## Chapter 3 – Instruction-Level Parallelism and its Exploitation (Part 3)

ILP vs. Parallel Computers

Dynamic Scheduling (Section 3.4, 3.5)

Dynamic Branch Prediction (Section 3.3, 3.9, and Appendix C)

Hardware Speculation and Precise Interrupts (Section 3.6)

Multiple Issue (Section 3.7)

Static Techniques (Section 3.2, Appendix H)

Limitations of ILP

Multithreading (Section 3.11)

Putting it Together (Mini-projects)

## **Beyond Pipelining (Section 3.7)**

Limits on Pipelining

- Latch overheads & signal skew
- Unpipelined instruction issue logic (Flynn limit:  $CPI \ge 1$ )

Two techniques for parallelism in instruction issue

- Superscalar or multiple issue
  - Hardware determines which of next *n* instructions can issue in parallel
  - Maybe statically or dynamically scheduled
- VLIW Very Long Instruction Word
  - Compiler packs multiple independent operations into an instruction

### Simple 5-Stage Superscalar Pipeline

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
i	ΙF	ID	ΕX	MEM	WB				
i+1	ΙF	ID	ΕX	MEM	WB				
i+2		ΙF	ID	ΕX	MEM	WB			
i+3		ΙF	ID	ΕX	MEM	WB			
i+4			ΙF	ID	ΕX	MEM	WB		
i+5			ΙF	ID	ΕX	MEM	WB		
i+6				ΙF	ID	ΕX	MEM	WB	
i+7				ΙF	ID	ΕX	MEM	WB	
i+8					ΙF	ID	ΕX	MEM	WB
i+9					ΙF	ID	ΕX	MEM	WB

## Superscalar, cont.

- IF Parallel access to I-cache Require alignment?
- ID Replicate logic Fixed-length instructions? HANDLE INTRA-CYCLE HAZARDS
- EX Parallel/pipelined (as before)
- MEM > 1 per cycle? If so, hazards & multi-ported D-cache
- WB Different register files? Multi-ported register files?
- Progression: Integer + floating-point Any two instructions Any four instructions Any n instructions?

## Example Superscalar

Assume two instructions per cycle

One integer, load/store, or branch

One floating point

Could require 64-bit alignment and ordering of instruction pair.

- IF IF FI
- IF FI FI
- OK NOT NOT OK OK

Best case

CPI = 0.5

But ....



Hazards are a big problem

Loads

Latency is 1 cycle Was 1 instruction NOW 3 instructions

Branches

NOW 3 instructions

Floating point loads and stores May cause structural hazards Additional ports? Additional stalls?

Parallelism required =



Hazards are a big problem

Loads

Latency is 1 cycle Was 1 instruction NOW 3 instructions

Branches

NOW 3 instructions

Floating point loads and stores

May cause structural hazards

Additional ports?

Additional stalls?

Parallelism required = superscalar degree x operation latency

## Static Techniques for ILP - VLIW Processors

VLIW = Very Long Instruction Word Processors

Static multiple issue

Compiler packs multiple *independent* operations into an instruction Like horizontal microcode

Versus Superscalar

VLIW = Very Long Instruction Word Processors

Static multiple issue

Compiler packs multiple *independent* operations into an instruction Like horizontal microcode

Versus Superscalar

- + Issue logic simpler
- + Potentially exploit more parallelism

VLIW = Very Long Instruction Word Processors

Static multiple issue

Compiler packs multiple *independent* operations into an instruction Like horizontal microcode

Versus Superscalar

- + Issue logic simpler
- + Potentially exploit more parallelism
- Code size explosion
- Complex compiler
- Binary compatibility difficult across generations

VLIW = Very Long Instruction Word Processors

Static multiple issue

Compiler packs multiple *independent* operations into an instruction Like horizontal microcode

Versus Superscalar

- + Issue logic simpler
- + Potentially exploit more parallelism
- Code size explosion
- Complex compiler
- Binary compatibility difficult across generations

Recent VLIWs overcome some problems (e.g., Intel/HP IA-64, TI C6)

### **Limitations of Multi-Issue Machines**

Inherent limitations of ILP

Difficulties in building hardware

Increase ports to registers

Increase ports to memory

Duplicate FUs

Decoding in superscalar and impact on clock rate

Limitations specific to VLIW

Code size, binary compatibility

### **Compiler Techniques to Expose ILP**

Many compiler techniques exist

- Several used for multiprocessors as well
- Our focus on techniques specifically for ILP

### Add scalar to vector

```
Loop: L.D F0, 0(R1)

stall

ADD.D F4, F0, F2

stall

stall

S.D 0(R1), F4

DSUBUI R1, R1, #8

stall

BNEZ R1, Loop

stall
```

