **query of $i$** and the **key of $j$**:

$$w_{j}^{(i)} = \frac{\exp(q^{(i)}k^{(j)})}{\sum_{j} \exp(q^{(i)}k^{(j)})} = \frac{\exp\left(\sum_{l} q_{l}^{(i)}k_{l}^{(j)}\right)}{\sum_{j} \exp\left(\sum_{l} q_{l}^{(i)}k_{l}^{(j)}\right)}$$

The **new output vector for the $i$-th position** depends on the **attention weights** and **value vectors** of all input positions $j$:

$$y^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1..T} w_{j}^{(i)} v^{(j)}$$
Transformer Architecture

Non-Recurrent Encoder-Decoder architecture

- No hidden states
- Context information captured via attention and positional encodings
- Consists of stacks of layers with various sublayers
Encoder

A stack of **N=6 identical layers**
All layers and sublayers are 512-dimensional

Each layer consists of two sublayers
— one **multi-head self attention** layer
— one **position-wise feed forward** layer

Each sublayer is followed by an **“Add & Norm”** layer:

… a **residual connection** \( x + \text{Sublayer}(x) \)
  (the input \( x \) is added to the output of the sublayer)

... followed by a **normalization step**
  (using the mean and standard deviation of its activations)

\[
\text{LayerNorm}(x + \text{Sublayer}(x))
\]
Decoder

A stack of $N=6$ identical layers
All layers and sublayers are 512-dimensional

Each layer consists of three sublayers
— one masked multi-head self attention layer
  over decoder output
  (masked, i.e. ignoring future tokens)
— one multi-headed attention layer
  over encoder output
— one position-wise feed forward layer

Each sublayer has a residual connection
and is normalized: $\text{LayerNorm}(x + \text{Sublayer}(x))$
Multi-head attention

Just like we use multiple filters (channels) in CNNs, we can use multiple attention heads that each have their own sets of key/value/query matrices.
Multi-Head attention

- Learn $h$ different linear projections of $Q$, $K$, $V$
- Compute attention separately on each of these $h$ versions
- Concatenate the resultant vectors
- Project this concatenated vector back down to a lower dimensionality with a weight matrix $W$
- Each attention head can use relatively low dimensionality

$$\text{MultiHead}(Q, K, V) = \text{Concat}(\text{head}_1, \ldots, \text{head}_h)W$$
Scaling attention weights

Value of dot product grows with vector dimension $k$
To scale back the dot product, divide the weights by $\sqrt{k}$ before normalization:

$$w_j^{(i)} = \frac{\exp\left(q^{(i)}k^{(j)}\right) / \sqrt{k}}{\sum_j \left(\exp\left(q^{(i)}k^{(j)}\right) / \sqrt{k}\right)}$$
Position-wise feedforward nets

Each layer in the encoder and decoder contains a feedforward sublayer $\text{FFN}(x)$ that consists of…

… one fully connected layer with a ReLU activation
  (that projects the 512 elements to 2048 dimensions),

… followed by another fully connected layer
  (that projects these 2048 elements back down to 512 dimensions)

$\text{FFN}(x) = \max (0, xW_1 + b_1) + W_2 + b_2$

Here $x$ is the vector representation of the current position. This is similar to 1x1 convolutions in a CNN.
Positional Encoding

How does this model capture sequence order?

**Positional encodings** have the same dimensionality as word embeddings (512) and are added in.

Each dimension $i$ is a sinusoid whose frequency depends on $i$, evaluated at position $j$
(sinusoid = a sine or cosine function with a different frequency)

$$PE_{(j,2i)} = \sin\left(\frac{j}{10000\cdot 2i/d}\right) \quad PE_{(j,2i+1)} = \cos\left(\frac{j}{10000\cdot 2i/d}\right)$$