CS 421 Lecture 8: Top-down parsing

- Lecture outline
 - Recursive-descent formalized
 - FIRST sets
 - LL(1) condition
 - Transformations to LL(1) form
 - Grammars for expressions

Review: context-free grammar

- Given:
 - Set of terminals (tokens) T
 - Set of non-terminals (variables) V
- A cfg G is a set of productions of the form
 - $A \rightarrow X_1 \dots X_n \quad (n \ge 0)$

where

- $A \in V, X_1 \dots X_n \in G = V \cup T$
- One symbol designated as "start symbol"

Top-down parsing: outline

- Top-down parsing
 - Start parsing with start symbol
 - Apply production rules one by one
- More than one production for rule A
 - Look at the next token to decide which production to apply

Top-down parsing: pseudocode

For each non-terminal with productions

•
$$A \to X_1 \dots X_n \mid Y_1 \dots Y_n \mid \dots \mid Z_1 \dots Z_n$$

Define parseA:

```
parseA toklis = choose production based on hd toklis: if A \to X_1 ... X_n: handle X_1 ... X_n else if A \to Y_1 ... Y_n: handle Y_1 ... Y_n else if ... handle X_1 ... X_n: handle X_2; ...; handle X_n where handle t : if hd toklis = t then remove t and continue else error
```

"choose production based on hd toklis"

- Need to formalize some things...
- Define \Rightarrow
 - "Derives in one step"
- X₁ ... X_n ⇒ w₁ ... w_n, where X_i ∈ G and w_i ∈ G* if there exists j such that X_j → w_j is a production in G, and for all i≠j, X_i = w_j
 ⇒ + and ⇒* are the transitive and reflexive-transitive
- \Rightarrow and \Rightarrow are the transitive and reflexive-transitive closures of \Rightarrow .
 - Say $X_1 \dots X_n$ derives α if $X_1 \dots X_n \Rightarrow^* \alpha$.
 - *E.g.*, α is a sequence of G if the start symbol of G derives α and α consists solely of tokens.

More Definitions

- $X_1 \dots X_n$ is *nullable* if it can derive ε .
- FIRST($X_1 \dots X_n$) = { $t \in T \mid X_1 \dots X_n \Rightarrow^* t \alpha$ for some α } $\cup \{ \mid X_1 \dots X_n \mid X_$
- G is *left-recursive* if there exists A: $A \Rightarrow^+ A\alpha$ for some α .
- G is *LL(1)* if
 - G is not left-recursive, and
 - $\forall A$, if the productions of A are: $A \rightarrow X \mid Y \mid ... \mid Z$ then the sets FIRST(X), ..., FIRST(Z) are pairwise disjoint.

Top-down parsing: revisited

If G is LL(1), then for each non-terminal A with productions

•
$$A \rightarrow X_1 \dots X_n \mid Y_1 \dots Y_n \mid \dots \mid Z_1 \dots Z_n$$

Define parseA:

```
parseA toklis = let t = hd toklis in if t \in FIRST(X_1 \dots X_n) then handle X_1 \dots X_n else if t \in FIRST(Y_1 \dots Y_n) then handle Y_1 \dots Y_n else if ... else if t \in FIRST(Z_1 \dots Z_n) or \_ \in FIRST(Z_1 \dots Z_n) handle Z_1 \dots Z_n else error handle X_1 \dots X_n: handle X_1 \dots X_n; handle X_2 \dots X_n; handle X_n handle t : if hd toklis = t then remove t and continue else error
```

Transformation to LL(1)

Left refactoring:

- $A \rightarrow \alpha\beta$ | $\alpha\gamma$
- $\blacksquare \Rightarrow$
 - A → α B
 - $B \rightarrow \beta \mid \gamma$

Left-recursion removal:

- $A \rightarrow A\alpha \mid \beta$
- \Rightarrow
 - A → β B
 - $B \rightarrow \epsilon \mid \alpha \mid B$

Example

- Consider non-LL(1) grammar 3 from previous class:
 - A → id | '(' B ')'
 - $B \rightarrow A \mid A'+'B$
- Grammar 3 transformed to LL(1) form:
 - A → id | '(' B ')'
 - B → A C
 - $C \rightarrow '+' A C \mid \epsilon$

Ambiguity

- More than one valid parse tree for one input
- No test for ambiguity
- Recursive descent and LR(1) parsing not applicable to ambiguous grammar
 - Possible to "cheat" with LR parser will see how next week

Expression grammars

- Expressions are challenging for several reasons
 - Should be LL(1) and LR(1)
 - Grammar should enforce precedence, if possible
 - Grammar should enforce associativity, if possible
 - Grammar shouldn't be ambiguous
 - Should be easy to construct abstract syntax tree
- Especially hard to write LL(1) parser for expressions
 - Not so hard for LR(1)

Enforcing precedence

Consider:

$$x * y + z$$

How should we parse?

Enforcing associativity

Consider:

$$x = y = z + 1$$

How should we parse?

Example: expression grammars

- Some expression grammars:
 - G_A : $E \rightarrow id \mid E E \mid E * E$
 - $G_B: E \rightarrow id \mid id E \mid id * E$
 - G_{C} : $E \rightarrow id \mid E id \mid E * id$

Example: G_A

- G_A : $E \rightarrow id \mid E E \mid E * E$
 - Ambiguity?
 - LR(1)/LL(1)?
 - Precedence?
 - Associativity?
 - x-y*z

Example: G_B

- $G_B: E \rightarrow id \mid id E \mid id * E$
 - Ambiguity?
 - LR(1)/LL(1)?
 - Precedence?
 - Associativity?
 - x-y*z
 - x * y z
 - x y z

Example: G_C

- $G_{\mathcal{C}}$: $E \rightarrow id \mid E id \mid E * id$
 - Ambiguity?
 - LR(1)/LL(1)?
 - Precedence?
 - Associativity?
 - x y * z
 - x * y z
 - x-y-z

Example: more expression grammars

Some more expression grammars:

•
$$G_D$$
: $E \rightarrow T - E \mid T$
 $T \rightarrow id \mid id * T$

•
$$G_E: E \rightarrow E - T \mid T$$

 $T \rightarrow id \mid T * id$

•
$$G_{F}: E \rightarrow T E'$$

$$E' \rightarrow \epsilon \mid -E$$

$$T \rightarrow id T'$$

$$T' \rightarrow \epsilon \mid *T$$

Example: G_D

- $G_D: E \rightarrow T E \mid T$ $T \rightarrow id \mid id * T$
 - Ambiguity?
 - LR(1)/LL(1)?
 - Precedence?
 - Associativity?
 - x y * z
 - x * y z
 - x y z

Example: G_E

- G_E : $E \rightarrow E T \mid T$ $T \rightarrow id \mid T * id$
 - Ambiguity?
 - LR(1)/LL(1)?
 - Precedence?
 - Associativity?
 - x y * z
 - x * y z
 - x y z

Example: G_F

•
$$G_{F}: E \rightarrow T E'$$

$$E' \rightarrow \varepsilon \mid -E$$

$$T \rightarrow id T'$$

$$T' \rightarrow \varepsilon \mid *T$$

- Ambiguity?
- LR(1)/LL(1)?
- Precedence?
- Associativity?
- x y * z
- x * y z
- x y z

Next class

- More parsing (yay!)
 - Bottom-up parsing
 - LR(1)