

Programming Languages and Compilers (CS 421)



Elsa L Gunter

2112 SC, UIUC

<http://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs421>

Based in part on slides by Mattox Beckman, as updated by Vikram Adve and Gul Agha



Why Data Types?

- Data types play a key role in:
 - *Data abstraction* in the design of programs
 - *Type checking* in the analysis of programs
 - *Compile-time code generation* in the translation and execution of programs
 - Data layout (how many words; which are data and which are pointers) dictated by type



Terminology

- Type: A **type** t defines a set of possible data values
 - E.g. **short** in C is $\{x \mid 2^{15} - 1 \geq x \geq -2^{15}\}$
 - A value in this set is said to have type t
- Type system: rules for a language
 - saying what types (sets of values) are expressible
 - assigning types to expressions.



Types as Specifications

- Types describe properties
- Different type systems describe different properties, eg
 - Data is read-write versus read-only
 - Operation has authority to access data
 - Data came from “right” source
 - Operation might or could not raise an exception
- Common type systems focus on types describing same data layout and access methods



Sound Type System

- If an expression is assigned type t , and it evaluates to a value v , then v is in the set of values defined by t
- SML, OCAML, Scheme and Ada have sound type systems
- Most implementations of C and C++ do not



Strongly Typed Language

- When no application of an operator to arguments can lead to a run-time type error, language is *strongly typed*
 - Eg: `1 + 2.3;;`
- Depends on definition of “type error”



Strongly Typed Language

- C++ claimed to be “strongly typed”, but
 - Union types allow creating a value at one type and using it at another
 - Type coercions may cause unexpected (undesirable) effects
 - No array bounds check (in fact, no runtime checks at all)
- SML, OCAML “strongly typed” but still must do dynamic array bounds checks, runtime type case analysis, and other checks



Static vs Dynamic Types

- *Static type*: type assigned to an expression at compile time
- *Dynamic type*: type assigned to a storage location at run time
- *Statically typed language*: static type assigned to every expression at compile time
- *Dynamically typed language*: type of an expression determined at run time



Type Checking

- When is $op(arg1, \dots, argn)$ allowed?
- *Type checking* assures that operations are applied to the right number of arguments of the right types
 - Right type may mean same type as was specified, or may mean that there is a predefined implicit coercion that will be applied
- Used to resolve overloaded operations



Type Checking

- Type checking may be done *statically* at compile time or *dynamically* at run time
- Dynamically typed (aka untyped) languages (eg LISP, Prolog) do only dynamic type checking
- Statically typed languages can do most type checking statically



Dynamic Type Checking

- Performed at run-time before each operation is applied
- Types of variables and operations left unspecified until run-time
 - Same variable may be used at different types



Dynamic Type Checking

- Data object must contain type information
- Errors aren't detected until violating application is executed (maybe years after the code was written)



Static Type Checking

- Performed after parsing, before code generation
- Type of every variable and signature of every operator must be known at compile time



Static Type Checking

- Can eliminate need to store type information in data object if no dynamic type checking is needed
- Catches many programming errors at earliest point
- Can't check types that depend on dynamically computed values
 - Eg: array bounds



Static Type Checking

- Typically places restrictions on languages
 - Garbage collection
 - References instead of pointers
 - All variables initialized when created
 - Variable only used at one type
 - Union types allow for work-arounds, but effectively introduce dynamic type checks



Type Declarations

- *Type declarations*: explicit assignment of types to variables (signatures to functions) in the code of a program
 - Must be checked in a strongly typed language
 - Often not necessary for strong typing or even static typing (depends on the type system)



Type Inference

- *Type inference*: A program analysis to assign a type to an expression from the program context of the expression
 - Fully static type inference first introduced by Robin Milner in ML
 - Haskell, OCAML, SML all use type inference
 - Records are a problem for type inference

Format of Type Judgments

- A *type judgement* has the form

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{exp} : \tau$$

- Γ is a typing environment
 - Supplies the types of variables (and function names when function names are not variables)
 - Γ is a set of the form $\{ x : \sigma , \dots \}$
 - For any x at most one σ such that $(x : \sigma \in \Gamma)$
- exp is a program expression
- τ is a type to be assigned to exp
- \vdash pronounced “turnstile”, or “entails” (or “satisfies” or, informally, “shows”)



Axioms – Constants (Monomorphic)

$\Gamma \vdash n : \text{int}$ (assuming n is an integer constant)

