# Programming Languages and Compilers (CS 421)

Grigore Rosu 2110 SC, UIUC

http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs421

Slides by Elsa Gunter, based in part on slides by Mattox Beckman, as updated by Vikram Adve and Gul Agha

### Semantics

- Expresses the meaning of syntax
- Static semantics
  - Meaning based only on the form of the expression without executing it
  - Usually restricted to type checking / type inference



- Method of describing meaning of executing a program
- Several different types:
  - Operational Semantics
  - Axiomatic Semantics
  - Denotational Semantics



#### **Dynamic Semantics**

- Different languages better suited to different types of semantics
- Different types of semantics serve different purposes

#### **Operational Semantics**

- Start with a simple notion of machine
- Describe how to execute (implement)
   programs of language on virtual machine, by
   describing how to execute each program
   statement (ie, following the structure of the
   program)
- Meaning of program is how its execution changes the state of the machine
- Useful as basis for implementations

#### **Axiomatic Semantics**

- Also called Floyd-Hoare Logic
- Based on formal logic (first order predicate calculus)
- Axiomatic Semantics is a logical system built from axioms and inference rules
- Mainly suited to simple imperative programming languages

### Axiomatic Semantics

- Used to formally prove a property (post-condition) of the state (the values of the program variables) after the execution of program, assuming another property (pre-condition) of the state before execution
- Written : {Precondition} Program {Postcondition}
- Source of idea of loop invariant



#### **Denotational Semantics**

- Construct a function M assigning a mathematical meaning to each program construct
- Lambda calculus often used as the range of the meaning function
- Meaning function is compositional: meaning of construct built from meaning of parts
- Useful for proving properties of programs



#### **Natural Semantics**

- Aka Structural Operational Semantics, aka "Big Step Semantics"
- Provide value for a program by rules and derivations, similar to type derivations
- Rule conclusions look like

```
(C, m) ↓ m'
or
(E, m) ↓ v
```

### -

#### Simple Imperative Programming Language

- $I \in Identifiers$
- $N \in Numerals$
- B::= true | false | B & B | B or B | not B
   | E < E | E = E</li>
- E::= N / I / E + E / E \* E / E E / E
- C::= skip | C; C | I ::= E
   | if B then C else C fi | while B do C od



#### **Natural Semantics of Atomic Expressions**

- Identifiers:  $(I,m) \lor m(I)$
- Numerals are values: (N,m) ↓ N
- Booleans:  $(true, m) \lor true$   $(false, m) \lor false$



$$(B, m)$$
 ↓ false  $(B \& B', m)$  ↓ false

$$(B, m)$$
 ↓ true  $(B', m)$  ↓ b  $(B \& B', m)$  ↓ b

$$(B, m)$$
 ↓ true  $(B \text{ or } B', m)$  ↓ true

$$(B, m)$$
 ↓ true  
(not  $B, m$ ) ↓ false

$$(B, m)$$
  $\Downarrow$  false (not  $B, m$ )  $\Downarrow$  true



$$(E, m) \downarrow U \quad (E', m) \downarrow V \quad U \sim V = b$$
$$(E \sim E', m) \downarrow b$$

- By U ~ V = b, we mean does (the meaning of) the relation ~ hold on the meaning of U and V
- May be specified by a mathematical expression/equation or rules matching *U* and



#### **Arithmetic Expressions**

$$(E, m) \Downarrow U \quad (E', m) \Downarrow V \quad U \text{ op } V = N$$

$$(E \text{ op } E', m) \Downarrow N$$
where  $N$  is the specified value for  $U \text{ op } V$ 



Skip:

(skip, m)  $\downarrow m$ 

**Assignment:** 

$$\frac{(E,m) \Downarrow V}{(I::=E,m) \Downarrow m[I <-- V]}$$

Sequencing: 
$$(C,m) \downarrow m'$$
  $(C',m') \downarrow m''$   $(C',C',m) \downarrow m''$ 



#### If Then Else Command

(B,m) ↓ true (C,m) ↓ m'(if B then C else C'fi, m) ↓ m'



#### While Command

$$(B,m) \downarrow \text{false}$$
  
(while  $B \text{ do } C \text{ od}, m) \downarrow m$ 

(B,m) ↓ true (C,m) ↓ m' (while B do C od, m') ↓ m'' (while B do C od, m) ↓ m''



#### Example: If Then Else Rule

(if x > 5 then y:= 2 + 3 else y:=3 + 4 fi,  
$$\{x -> 7\}$$
)  $\downarrow$  ?



