

TCP Usage Model

- Connection setup
	- \circ 3-way handshake
- Data transport
	- \circ Sender writes data
	- \circ TCP
		- Breaks data into segments
		- Sends each segment over IP
		- Retransmits, reorders and removes duplicates as necessary
	- \circ Receiver reads some data
- **Teardown**
	- \circ 4 step exchange

TCP Connection Establishment

- 3-Way Handshake
	- **Sequence Numbers**
		- J,K
	- o Message Types
		- Synchronize (SYN)
		- Acknowledge (ACK)
	- **Passive Open**
		- Server listens for connection from client
	- o Active Open
		- **Client initiates connection** to server

Purpose of the handshake

- Why use a handshake before sending / processing data?
- Suppose we don't wait for the handshake
	- \circ send data (e.g., HTTP request) along with SYN
	- \circ deliver to application
	- \circ send some results (e.g., index.html) along with SYN ACK
- What could go wrong?
	- \circ Hint: remember packets can be delayed, dropped, duplicated, …

Purpose of the handshake

- Why use a handshake before sending / processing data?
- Duplicated packet causes data to be sent to application twice
- Why does handshake fix this?

Purpose of the handshake

- If server receives request a second time, it responds with SYN ACK a second time
- But sender will not subsequently respond with ACK ("what is this garbage I just received??") timeout

Another purpose of the handshake

No handshake $==$ security hole

- \circ Attacker sends request
- \circ ...but spoofs source address, using address of a victim (C)
- \circ Server happily sends massive amounts of data to victim
- \circ Attacker repeats for 10,000 web servers
- \circ Massive denial of service attack, almost free and anonymous for the attacker!
- Used in the largest distributed denial of service (DDoS) attacks in 2008, 2009, and 2010
	- ¡ Use services that lack handshake (e.g., DNS over UDP)
	- ¡ Amplification factor 1:76 in 2008!

Another purpose of the handshake

Handshake lets server verify source address is real SYN SYN ACK Doesn't match a connection initiated by C: ignore (or reply with *reset*) No ACK received after timeout: drop connection without sending data

Q: does this prevent reflection attack?

A: No, but at least it prevents amplification

Handshaking

Internet was not designed for accountability

- \circ Hard to tell where a packet came from
- \circ ISPs filter suspicious packets: sometimes easy, sometimes hard, and sometimes not done
	- And the Internet is not secure until everyone filters
- More generally, Internet was not designed for security
	- \circ Vulnerabilities in most of the core protocols
	- \circ Even with handshake, early designs are vulnerable
		- Had predictable Initial Sequence Number (why's that bad?)
		- Because security was not initial goal of the handshake

TCP Data Transport

Data broken into segments

- \circ Limited by maximum segment size (MSS)
- \circ Defaults to 352 bytes
- \circ Negotiable during connection setup
- \circ Typically set to
	- MTU of directly connected network size of TCP and IP headers
- Three events cause a segment to be sent
	- \circ \geq MSS bytes of data ready to be sent
	- \circ Explicit PUSH operation by application
	- \circ Periodic timeout

TCP Byte Stream

TCP Connection Termination

Two generals problem

- \circ Enemy camped in valley
- \circ Two generals' hills separated by enemy
- Communication by unreliable messengers
- \circ Generals need to agree whether to attack or retreat

Two generals problem

- Can messages over an unreliable network be used to guarantee two entities do something simultaneously?
	- \circ No, even if all messages get through

No way to be sure last message gets through!

TCP Connection Termination

- Message Types
	- Finished (FIN)
	- Acknowledge (ACK)
- **Active Close**
	- Sends no more data
- Passive close
	- Accepts no more data

16-bit source and destination ports

32-bit send and ACK sequence numbers

ACKing and Sequence Numbers

Sender sends packet

- Data starts with sequence number X
- o Packet contains B bytes

$$
\bullet \quad X, X+1, X+2, \ldots X+B-1
$$

ACKing and Sequence **Numbers**

Upon receipt of packet, receiver sends an ACK

- If all data prior to X already received:
	- ACK acknowledges $X+B$ (because that is next expected byte)

ACKing and Sequence Numbers

Upon receipt of packet, receiver sends an ACK

- o If highest byte already received is some smaller value Y
	- ACK acknowledges Y+1
	- Even if this has been ACKed before

- 4-bit header length in 4-byte words
	- \circ Minimum 5 bytes
	- \circ Offset to first data byte