$\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool}$

$\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{bool}$

- These rules are true with any typing environment
- Γ, n are meta-variables



Axioms – Variables (Monomorphic Rule)

Notation: Let $\Gamma(x) = \sigma$ if $x : \sigma \in \Gamma$

Note: if such σ exists, its unique

Variable axiom:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \sigma} \quad \text{if } \Gamma(x) = \sigma$$

Simple Rules – Arithmetic (Mono)

Primitive Binary operators ($\oplus \in \{+, -, *, \dots\}$):

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \quad (\oplus) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \oplus e_2 : \tau_3}$$

Special case: Relations ($\sim \in \{<, >, =, <=, >=\}$):

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad (\sim) : \tau \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \text{bool}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \text{bool}}$$

For the moment, think τ is `int`



Example: $\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 = 3 : \text{bool}$

What do we need to show first?

$\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 = 3 : \text{bool}$



Example: $\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 = 3 : \text{bool}$

What do we need for the left side?

$$\frac{\{x : \text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 : \text{int} \qquad \{x:\text{int}\} \vdash 3 : \text{int}}{\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 = 3 : \text{bool}} \text{Bin}$$



Example: $\{x:\text{int}\} \Vdash x + 2 = 3 : \text{bool}$

How to finish?

$$\frac{\frac{\{x:\text{int}\} \Vdash x:\text{int} \quad \{x:\text{int}\} \Vdash 2:\text{int}}{\{x:\text{int}\} \Vdash x + 2 : \text{int}} \text{Bin} \quad \{x:\text{int}\} \Vdash 3 : \text{int}}{\{x:\text{int}\} \Vdash x + 2 = 3 : \text{bool}} \text{Bin}$$



Example: $\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 = 3 : \text{bool}$

Complete Proof (type derivation)

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x:\text{int}}{\text{Var}} \quad \frac{}{\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash 2:\text{int}}{\text{Const}}}{\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 : \text{int}}{\text{Bin}} \quad \frac{\frac{}{\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash 3:\text{int}}{\text{Const}}}{\text{Bin}}}{\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 = 3 : \text{bool}}{\text{Bin}}$$



Simple Rules - Booleans

Connectives

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{bool}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \ \&\& \ e_2 : \text{bool}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{bool}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \ || \ e_2 : \text{bool}}$$



Type Variables in Rules

- If_then_else rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3) : \tau}$$

- τ is a type variable (meta-variable)
- Can take any type at all
- All instances in a rule application must get same type
- Then branch, else branch and if_then_else must all have same type



Example derivation: if-then-else-

- $\Gamma = \{x:\text{int}, \text{int_of_float}:\text{float} \rightarrow \text{int}, y:\text{float}\}$

$\Gamma \vdash (\text{fun } y \rightarrow$

$y > 3) x$ $\Gamma \vdash x+2$ $\Gamma \vdash \text{int_of_float } y$
: bool : int : int

$\Gamma \vdash \text{if } (\text{fun } y \rightarrow y > 3) x$
 then $x + 2$
 else $\text{int_of_float } y : \text{int}$



Function Application

- Application rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1 e_2) : \tau_2}$$

- If you have a function expression e_1 of type $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$ applied to an argument e_2 of type τ_1 , the resulting expression $e_1 e_2$ has type τ_2



Example: Application

- $\Gamma = \{x:\text{int}, \text{int_of_float}:\text{float} \rightarrow \text{int}, y:\text{float}\}$

$\Gamma \vdash (\text{fun } y \rightarrow y > 3)$

$: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool}$

$\Gamma \vdash x : \text{int}$

$\Gamma \vdash (\text{fun } y \rightarrow y > 3) x : \text{bool}$



Fun Rule

- Rules describe types, but also how the environment Γ may change
- Can only do what rule allows!
- fun rule:

$$\frac{\{x : \tau_1\} + \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fun } x \rightarrow e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$$



Fun Examples

$$\frac{\{y : \text{int}\} + \Gamma \vdash y + 3 : \text{int}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fun } y \rightarrow y + 3 : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}}$$
$$\frac{\{f : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool}\} + \Gamma \vdash f \ 2 :: [\text{true}] : \text{bool list}}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{fun } f \rightarrow (f \ 2) :: [\text{true}]) : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool}) \rightarrow \text{bool list}}$$



(Monomorphic) Let and Let Rec

- let rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \{x : \tau_1\} + \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2) : \tau_2}$$

