#### CS 498wn: Wireless IoT Lab

MAC Layer - Power!

© CS/ECE 439 Staff, University of Illinois Fall 2020

## Energy Conservation Techniques

# Wi-Fi devices consume significant amounts of energy when idle Idle > IW

- Conservation Approach: Device suspension (sleep)
  - Reduced energy consumption
    - ▶ Sleep ~ 0.05W
  - Suspended communication capabilities
    - Buffer overflow
    - Wasted bandwidth
    - Lost messages
    - If all nodes are asleep, no one can communicate!



## **Communication Device Suspension**

### Goal

- Remain awake when there is active communication
- Otherwise, suspend
- Adapt the sleep duration to reflect the communication patterns of the application

## Ideal

- Sleep whenever there is no data to receive from the base station
- Wake up for any incoming receptions

## **Communication Device Suspension**

### Problems

- How can a sender differentiate between a suspended node and a node that has gone away?
  - Suspended receiver  $\Rightarrow$  buffer packet
  - Confused sender ⇒ dropped packet, extra energy consumption
- How can a suspended node know there is communication for it?
  - Wake up too soon  $\Rightarrow$  waste energy
  - Wake up too late  $\Rightarrow$  delay/miss packets



## **Communication Device Suspension**

## Approach

Ensure overlap between sender's and receiver's awake times

### Protocols

- Triggered Resume
- Periodic Resume
  - Synchronous
  - Asynchronous

### Approach

- Use a second control channel (second radio)
  - Sender transmits RTS or beacon messages in control channel
  - Receiver replies in control channel and turns on main channel
- Main channel is only used for data
- Second channel
  - Must consume less energy than the main channel
  - Must not interfere with the main channel
  - Ex: BLE, ZigBee, RFID, 915Mhz

### Approach – Data only – PAMAS

- Data channel
  - Power off radio when data is destined to a different node
- Control channel
  - Probe neighbors to find longest remaining transfer



### Dual radio

- Low duty cycle paging channel to wake up a neighboring node
- Use separate radio for the paging channel to avoid interference with regular data forwarding
- Trades off energy savings for setup latency



### Dual radio





## Challenges

- Two radios are more complex than one
- Channel characteristics may not be the same for both radios
  - A successful RTS on the control channel does not guarantee a the reverse channel works
  - A failed RTS on the control channel does not indicate that the reverse channel does not work

## Periodic Resume

- Approach
  - Suspend most of the time
  - Periodically resume to check for pending communication
- Communication indications
  - Out-of-band channel
  - In-band signaling

## Protocols

- Synchronous
- Asynchronous

#### Basic Idea

- Time is slotted
- Nodes selectively remain awake for full slot duration
- Discovery occurs when two active slots overlap
- If all nodes are synchronized, all nodes are guaranteed to have overlapping awake periods





### Protocol: IEEE 802.11 Power Save Mode (PSM)

- Nodes are synchronized and wakeup periodically (Beacon Period)
- Each beacon period is broken up into two segments
  - Ad-hoc Traffic Indication Map (ATIM) Window
    - $\hfill\square$  Announcement in the ATIM indicates data
    - □ Target node responds with an ATIM ACK
    - $\hfill\square$  If a node receives no announcements, it goes back to sleep
  - Transmission period
    - $\hfill\square$  Sender can transmit packet until the end of the beacon period



#### ► IEEE 802.11 PSM



© CS/ECE 439 Staff, University of Illinois Fall 2020



#### Centralized solution

- Synchronization driven by base station
- In beacon message
- Distributed solution
  - No base station
  - Synchronization protocols can be used to loosely synchronize nodes
    - Nodes wake up for a short period and check for channel activity
    - Return to sleep if no activity detected



- Persistent loose synchronization
  - Constant, high synchronization overhead



## Signaling

- No synchronization overhead
- High signaling overhead
  - Long preambles, all nodes wake up



- Signaling:Wake-up packets
  - Send wake-up packets instead of preamble
  - Wake-up packets tell when data is starting so that receiver can go back to sleep as soon as it receives one wake-up packet



- Signaling: Multiple send
  - Send data several times
  - Receiver can listen at any time and get all data
- Problem with all approaches
  - Communication costs are mostly paid by the sender
  - The amount of time the sender spends transmitting may be much longer than the actual data length



### Problems

- Maintaining synchronization may be difficult
- Throughput is limited by the size of the notification window
  - If the notification window is too small, packets get buffered
  - Buffers may eventually overflow

#### Approach

- Stay awake longer to guarantee overlap of awake periods
- Overlap is guaranteed if the awake periods are more than half the beacon period



#### Basic protocol

- Use beacon messages at the start of awake periods
- Some protocols use notification messages (similar to ATIM)



### Problem

No guarantee that all nodes will hear each other's beacon or notification messages



### Solution

Have a beacon at the beginning and end of the beacon interval



#### Alternate solution

- Beacon at the beginning of odd periods
- Beacon at the end of even periods



### Problem

- Nodes stay awake more than half the time
- Wastes too much energy!



- Reduce awake time
  - Do not wake up every beacon interval
  - Delay depends on number of overlapping intervals



#### Randomized Approach

- Birthday protocol
  - Randomly select a slot to wake up in with a given probability
  - Advantage
    - □ Good average case performance
  - Disadvantage
    - No bounds on worst-case discovery latency





#### Extended sleep

- Wake up once every T intervals
- Adds delay up to T× length of beacon interval



### Quorum

- Increase number of beacon intervals in cycle (n)
- Increase number of awake periods  $(2n 1 \text{ of } n^2)$



Delay is determined by where the overlap is (worst case  $n^2$ )



### Quorum

- Example: n = 4,  $n^2 = 16$ , 2n-1 = 7
  - Two overlapping intervals: delay =  $n^2 2$



#### Deterministic

D

- Find a feasible overlapping pattern
  - Guarantee at least one overlapping interval
  - Requires knowledge of number of nodes



- Deterministic: Prime-based
  - Disco
    - Pick two primes p1 and p2
    - Wake up every p1 and p2 slot
    - Guarantees discovery in p1 x p2 slots





### Deterministic: Prime-based

#### U-Connect

- Select I prime p
- Wake up every pth slot and (p-1)/2 slots every p\*p slots
- Overlap is guaranteed within p2 slots





### Searchlight

Have a deterministic discovery schedule that has a pseudo-random component







#### Searchlight

- Two slots per t slots (period)
  - Anchor slot: Keep one slot fixed at slot 0
  - Probe slot: Move around the other slot sequentially
- Guaranteed overlap in t\*t/2 slots
  - Based on the time needed to ensure a probe-anchor overlap
- Probe-probe overlap can also lead to discovery
  - Sequential scanning means less chance of a probe-probe overlap



#### Searchlight

- Extension: randomized probing
  - Move the probe slot randomly
- Each node randomly chooses a schedule for its probe slot that repeats every (t\*t/2) slots
  - Schedules of two nodes appear random to each other
- Advantage
  - Retains the same worst-case bound
  - Improves average case performance





## Challenges

- Reducing time spent awake
- Reducing delay
- No support for broadcast
  - None of the current approaches provide an interval where all nodes are awake