TCP

CS/ECE 438: Spring 2014 Instructor: Matthew Caesar http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs438/

TCP Header



Last time: Components of a solution for reliable transport

- Checksums (for error detection)
- Timers (for loss detection)
- Acknowledgments
 - cumulative
 - selective
- Sequence numbers (duplicates, windows)
- Sliding Windows (for efficiency)
 - Go-Back-N (GBN)
 - Selective Replay (SR)

What does TCP do?

Many of our previous ideas, but some key differences

Checksum

TCP Header



What does TCP do?

Many of our previous ideas, but some key differences

- Checksum
- Sequence numbers are byte offsets

TCP: Segments and Sequence Numbers

TCP "Stream of Bytes" Service...

Application @ Host A



Application @ Host B

... Provided Using TCP "Segments"

Host A



TCP Segment



- IP packet
 - No bigger than Maximum Transmission Unit (MTU)
 - E.g., up to 1500 bytes with Ethernet
- TCP packet
 - IP packet with a TCP header and data inside
 - TCP header \geq 20 bytes long

TCP segment

- No more than Maximum Segment Size (MSS) bytes
- E.g., up to 1460 consecutive bytes from the stream
- MSS = MTU (IP header) (TCP header)

Sequence Numbers



Sequence Numbers



TCP Header



What does TCP do?

Most of our previous tricks, but a few differences

- Checksum
- Sequence numbers are byte offsets
- Receiver sends cumulative acknowledgements (like GBN)

ACKing and Sequence Numbers

- Sender sends packet
 - Data starts with sequence number X
 - Packet contains B bytes [X, X+1, X+2,X+B-1]
- Upon receipt of packet, receiver sends an ACK
 - If all data prior to X already received:
 - ACK acknowledges X+B (because that is next expected byte)
 - If highest in-order byte received is Y s.t. (Y+1) < X
 - ACK acknowledges Y+1
 - Even if this has been ACKed before

Normal Pattern

- Sender: seqno=X, length=B
- Receiver: ACK=X+B
- Sender: seqno=X+B, length=B
- Receiver: ACK=X+2B
- Sender: seqno=X+2B, length=B
- Seqno of next packet is same as last ACK field

TCP Header



What does TCP do?

Most of our previous tricks, but a few differences

- Checksum
- Sequence numbers are byte offsets
- Receiver sends cumulative acknowledgements (like GBN)
- Receivers can buffer out-of-sequence packets (like SR)

Loss with cumulative ACKs

- Sender sends packets with 100B and seqnos.:
 - 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900, ...
- Assume the fifth packet (seqno 500) is lost, but no others
- Stream of ACKs will be:
 - 200, 300, 400, 500, 500, 500, 500,...

What does TCP do?

Most of our previous tricks, but a few differences

- Checksum
- Sequence numbers are byte offsets
- Receiver sends cumulative acknowledgements (like GBN)
- Receivers may not drop out-of-sequence packets (like SR)
- Introduces fast retransmit: optimization that uses duplicate ACKs to trigger early retransmission

Loss with cumulative ACKs

- "Duplicate ACKs" are a sign of an <u>isolated</u> loss
 - The lack of ACK progress means 500 hasn't been delivered
 - Stream of ACKs means some packets are being delivered
- Therefore, could trigger resend upon receiving k duplicate ACKs
 - TCP uses k=3
- But response to loss is trickier....

Loss with cumulative ACKs

- Two choices:
 - Send missing packet and increase W by the number of dup ACKs
 - Send missing packet, and wait for ACK to increase W
- Which should TCP do?

What does TCP do?

Most of our previous tricks, but a few differences

- Checksum
- Sequence numbers are byte offsets
- Receiver sends cumulative acknowledgements (like GBN)
- Receivers do not drop out-of-sequence packets (like SR)
- Introduces fast retransmit: optimization that uses duplicate ACKs to trigger early retransmission
- Sender maintains a single retransmission timer (like GBN) and retransmits on timeout

Retransmission Timeout

 If the sender hasn't received an ACK by timeout, retransmit the first packet in the window

• How do we pick a timeout value?

Timing Illustration



Retransmission Timeout

- If haven't received ack by timeout, retransmit the first packet in the window
- How to set timeout?
 - Too long: connection has low throughput
 - Too short: retransmit packet that was just delayed
- Solution: make timeout proportional to RTT
- But how do we measure RTT?

RTT Estimation

Use exponential averaging of RTT samples

SampleRTT= AckRcvdTime-SendPacketTime EstimatedRTT = $\alpha \times EstimatedRTT + (1 - \alpha) \times SampleRTT$ $0 < \alpha \leq 1$





Exponential Averaging Example EstimatedRTT = $\alpha^*EstimatedRTT$ + $(1 - \alpha)^*SampleRTT$ Assume RTT is constant \Box SampleRTT = RTT



Problem: Ambiguous Measurements

• How do we differentiate between the real ACK, and ACK of the retransmitted packet?



Karn/Partridge Algorithm

- Measure *SampleRTT* only for original transmissions
 - Once a segment has been retransmitted, do not use it for any further measurements
- Computes EstimatedRTT using $\alpha = 0.875$
- Timeout value (RTO) = 2 × EstimatedRTT
- Employs exponential backoff
 - Every time RTO timer expires, set RTO $\leftarrow 2 \cdot \text{RTO}$
 - (Up to maximum \geq 60 sec)
 - Every time new measurement comes in (= successful original transmission), collapse RTO back to 2 × EstimatedRTT

Karn/Partridge in action

Figure 5: Performance of an RFC793 retransmit timer



Jacobson/Karels Algorithm

- Problem: need to better capture variability in RTT
 - Directly measure deviation

- Deviation = | SampleRTT EstimatedRTT |
- EstimatedDeviation: exponential average of Deviation

• RTO = EstimatedRTT + 4 x EstimatedDeviation

With Jacobson/Karels

Figure 6: Performance of a Mean+Variance retransmit timer



What does TCP do?

