

Pattern-matching (cont.)

- Pattern-matching allows us to define functions on larger tuples:
- Ex: `fst_of_3` returns the first member of a triple, e.g. `fst_of_3 (4.0, 3, 2) = 4.0`. Define it in two different ways:

let fst_of_3 (x, y, z) = x

*let fst_of_3 t = let (x, y, z) = t
in x*

Curried vs. uncurried functions

Consider two similar function definitions:

```
let sum1 x y = x+y;;  
let sum2 (x,y) = x+y;;
```

- Show a correct call to each of these functions:

sum1 3 4

sum2 (3,4)

- Give the type of each function:

sum1 : int → int → int

*sum2 : int * int → int*

- What happens if you enter `sum1(3,4)` or `sum2 3 4`?

Type error

- `sum1` is in “curried” form, `sum2` in “uncurried” form. Either form can be used, but curried form is more common in OCaml.

Functions on lists

- Pattern-matching is used commonly to define functions on lists.
- E.g. define `hd`: `let hd (h::t) = h`
- E.g. `addfirsttwo: int list → int` adds first two elements of a list: `let addfirsttwo (h::ht::tt) = h+ht`
- Ex: Define `rev2`, which switches the first two elements of a list: `rev2 [2;3;4;5] = [3;2;4;5]`:

let rev2 (x::y::t) = y :: x :: t

Functions on lists (cont.)

- Ex: `second: int list → int` returns 0 for an empty list, the head of a one-element list, and the second element of any other list. Define it with and without match expressions:

```
let second lis = if lis = [] then 0
                  else if tl lis = [] then hd lis
                      else hd (tl lis)
```

```
let second lis = match lis with
```

```
  [] -> 0
| [h] -> h
| h::ht::tt -> ht
```

Ex: sum

- Define `sum: int list → int` that adds up the elements of a list.
- First: To calculate `sum lis`, suppose s = the sum of the elements in `tl lis`. What is the sum of all the elements in `lis`?

hd lis + s

- Second: Define `sum`:

```
let rec sum lis = match lis with  
  [] -> 0  
  | h::t -> h + sum t
```

Ex: allpos

- Define `allpos: int list → bool` that returns `true` if all elements of the list are greater than zero, `false` otherwise.
- First: To calculate `allpos lis`, suppose $a = \text{allpos } (\text{tl } \text{lis})$. Calculate `allpos lis` from `hd lis` and a :

$\text{hd } \text{lis} > 0 \ \&\& \ a$

- Second: Define `allpos`:

```
let rec allpos lis = match lis with  
  [] -> true  
  | h::t -> h > 0 && allpos t
```

Ex: pairsums

- Define `pairsums`: $(\text{int} * \text{int}) \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int list}$ that sums the elements of each element of its argument:
- E.g. `pairsums [(3, 4); (5, 6)] = [7; 11]`.
- **First: To calculate** `pairsums lis`, **suppose** $r = \text{pairsums (tl lis)}$. **Calculate** `pairsums lis` **from** `hd lis` **and** r :

$$(\text{fst (hd lis)} + \text{snd (hd lis)}) :: r$$

- **Second: Define** `pairsums`:

```
let rec pairsumsallps lis = match lis with  
  [] -> []  
  | (i,j)::t -> (i+j) :: pairsums t
```

Ex: revcumulsums

- For this example, the empty list is not the only base case.
- `revcumulsums lis` is the list consisting of the sum of all the elements followed by the sum of the tail, followed by the sum of the tail of the tail, etc.:
- `revcumulsums [1; 2; 3; 4] = [10; 9; 7; 4]`.
- **First:** To calculate `revcumulsums lis`, suppose $r = \text{revcumulsums } (\text{tl } \text{lis})$, and that `tl lis` is not empty. Calculate `revcumulsums lis` from r and `hd lis`:

$$(\text{hd } \text{lis} + \text{hd } r) :: r$$

Ex: revcumulsums (cont.)