Reserved \circ Must be 0

6 1-bit flags

- URG: Contains urgent data
- ACK: Valid ACK seq. number
- PSH: Do not delay data delivery
- RST: Reset connection
- SYN: Synchronize for setup
	- FIN: Final segment for teardown

16-bit advertised window

• Space remaining in receive window

- 16-bit checksum
	- \circ Uses IP checksum algorithm
	- \circ Computed on header, data and pseudo header

- 16-bit urgent data pointer
	- If $URG = 1$
	- \circ Index of last byte of urgent data in segment

TCP Options

n Negotiate maximum segment size (MSS)

- \circ Each host suggests a value
- \circ Minimum of two values is chosen
- \circ Prevents IP fragmentation over first and last hops
- Packet timestamp
	- \circ Allows RTT calculation for retransmitted packets
	- \circ Extends sequence number space for identification of stray packets
- Negotiate advertised window granularity
	- **Allows larger windows**
	- \circ Good for routes with large bandwidth-delay products

TCP State Descriptions

Questions

- **State transitions**
	- **n** Describe the path taken by a server under normal conditions
	- Describe the path taken by a client under normal conditions
	- Describe the path taken assuming the client closes the connection first

TCP TIME_WAIT State

- What purpose does the TIME_WAIT stae serve?
- **Problem**
	- \circ What happens if a segment from an old connection arrives at a new connection?
- **Maximum Segment Lifetime**
	- Max time an old segment can live in the Internet
- TIME_WAIT State
	- \circ Connection remains in this state from two times the maximum segment lifetime

TCP Sliding Window Protocol

- Sequence numbers
	- Indices into byte stream
- **n** ACK sequence number
	- o Actually next byte expected as opposed to last byte received

TCP Sliding Window Protocol

Initial Sequence Number

- \circ Why not just use 0?
- **Practical issue**
	- \circ IP addresses and port #s uniquely identify a connection
	- \circ Eventually, though, these port #s do get used again
	- \circ ... small chance an old packet is still in flight
	- \circ ... and might be associated with new connection
- TCP requires (RFC793) changing ISN
	- \circ Set from 32-bit clock that ticks every 4 microseconds
	- \circ ... only wraps around once every 4.55 hours
- To establish a connection, hosts exchange ISNs

TCP Sliding Window Protocol

Advertised window

- Enables dynamic receive window size
- Receive buffers
	- Data ready for delivery to application until requested
	- Out-of-order data to maximum buffer capacity
- Sender buffers
	- Unacknowledged data
	- \circ Unsent data out to maximum buffer capacity

TCP Sliding Window Protocol – Sender Side

- ⁿ **LastByteAcked <= LastByteSent**
- ⁿ **LastByteSent <= LastByteWritten**
- ⁿ Buffer bytes between **LastByteAcked** and **LastByteWritten**

TCP Sliding Window Protocol – Receiver Side

- n **LastByteRead < NextByteExpected**
- n **NextByteExpected <= LastByteRcvd + 1**
- ⁿ Buffer bytes between **NextByteRead** and **LastByteRcvd**

Flow Control vs. Congestion **Control**

Flow control

- \circ Preventing senders from overrunning the capacity of the receivers
- Congestion control
	- \circ Preventing too much data from being injected into the network, causing switches or links to become overloaded
- Which one does TCP provide?
- TCP provides both
	- \circ Flow control based on advertised window
	- Congestion control discussed later in class

Advertised Window Limits Rate

- \blacksquare W = window size
	- Sender can send no faster than W/RTT bytes/sec
	- Receiver implicitly limits sender to rate that receiver can sustain
	- \circ If sender is going too fast, window advertisements get smaller & smaller

TCP Flow Control: Receiver

Receive buffer size

- ¡ = **MaxRcvBuffer**
- ¡ **LastByteRcvd - LastByteRead < = MaxRcvBuf**
- Advertised window
	- ¡ **= MaxRcvBuf - (NextByteExp - NextByteRead)**
	- \circ Shrinks as data arrives and
	- \circ Grows as the application consumes data

TCP Flow Control: Sender

- Send buffer size
	- ¡ = **MaxSendBuffer**
	- ¡ **LastByteSent - LastByteAcked < = AdvertWindow**
- Effective buffer
	- ¡ **= AdvertWindow - (LastByteSent - LastByteAck)**
	- ¡ **EffectiveWindow > 0 to send data**
- Relationship between sender and receiver
	- ¡ **LastByteWritten - LastByteAcked < = MaxSendBuffer**
	- ¡ **block sender if (LastByteWritten - LastByteAcked) + y > MaxSenderBuffer**