Most of our previous ideas, but some key differences

- Checksum
- Sequence numbers are byte offsets
- Receiver sends cumulative acknowledgements (like GBN)
- Receivers do not drop out-of-sequence packets (like SR)
- Introduces fast retransmit: optimization that uses duplicate ACKs to trigger early retransmission
- Sender maintains a single retransmission timer (like GBN) and retransmits on timeout

TCP Header: What's left?



TCP Header: What's left?



TCP Header: What's left?



TCP Connection Establishment and Initial Sequence Numbers

Initial Sequence Number (ISN)

- Sequence number for the very first byte
- Why not just use ISN = 0?
- Practical issue
 - IP addresses and port #s uniquely identify a connection
 - Eventually, though, these port #s do get used again
 - ... small chance an old packet is still in flight
- TCP therefore requires changing ISN
- Hosts exchange ISNs when they establish a connection

Establishing a TCP Connection





- Three-way handshake to establish connection
 - Host A sends a SYN (open; "synchronize sequence numbers") to host B
 - Host B returns a SYN acknowledgment (SYN ACK)
 - Host A sends an **ACK** to acknowledge the SYN ACK

TCP Header



Step 1: A's Initial SYN Packet



Step 2: B's SYN-ACK Packet



... upon receiving this packet, A can start sending data

Step 3: A's ACK of the SYN-ACK



... upon receiving this packet, B can start sending data

Timing Diagram: 3-Way Handshaking



What if the SYN Packet Gets Lost?

- Suppose the SYN packet gets lost
 - Packet is lost inside the network, or:
 - Server discards the packet (e.g., it's too busy)
- Eventually, no SYN-ACK arrives
 - Sender sets a timer and waits for the SYN-ACK
 - ... and retransmits the SYN if needed
- How should the TCP sender set the timer?
 - Sender has no idea how far away the receiver is
 - Hard to guess a reasonable length of time to wait
 - SHOULD (RFCs 1122 & 2988) use default of 3 seconds
 - Some implementations instead use 6 seconds

SYN Loss and Web Downloads

- User clicks on a hypertext link
 - Browser creates a socket and does a "connect"
 - The "connect" triggers the OS to transmit a SYN
- If the SYN is lost...
 - 3-6 seconds of delay: can be very long
 - User may become impatient
 - ... and click the hyperlink again, or click "reload"
- User triggers an "abort" of the "connect"
 - Browser creates a new socket and another "connect"
 - Essentially, forces a faster send of a new SYN packet!
 - Sometimes very effective, and the page comes quickly

Tearing Down the Connection

Normal Termination, One Side At A Time



Normal Termination, Both Together



Same as before, but B sets FIN with their ack of A's FIN

Abrupt Termination



- A sends a RESET (RST) to B
 - E.g., because application process on A crashed
- That's it
 - B does not ack the RST
 - Thus, **RST** is not delivered reliably
 - And: any data in flight is lost
 - But: if B sends anything more, will elicit another RST

TCP Header



TCP State Transitions



An Simpler View of the Client Side



TCP Header



TCP Header



Recap: Sliding Window (so far)

Both sender & receiver maintain a window

- Left edge of window:
 - Sender: beginning of unacknowledged data
 - Receiver: beginning of undelivered data
- Right edge: Left edge + *constant*
 - constant only limited by buffer size in the transport layer

Sliding Window at Sender (so far)



Sliding Window at Receiver (so far)



Solution: Advertised Window (Flow Control)

- Receiver uses an "Advertised Window" (W) to prevent sender from overflowing its window
 - Receiver indicates value of W in ACKs
 - Sender limits number of bytes it can have in flight <= W

Sliding Window at Receiver



Sliding Window at Sender (so far)



Sliding Window w/ Flow Control

- Sender: window advances when new data ack'd
- Receiver: window advances as receiving process consumes data
- Receiver advertises to the sender where the receiver window currently ends ("righthand edge")
 - Sender agrees not to exceed this amount

Advertised Window Limits Rate

- Sender can send no faster than W/RTT bytes/sec
- Receiver only advertises more space when it has consumed old arriving data
- In original TCP design, that was the sole protocol mechanism controlling sender's rate
- What's missing?

Taking Stock (1)

- The concepts underlying TCP are simple
 - acknowledgments (feedback)
 - timers
 - sliding windows
 - buffer management
 - sequence numbers

Taking Stock (1)

- The concepts underlying TCP are simple
- But tricky in the details
 - How do we set timers?
 - What is the seqno for an ACK-only packet?
 - What happens if advertised window = 0?
 - What if the advertised window is $\frac{1}{2}$ an MSS?
 - Should receiver acknowledge packets right away?
 - What if the application generates data in units of 0.1 MSS?
 - What happens if I get a duplicate SYN? Or a RST while I'm in FIN_WAIT, etc., etc., etc.

Taking Stock (1)

- The concepts underlying TCP are simple
- But tricky in the details
- Do the details matter?

Sizing Windows for Congestion Control

- What are the problems?
- How might we address them?

Taking Stock (2)

- We've covered: K&R 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5
- Next lecture (congestion control)
 - K&R 3.6 and 3.7
- The midterm will cover all the above (K&R Ch. 3)
- The next topic (Naming) will not be on the midterm