- **Second: Define** `revcumulsums lis`:

```
let rec revcumulsums lis = match lis with  
  (* handle base cases: *)
```

`[]` $\rightarrow$ `[]`

`| [h]` $\rightarrow$ `[h]`

`| h::t` $\rightarrow$ `let r = revcumulsums t`
`in (h + hd r) :: r`

Ex: pairwisesums

- `pairwisesums [1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6] = [3; 7; 11]`.
- **First:** To calculate `pairwisesums lis`, suppose $r = \text{pairwisesums (tl (tl lis))}$, and `tl lis` is not empty. Calculate `pairwisesums lis` from r , `hd lis`, and `hd (tl lis)`.

$$(\text{hd lis} + \text{hd (tl lis)}) :: r$$

- **Second:** Define `pairwisesums lis` (assume `|lis|` is even):

```
let rec pairwisesums lis = match lis with  
  (* handle base cases: *)
```

$[\] \rightarrow [\]$

$| h::ht::tt \rightarrow (h + \text{hd } ht) :: \text{pairwise sum } tt$

Ex: pairwisesums2

- `pairwisesums2 [1; 2; 3; 4; 5] = [3; 5; 7; 9]`.
- **First:** To calculate `pairwisesums2 lis`, suppose $r = \text{pairwisesums2 (tl lis)}$, and `tl lis` is not empty. Calculate `pairwisesums2 lis` from r , `hd lis`, and `hd (tl lis)`.

$$(\text{hd lis} + \text{hd (tl lis)}) :: r$$

- **Second:** Define `pairwisesums2 lis`:

```
let rec pairwisesums2 lis = match lis with
  (* handle base cases: *)
```

$[] \rightarrow []$

$[h] \rightarrow h$

$| h::ht::tt \rightarrow (h + \text{hd ht}) :: \text{pairwisesums2 (ht :: tt)}$

Ex: pairwiseequal

- pairwiseequal [1; 2; 3] [1; 4; 3] = [true; false; true].
- First: Suppose** $m = \text{pairwiseequal } (\text{tl } \text{lis1}) (\text{tl } \text{lis2})$.
Calculate $\text{pairwiseequal } \text{lis1 } \text{lis2}$ **from** m , $\text{hd } \text{lis1}$, and $\text{hd } \text{lis2}$.

$$(\text{hd } \text{lis1} = \text{hd } \text{lis2}) :: m$$

- Second: Define** $\text{pairwiseequal } \text{lis1 } \text{lis2}$. This requires a use of `match` that we haven't seen before:

```
let rec pairwiseequalunequal2 lis = match (lis1, lis2) with  
  ([], []) -> []
```

```
  | (h1::t1, h2::t2) -> (h1 = h2) ::  
    pairwiseequal t1 t2
```

Ex: append

- `append lis1 lis2 = lis1 @ lis2.`
- **First: Recursion is on `lis1`.** To calculate `append lis1 lis2`, suppose $lis' = \text{append } (\text{tl } lis1) lis2$. Calculate `append lis1 lis2` from lis' and `hd lis1`.

$hd\ lis1 :: lis'$

- **Second: Define `append`:**

```
let rec append lis1 lis2 = match lis1 with  
  [] -> lis2
```

```
  h::t -> h :: append t lis2
```

Ex: reverse

- `reverse [1;2;3] = [3;2;1]`.
- **First:** To calculate `reverse lis`, suppose $r = \text{reverse } (\text{tl } \text{lis})$. Calculate `reverse lis` from r and `hd lis`. (Hint: you have to use `@`.)