TCP Flow Control

Problem: Slow receiver application

- \circ Advertised window goes to 0
- \circ Sender cannot send more data
- \circ Non-data packets used to update window
- \circ Receiver may not spontaneously generate update or update may be lost
- **Solution**
	- \circ Sender periodically sends 1-byte segment, ignoring advertised window of 0
	- \circ Eventually window opens
	- \circ Sender learns of opening from next ACK of 1-byte segment

TCP Flow Control

- Problem: Application delivers tiny pieces of data to **TCP**
	- \circ Example: telnet in character mode
	- \circ Each piece sent as a segment, returned as ACK
	- Very inefficient
- **Solution**
	- \circ Delay transmission to accumulate more data
	- \circ Nagle's algorithm
		- Send first piece of data
		- Accumulate data until first piece ACK'd
		- n Send accumulated data and restart accumulation
		- Not ideal for some traffic (e.g., mouse motion)

TCP Flow Control

Problem: Slow application reads data in tiny pieces

- \circ Receiver advertises tiny window
- \circ Sender fills tiny window
- \circ Known as silly window syndrome
- **Solution**
	- \circ Advertise window opening only when MSS or $\frac{1}{2}$ of buffer is available
	- \circ Sender delays sending until window is MSS or $\frac{1}{2}$ of receiver's buffer (estimated)

TCP Bit Allocation Limitations

- Sequence numbers vs. packet lifetime
	- Assumed that IP packets live less than 60 seconds
	- \circ Can we send 2³² bytes in 60 seconds?
	- Less than an STS-12 line
- Advertised window vs. delay-bandwidth
	- Only 16 bits for advertised window
	- \circ Cross-country RTT = 100 ms
	- Adequate for only 5.24 Mbps!

TCP Sequence Numbers – 32-bit

TCP Advertised Window – 16-bit

Reasons for Retransmission

How Long Should Sender Wait?

- Sender sets a timeout to wait for an ACK
	- ¡ Too short
		- wasted retransmissions
	- ¡ Too long
		- excessive delays when packet lost

TCP Round Trip Time and **Timeout**

- How should TCP set its timeout value?
	- \circ Longer than RTT
		- **But RTT varies**
	- ¡ Too short
		- Premature timeout
		- **n** Unnecessary retransmissions
	- **Too long**
		- Slow reaction to segment loss
- **Estimating RTT**
	- o SampleRTT
		- Measured time from segment transmission until ACK receipt
		- Will vary
		- Want smoother estimated RTT
	- o Average several recent measurements
		- Not just current **SampleRTT**

TCP Adaptive Retransmission Algorithm - Original

Theory

- **Estimate RTT**
- Multiply by 2 to allow for variations

Practice

- Use exponential moving average (α = 0.1 to 0.2)
- Estimate = (α) * measurement + $(1-\alpha)$ * estimate
- Influence of past sample decreases exponentially fast

TCP Adaptive Retransmission Algorithm - Original

- Problem: What does an ACK really ACK?
	- \circ Was ACK in response to first, second, etc transmission?

TCP Adaptive Retransmission Algorithm – Karn-Partridge

Algorithm

- Exclude retransmitted packets from RTT estimate
- \circ For each retransmission
	- Double RTT estimate
	- Exponential backoff from congestion

TCP Adaptive Retransmission Algorithm – Karn-Partridge

Problem

- Still did not handle variations well
- Did not solve network congestion problems as well as desired
	- At high loads round trip variance is high

TCP Adaptive Retransmission Algorithm – Jacobson

Algorithm

- **Estimate variance of RTT**
	- ⁿ Calculate mean interpacket RTT deviation to approximate variance
	- Use second exponential moving average
	- Dev = (β) * |RTT_Est Sample| + $(1-\beta)$ * Dev
	- $β = 0.25$, A = 0.125 for RTT est
- ¡ Use variance estimate as component of RTT estimate
	- Next $RTT = RTT$ Est + 4 $*$ Dev
- \circ Protects against high jitter

TCP Adaptive Retransmission Algorithm – Jacobson

Notes

- Algorithm is only as good as the granularity of the clock
- Accurate timeout mechanism is important for congestion control

Evolution of TCP

TCP Through the 1990s