### With scheduling

```
Loop: L.D F0, 0(R1)
DSUBUI R1, R1, #8
ADD.D F4, F0, F2
stall
BNEZ R1, Loop ; Assume delayed branch
S.D 8(R1), F4
```

# Loop Unrolling

### Unrolling the loop

```
Loop: L.D FO, O(R1)
      ADD.D F4, F0, F2
      S.D 0(R1), F4
      L.D F6, -8(R1)
      ADD.D F8, F6, F2
      S.D -8(R1), F8
      L.D F10, -16(R1)
      ADD.D F12, F10, F2
      S.D -16(R1), F12
      L.D F14, -24(R1)
      ADD.D F16, F14, F2
      S.D -24(R1), F16
      DSUBUI R1, R1, #32
      BNEZ R1, Loop; Assume delayed branch
```

#### **Rename registers**

Remove some branch overhead (calculate intermediate values)

# Loop Unrolling

### Scheduling the loop for simple pipeline

```
Loop: L.D F0, O(R1)
      L.D F6, -8(R1)
      L.D F10, -16(R1)
      L.D F14, -24(R1)
      ADD.D F4, F0, F2
      ADD.D F8, F6, F2
      ADD.D F12, F10, F2
      ADD.D F16, F14, F2
      S.D 0(R1), F4
      S.D -8(R1), F8
      S.D - 16(R1), F12
      DSUBUI R1, R1, #32
      BNEZ R1, Loop ; Assume delayed branch
      S.D 8(R1), F16
```

### How to schedule for multiple issue?

## Software Pipelining (Section H.3)

Pipeline loops in software

**Pipelined loop iteration** 

Executes instructions from multiple iterations of original loop

Separates dependent instructions

Less code than unrolling

### Software Pipelining – Example

LOOP													
STAR	T-UP	i=3	• • •	i=N	FINISH	FINISH-UP							
A1	A2	A3	Ai	AN									
В1	В2	в3	Bi	BN									
	*1	*2	*i-1	*N-1	*N								
		+1	+i-2	+N-2	+N-1	+N							

Loop unrolling and software pipelining work well for straightline code

What if code has branches?

Global scheduling techniques Trace scheduling Compiler predicts most frequently executed execution path (trace) Schedules this path and inserts repair code for mispredictions

### **Trace Scheduling - Example**

```
b[i] = ``old''
a[i] =
if (a[i] == 0) then
        b[i] = ``new''; common case
else
        X
endif
c[i] =
```

#### Until done

Select most common path - a trace Schedule trace across basic blocks Repair other paths

```
trace to be scheduled:
b[i] = ``old''
a[i] =
b[i] = ``new''
c[i] =
if (a[i] != 0) goto A
```

```
repair code:
A: restore old b[i]
    X
    maybe recalculate c[i]
    goto B
```

## Hardware Support to Expose Compile-Time ILP

Compiler scheduling limited by knowledge of branch behavior

Hardware support to help compiler

Predicated (or guarded or conditional) instructions

Hardware support for compiler speculation

### **Predicated Instructions (Section H.4)**

Used to convert control dependence to data dependence Instruction executed based on a predicate (or guard or condition) If condition is false, then no result write or exceptions

## **Predicated Instructions (Cont.)**

Example

```
if (condition) then {
        A = B;
}
...
```

Convert to:

. . .

R1 ← result of condition evaluation *A* = *B* predicated on R1

Hardware can schedule instructions across the branch

```
Alpha, MIPS, PowerPC, SPARC V9, x86 (Pentium) have conditional moves
```

IA-64 has general predication - 64 1-bit predicate bits

Limitations

```
Takes a clock even if annulled
```

### Hardware Support for Compiler Speculation (Section H.5)

Successful compiler scheduling requires

- Preservation of exception behavior on speculation
- Mechanism to speculatively reorder memory operations

## Hardware for Preserving Exception Behavior

What if there is an exception on a speculative instruction?

Distinguish between two classes of exceptions

- (1) Indicate program error and require termination (e.g., protection violation)
- (2) Can be handled and program resumed (e.g., page fault)
- Type (2) can be handled immediately even for speculative instructions
- Type (1) requires more support

Poison bits

## **Poison Bits**

Hardware support

- A poison bit for each register
- A speculation bit for each instruction
- If a speculative instruction sees an exception
  - it sets poison bit of destination
- If a speculative instruction sees poison bit set for source
  - it propagates poison bit to its destination
- If normal instruction sees poison bit for source, takes exception
- Normal instruction resets poison bit of destination register

## Hardware for Memory Speculation

How to reorder memory ops if compiler is not sure of addresses? Consider moving a load

Insert a special check instruction at original location of load When load is executed, hardware saves its address If there is a store to L's address before the check instruction Redo load Branch to fix up code if other instructions already used load's value