$r @ [\text{hd } \text{lis}]$

- **Second:** Define `reverse`:

```
let rec reverse lis = match lis with  
  [] -> []
```

```
| h::t -> reverse t @ [h]
```

Ex: reverse, again

- The problem with the previous version of reverse is that, because running time of `lis1 @ lis2` is $O(|lis1|)$, reverse is: $O(|lis1|^2)$. To obtain a more efficient version of reverse, we instead define the function `revapp`:
- `revapp lis1 lis2 = (reverse lis1) @ lis2`. E.g. `revapp [1; 2; 3] [4; 5] = [3; 2; 1; 4; 5]`.
- **First:** `revapp lis1 lis2` is defined by recursion on the tail of `lis1`. However, `revapp (tl lis1) lis2` is of no help. Instead, find values `lis2'` such that you can calculate `revapp lis1 lis2` directly from `revapp (tl lis1) lis2'`.
- What is `lis2'`? *hd lis1 :: lis2*

- Suppose $r = \text{revap } (\text{tl lis1}) \text{ lis2}'$. How can you calculate revapp lis1 lis2 from r ?

It is just r

- Second: Define revapp :

```
let rec revapp lis1 lis2 = match lis1 with
  [] -> lis2
```

```
  h::t -> revapp t (h::lis2)
```

- What is the time complexity of rev2 ? *$O(|\text{lis1}|)$*

- Define reverse using rev2 :

let reverse lis = revapp lis []

Ex: unencode

- A simple method of compressing data that is effective on some kinds of data is *run-length encoding*, where a list of values is replaced by a list of pairs, each giving a value and a number of repetitions of that value.
- In OCaml, we could encode a char list as an `(int * char) list`, where each pair gives the number of repetitions of the char. E.g. `[(3, 'a'); (1, 'b'); (2, 'a')]` represents the list `['a'; 'a'; 'a'; 'b'; 'a'; 'a']`.
- `unencode enc: (char * int) list → char list` takes an encoded list `enc` and returns its expanded form.

Ex: unencode

- **First: Suppose** $r = \text{unencode } (\text{tl enc})$. **Calculate** unencode enc **as a function of** r **and** hd enc **(hint: you'll need an auxiliary function):**

$\text{let rec rpt } i \ x = \text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } []$
 $\text{else } x :: \text{rpt } (i-1) \ x$

$\text{in let } (a,b) = \text{hd enc}$
 $\text{in } (\text{rpt } a \ b) @ r$

- **Second: Define** unencode :

$\text{let rec unencode lis} = \text{match lis with}$
 $[] \rightarrow []$

$| h::t \rightarrow \text{let } (a,b) = h$
 $\text{in } (\text{rpt } a \ b) @ \text{unencode } t$

Ex: unencode, again

- You can define `unencode` without using the auxiliary function. The trick is that the recursion is not on `tl enc` but on another list `enc'` that is related to `enc`. From `unencode enc'` you can calculate `unencode enc` easily: Suppose $u = \text{unencode enc}'$; then $\text{unencode enc} = \text{hd enc} :: u$.

- First: what is `enc'`?

$$(\text{fst}(\text{hd enc}) - 1, \text{snd}(\text{hd enc})) :: \text{tl enc}$$

- Second: Define `unencode`:
let rec unencode *lis = match lis with*
[] → []
| (i,x)::t → if i=0 then unencode t
else x::unencode (i-1,x)::t)

Ex: encode

- `encode` is the inverse of `unencode`. Its type is `char list → (int * char) list`.
- `encode lis` can be calculated by simple recursion on `lis`.
- **First: Suppose** $enc = \text{encode } (tl\ lis)$ (assuming `lis` is non-empty). How can you calculate `encode lis` from enc and `hd lis`? (Hint: you'll need a conditional expression here.)

if $hd\ lis = hd\ (tl\ lis)$
then $(fst\ (hd\ enc) + 1, hd\ lis) :: tl\ enc$
else $(1, hd\ lis) :: enc$

- **Second: Define** `encode`: let rec `encode` $lis =$ match `lis` with
 $[] \rightarrow [] \mid [x] \rightarrow [(1, x)] \mid$
 $h::t \rightarrow$ let $(a, b) :: r = \text{encode } t$
in if $h = b$ then $(a + 1, b) :: r$
else $(1, h) :: (a, b) :: r$