



Quality of Service

[Quality of Service]

- How “good” are late data and low-throughput channels?
- It depends on the application. Do you care if...
 - Your e-mail takes 1/2 hour to reach your friend?
 - You have to spend 1/2 hour to make a cheaper plane reservation on the Web?
 - Your call to 911 takes 1/2 hour to go through your nifty new IP phone service?



[Application Requirements]

- Internet currently provides one single class of “best-effort” service
 - No assurances about delivery
- High speed networks have enabled new applications
 - Require “deliver on time” assurances from the network
 - Real-time applications
 - Sensitive to the timeliness of their data
 - Voice
 - Video
 - Industrial control



[Timely Delivery]

- How to achieve timely delivery
 - When actual RTT small ($< 2/3$) relative to acceptable delay
 - Retransmit
 - When base RTT (no queuing delay) large (> 2) relative to acceptable delay
 - Impossible
 - Otherwise possible, but not through retransmission




[Timely Delivery]

- Within the 48-state U.S.
 - Base RTT (no queueing delay) peaks around 75 msec
 - Actual RTT is often 10-100 msec
- Humans notice about 50 msec delay for voice
 - Use erasure codes across packets, or
 - Support delay preferences in the network; called quality of service, or QoS




Real-time Applications

- Two types of applications
 - Hard real-time
 - Elastic (soft real-time)
- Example real-time application requirements - audio
 - Sample voice once every $125\mu\text{s}$
 - Each packet has a playback time
 - Packets experience variable delay in network
 - Add constant factor to playback time – playback point




[Real-Time Applications]

■ Playback Buffer



Delay Distribution

What is a good delay?



[Quality of Service Approaches]

- Approach : Admission control
 - Flow tells the network what it wants
 - Network decides if flow can be admitted
- Fine-grained
 - Provide QoS to individual applications or flows
 - Example: Resource Reservation Protocol (RSVP)
- Coarse-grained
 - Provide QoS to large classes of data or aggregated flows
 - Example: Differentiated Services (DIFFSERV)



[Mechanisms]

- Flow specification
 - Tell the network what the flow wants
- Admission control
 - Network decides if it can handle flow
- Reservation
 - Enable admission control
- Packet classification
 - Map packets to flows
- Scheduling
 - Forwarding policy



[Characterizing a Flow]

■ Describe flow's traffic characterization


- Average bandwidth + burstiness: token bucket filter
- Token rate: r
- Bucket depth: B

■ Use

- Must have a token to send a byte
- Must have n tokens to send n bytes
- Start with no tokens
- Accumulate tokens at rate of r per second
- Can accumulate no more than B tokens



[Token Bucket Filters]




Dropping Filter: drops packets if token is not available


Buffered Filter: buffers data until tokens become available




[Token Bucket Operation]



Buffer tokens up to capacity of bucket



Enough tokens → packet goes through, tokens removed




Not enough tokens → wait for tokens to accumulate

[Token Bucket Filters]

■ Question

- Given a finite length data stream, will it be affected by a token bucket filter?



Not if during every time interval, the number of bytes is less than or equal to $B + rt$, where t is the length of the interval

- Given a token rate r and a finite data trace, how can the minimum token bucket size B be found such that the filter has no effect?



[Token Bucket Filters]


- Given a token rate r and a finite data trace, how can the minimum token bucket size B be found such that the filter has no effect?



- Simply observe the maximum buffer size
 - Why?
 - If the buffer is truncated to size B , then the number of empty buffer positions is equivalent to the number of tokens in an (r, B) token bucket filter



Token Bucket Filters




- The number of empty buffer positions for buffer size B and a constant rate server is equivalent to the number of tokens in an (r, B) token bucket filter



[Token Bucket Filters]

- $r = 15 \text{ kbps}$




- What is the minimum size of B required so that the filter lets the stream pass with no loss or delay?



[Token Bucket Filters]

- $r = 15 \text{ kbps}$




- $\text{Min } B =$
 $(40 - 15) * 20 +$
 $(50 - 15) * 10 -$
 $(15 - 10) * 20 +$
 $(20 - 15) * 40$
 $= 950 \text{ bits}$



Token Bucket Filters

- What is the minimum B needed for arbitrary $r > 0$
- If $r \geq 50$
 $B = 0$
- If $50 > r \geq 40$
 $\text{Min } B = (50 - r) * 10$
- If $40 > r \geq 20$
 $\text{Min } B = (40 - r) * 20 + (50 - r) * 10$
- If $20 > r \geq 10$
 $\text{Min } B = (40 - r) * 20 + (50 - r) * 10 - (r - 10) * 20 + (20 - r) * 40$
- If $10 > r \geq 0$
 $\text{Min } B = (40 - r) * 20 + (50 - r) * 10 + (10 - r) * 20 + (20 - r) * 40$



Differentiated Services

■ Goal

- Scalability through the use of only a small number of service classes
 - Two classes
 - Regular and premium (i.e. first class and bulk mail)
 - Diffserv
 - Proposes 6 bits of IP ToS field ($2^6 = 64$ classes)

■ Questions

- Who is allowed to set the premium bit?
 - Typically an ISP
 - Should we allow an individual customer or application?
- How do routers react to such a classification?
 - IETF has specified per-hop behavior



Differentiated Services

- Expedited forwarding
 - Per-hop behavior
 - Need to strictly limit the load of traffic receiving expedited forwarding
 - Give strict priority
 - Use weighted fair queueing (WFQ) and assign sufficiently large weights for traffic receiving expedited forwarding



Differentiated Services

- Assured forwarding
 - Per-hop behavior
 - Like RED but with “in” and “out” packets (RIO)
 - Does not reorder packets
 - For more than two classes of traffic, use weighted RED
- Profile meters at the edges of ISP networks could mark packets as “in” or “out”