#### Example: If Then Else Rule



#### **Example: Arith Relation**

```
? > ? = ?

(x,(x->7)) (5,(x->7))?

(x > 5, (x -> 7))?

(if x > 5 then y:= 2 + 3 else y:= 3 + 4 fi, (x -> 7)) (x -> 7)
```

# 4

#### Example: Identifier(s)

$$7 > 5 = \text{true}$$
  
 $(x,(x->7))$   $(5,(x->7))$   $(x > 5, (x -> 7))$   $(x > 5, (x -> 7))$   $(x > 5)$   $(x > 7)$   $(x > 7)$   $(x -> 7)$ 



#### **Example: Arith Relation**

```
7 > 5 = true

(x,(x->7)) √7 (5,(x->7)) √5

(x > 5, (x -> 7)) √true

(if x > 5 then y:= 2 + 3 else y:=3 + 4 fi,

(x -> 7) √?
```



#### Example: If Then Else Rule



#### **Example: Assignment**



#### Example: Arith Op

```
? + ? = ?
                            (2,\{x->7\})\Downarrow? (3,\{x->7\})\Downarrow?
                                         (2+3, \{x->7\})\Downarrow?
       7 > 5 = true
                                         (y:=2+3, \{x->7\}
(x,(x->7)) (5,(x->7)) (5,(x->7))
                                          (x > 5, \{x -> 7\}) \cup true
       (if x > 5 then y = 2 + 3 else y = 3 + 4 fi,
                         \{x -> 7\}) \downarrow ?
```



#### **Example: Numerals**

$$2 + 3 = 5$$

$$(2,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 2 \quad (3,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 3$$

$$7 > 5 = \text{true} \qquad (2+3,\{x->7\}) \downarrow ?$$

$$(x,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 7 \quad (5,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 5 \qquad (y:= 2+3,\{x->7\})$$

$$(x > 5, \{x -> 7\}) \downarrow \text{true} \qquad \downarrow ?$$

$$(if x > 5 \text{ then } y:= 2+3 \text{ else } y:=3+4 \text{ fi,}$$

$$\{x -> 7\}) \downarrow ?$$



#### Example: Arith Op

```
2 + 3 = 5
                            (2,\{x->7\})\downarrow2 (3,\{x->7\})\downarrow3
                                        (2+3, \{x->7\}) \downarrow 5
      7 > 5 = true
(x,(x->7)) (5,(x->7)) 5
                                         (y:= 2 + 3, \{x-> 7\})
   (x > 5, \{x -> 7\}) \cup true
                                          (if x > 5 then y = 2 + 3 else y = 3 + 4 fi,
                         \{x -> 7\}) \downarrow ?
```



#### Example: Assignment

```
2 + 3 = 5
                              (2,\{x->7\}) \downarrow (3,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 3
                                           (2+3, \{x->7\}) \downarrow 5
       7 > 5 = true
(x,(x->7)) (5,(x->7)) (5,(x->7))
                                            (y:= 2 + 3, \{x-> 7\})
                                            \forall \{x->7, y->5\}
     (x > 5, \{x -> 7\}) \cup true
        (if x > 5 then y = 2 + 3 else y = 3 + 4 fi,
                           \{x -> 7\}) \downarrow ?
```



#### Example: If Then Else Rule

```
2 + 3 = 5
                              (2,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 2 (3,\{x->7\}) \downarrow 3
                                           (2+3, \{x->7\}) \downarrow 5
       7 > 5 = true
(x,(x->7)) (5,(x->7)) (5,(x->7))
                                            (y:= 2 + 3, \{x-> 7\})
                                              \forall \{x->7, y->5\}
    (x > 5, \{x -> 7\}) \cup true
        (if x > 5 then y := 2 + 3 else y := 3 + 4 fi,
                    \{x -> 7\}) \downarrow \{x->7, y->5\}
```



#### Let in Command

$$\frac{(E,m) \ \forall \ (C,m[I < -\nu]) \ \forall \ m'}{(\text{let } I = E \text{ in } C, m) \ \forall \ m''}$$

Where m''(y) = m'(y) for  $y \neq I$  and m''(I) = m(I) if m(I) is defined, and m''(I) is undefined otherwise





### Comment

- Simple Imperative Programming Language introduces variables implicitly through assignment
- The let-in command introduces scoped variables explictly
- Clash of constructs apparent in awkward semantics

### Interpretation Versus Compilation

- A compiler from language L1 to language L2 is a program that takes an L1 program and for each piece of code in L1 generates a piece of code in L2 of same meaning
- An interpreter of L1 in L2 is an L2 program that executes the meaning of a given L1 program
- Compiler would examine the body of a loop once; an interpreter would examine it every time the loop was executed

### Interpreter

- An *Interpreter* represents the operational semantics of a language L1 (source language) in the language of implementation L2 (target language)
- Built incrementally
  - Start with literals
  - Variables
  - Primitive operations
  - Evaluation of expressions
  - Evaluation of commands/declarations

#### Interpreter

- Takes abstract syntax trees as input
  - In simple cases could be just strings
- One procedure for each syntactic category (nonterminal)
  - eg one for expressions, another for commands
- If Natural semantics used, tells how to compute final value from code
- If Transition semantics used, tells how to compute next "state"
  - To get final value, put in a loop

## 4

#### **Natural Semantics Example**

- compute\_exp (Var(v), m) = look\_up v m
- compute\_exp (Int(n), \_) = Num (n)
- ...

```
compute_com(IfExp(b,c1,c2),m) =
   if compute_exp (b,m) = Bool(true)
   then compute_com (c1,m)
   else compute_com (c2,m)
```

# 4

#### **Natural Semantics Example**

```
compute_com(While(b,c), m) =
  if compute_exp (b,m) = Bool(false)
  then m
  else compute_com
    (While(b,c), compute_com(c,m))
```

- May fail to terminate exceed stack limits
- Returns no useful information then