CS/ECE 439: Wireless Networking

MAC Layer – Power!





Energy Conservation Techniques

- Wi-Fi devices consume significant amounts of energy when idle
 - ▶ Idle > IW
- Conservation Approach: Device suspension (sleep)
 - Reduced energy consumption
 - ▶ Sleep ~ 0.05W
 - Suspended communication capabilities
 - Buffer overflow
 - Wasted bandwidth
 - Lost messages
 - If all nodes are asleep, no one can communicate!



Communication Device Suspension

Goal

- ▶ Remain awake when there is active communication
- Otherwise, suspend
- Adapt the sleep duration to reflect the communication patterns of the application

Ideal

- Sleep whenever there is no data to receive from the base station
- Wake up for any incoming receptions

Communication Device Suspension

Problems

- How can a sender differentiate between a suspended node and a node that has gone away?
 - ▶ Suspended receiver ⇒ buffer packet
 - ▶ Confused sender ⇒ dropped packet, extra energy consumption
- How can a suspended node know there is communication for it?
 - \blacktriangleright Wake up too soon \Rightarrow waste energy
 - Wake up too late ⇒ delay/miss packets

Communication Device Suspension

Approach

Ensure overlap between sender's and receiver's awake times


Protocols

- Triggered Resume
- Periodic Resume
 - Synchronous
 - Asynchronous

Approach


- Use a second control channel (second radio)
 - Sender transmits RTS or beacon messages in control channel
 - Receiver replies in control channel and turns on main channel
- Main channel is only used for data
- Second channel
 - Must consume less energy than the main channel
 - Must not interfere with the main channel
 - Ex: BLE, ZigBee, RFID, 915Mhz

- Approach Data only PAMAS
 - Data channel
 - Power off radio when data is destined to a different node
 - Control channel
 - Probe neighbors to find longest remaining transfer




Dual radio

- Low duty cycle paging channel to wake up a neighboring node
- Use separate radio for the paging channel to avoid interference with regular data forwarding
- Trades off energy savings for setup latency



Dual radio



Challenges

- ▶ Two radios are more complex than one
- Channel characteristics may not be the same for both radios
 - A successful RTS on the control channel does not guarantee a the reverse channel works
 - A failed RTS on the control channel does not indicate that the reverse channel does not work

Periodic Resume


- Approach
 - Suspend most of the time
 - Periodically resume to check for pending communication
- Communication indications
 - Out-of-band channel
 - ▶ In-band signaling

- Protocols
 - Synchronous
 - Asynchronous




Basic Idea

- Time is slotted
- Nodes selectively remain awake for full slot duration
- Discovery occurs when two active slots overlap
- If all nodes are synchronized, all nodes are guaranteed to have overlapping awake periods



- Protocol: IEEE 802.11 Power Save Mode (PSM)
 - Nodes are synchronized and wakeup periodically (Beacon Period)
 - ▶ Each beacon period is broken up into two segments
 - Ad-hoc Traffic Indication Map (ATIM) Window
 - □ Announcement in the ATIM indicates data
 - ☐ Target node responds with an ATIM ACK
 - □ If a node receives no announcements, it goes back to sleep
 - Transmission period
 - □ Sender can transmit packet until the end of the beacon period

▶ IEEE 802.11 PSM



Centralized solution


- Synchronization driven by base station
- In beacon message

Distributed solution


- No base station
- Synchronization protocols can be used to loosely synchronize nodes
 - Nodes wake up for a short period and check for channel activity
 - Return to sleep if no activity detected




- Persistent loose synchronization
 - Constant, high synchronization overhead



- Signaling
 - No synchronization overhead
 - High signaling overhead
 - ▶ Long preambles, all nodes wake up



- Signaling: Wake-up packets
 - Send wake-up packets instead of preamble
 - Wake-up packets tell when data is starting so that receiver can go back to sleep as soon as it receives one wake-up packet



Signaling: Multiple send

- Send data several times
- ▶ Receiver can listen at any time and get all data

Problem with all approaches

- Communication costs are mostly paid by the sender
- The amount of time the sender spends transmitting may be much longer than the actual data length




Problems

- Maintaining synchronization may be difficult
- Throughput is limited by the size of the notification window
 - If the notification window is too small, packets get buffered
 - Buffers may eventually overflow


Approach

- Stay awake longer to guarantee overlap of awake periods
- Overlap is guaranteed if the awake periods are more than half the beacon period




Basic protocol

- Use beacon messages at the start of awake periods
- Some protocols use notification messages (similar to ATIM)




Problem

 No guarantee that all nodes will hear each other's beacon or notification messages




Solution

Have a beacon at the beginning and end of the beacon interval




Alternate solution

- Beacon at the beginning of odd periods
- Beacon at the end of even periods




Problem

- Nodes stay awake more than half the time
- Wastes too much energy!




Reduce awake time

- Do not wake up every beacon interval
- Delay depends on number of overlapping intervals




Randomized Approach

- Birthday protocol
 - Randomly select a slot to wake up in with a given probability
 - Advantage
 - □ Good average case performance
 - Disadvantage
 - □ No bounds on worst-case discovery latency




Extended sleep

- Wake up once every T intervals
- ▶ Adds delay up to T× length of beacon interval



Quorum

- ▶ Increase number of beacon intervals in cycle (n)
- ▶ Increase number of awake periods $(2n 1 \text{ of } n^2)$



Delay is determined by where the overlap is (worst case n²)



Quorum

- \blacktriangleright Example: n = 4, $n^2 = 16$, 2n-1 = 7
 - Two overlapping intervals: $delay = n^2 2$

Node i

0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15


Node j

0	1	2	3
4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15

- Node i is awake
- Node j is awake
- Both nodes are awake


Deterministic

- ▶ Find a feasible overlapping pattern
 - Guarantee at least one overlapping interval
 - Requires knowledge of number of nodes




slot

- Deterministic: Prime-based
 - Disco
 - Pick two primes p1 and p2
 - Wake up every p1 and p2 slot
 - Guarantees discovery in p1 x p2 slots




- Deterministic: Prime-based
 - ▶ U-Connect
 - Select I prime p
 - ▶ Wake up every pth slot and (p-I)/2 slots every p*p slots
 - Overlap is guaranteed within p2 slots




Searchlight

 Have a deterministic discovery schedule that has a pseudo-random component



Searchlight

- Two slots per t slots (period)
 - Anchor slot: Keep one slot fixed at slot 0
 - Probe slot: Move around the other slot sequentially
- ▶ Guaranteed overlap in t*t/2 slots
 - ▶ Based on the time needed to ensure a probe-anchor overlap
- Probe-probe overlap can also lead to discovery
 - Sequential scanning means less chance of a probe-probe overlap



Discovery through anchor-probe overlap

Searchlight

- Extension: randomized probing
 - Move the probe slot randomly
- Each node randomly chooses a schedule for its probe slot that repeats every (t*t/2) slots
 - Schedules of two nodes appear random to each other
- Advantage
 - Retains the same worst-case bound
 - Improves average case performance



Challenges

- Reducing time spent awake
- Reducing delay
- No support for broadcast
 - None of the current approaches provide an interval where all nodes are awake