- let rec rule:

$$\frac{\{x : \tau_1\} + \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \{x : \tau_1\} + \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let rec } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2) : \tau_2}$$



Curry - Howard Isomorphism

- Type Systems are logics; logics are type systems
- Types are propositions; propositions are types
- Terms are proofs; proofs are terms

- Function space arrow corresponds to implication; application corresponds to modus ponens



Curry - Howard Isomorphism

- Modus Ponens

$$\frac{A \Rightarrow B \quad A}{B}$$

- Application

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \alpha}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1 e_2) : \beta}$$



Mea Culpa

- The above system can't handle polymorphism as in OCAML
- No type variables in type language (only meta-variable in the logic)
- Would need:
 - Object level type variables and some kind of type quantification
 - **let** and **let rec** rules to introduce polymorphism
 - Explicit rule to eliminate (instantiate) polymorphism



Support for Polymorphic Types

- Monomorphic Types (τ):
 - Basic Types: `int`, `bool`, `float`, `string`, `unit`, ...
 - Type Variables: α , β , γ , δ , ε
 - Compound Types: $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, `int * string`, `bool list`, ...
- Polymorphic Types:
 - Monomorphic types τ
 - Universally quantified monomorphic types
 - $\forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n . \tau$
 - Can think of τ as same as $\forall . \tau$



Example FreeVars Calculations

- $\text{Vars}(\text{'a} \rightarrow (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{'b}) \rightarrow \text{'a}) = \{\text{'a}, \text{'b}\}$
- $\text{FreeVars} (\text{All } \text{'b}. \text{'a} \rightarrow (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{'b}) \rightarrow \text{'a}) =$
- $\{\text{'a}, \text{'b}\} - \{\text{'b}\} = \{\text{'a}\}$
- $\text{FreeVars} \{x : \text{All } \text{'b}. \underline{\text{'a}} \rightarrow (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{'b}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{'a}},$
- $\text{id}: \text{All } \text{'c}. \text{'c} \rightarrow \text{'c},$
- $y: \text{All } \text{'c}. \underline{\text{'a}} \rightarrow \text{'b} \rightarrow \text{'c}\} =$
- $\{\text{'a}\} \cup \{\} \cup \{\text{'a}, \text{'b}\} = \{\text{'a}, \text{'b}\}$



Support for Polymorphic Types

- Typing Environment Γ supplies polymorphic types (which will often just be monomorphic) for variables
- Free variables of monomorphic type just type variables that occur in it
 - Write $\text{FreeVars}(\tau)$
- Free variables of polymorphic type removes variables that are universally quantified
 - $\text{FreeVars}(\forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n . \tau) = \text{FreeVars}(\tau) - \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$
- $\text{FreeVars}(\Gamma) =$ all FreeVars of types in range of Γ



Monomorphic to Polymorphic

- Given:
 - type environment Γ
 - monomorphic type τ
 - τ shares type variables with Γ
- Want most polymorphic type for τ that doesn't break sharing type variables with Γ
- $\text{Gen}(\tau, \Gamma) = \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n . \tau$ where
 $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} = \text{freeVars}(\tau) - \text{freeVars}(\Gamma)$



Polymorphic Typing Rules

- A *type judgement* has the form
$$\Gamma \vdash \text{exp} : \tau$$
 - Γ uses **polymorphic** types
 - τ still monomorphic
- Most rules stay same (except use more general typing environments)
- Rules that change:
 - Variables
 - Let and Let Rec
 - Allow polymorphic constants
- Worth noting functions again



Polymorphic Let and Let Rec

- let rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \{x : \text{Gen}(\tau_1, \Gamma)\} + \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2) : \tau_2}$$

- let rec rule:

$$\frac{\{x : \tau_1\} + \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \{x : \text{Gen}(\tau_1, \Gamma)\} + \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let rec } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2) : \tau_2}$$



Polymorphic Variables (Identifiers)

Variable axiom:

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash x : \varphi(\tau)} \quad \text{if } \Gamma(x) = \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n . \tau$$

- Where φ replaces all occurrences of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ by monotypes τ_1, \dots, τ_n
- Note: Monomorphic rule special case:

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \quad \text{if } \Gamma(x) = \tau$$

- Constants treated same way



Fun Rule Stays the Same

- fun rule:

$$\frac{\{x : \tau_1\} + \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fun } x \rightarrow e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$$

- Types τ_1, τ_2 monomorphic
- Function argument must always be used at same type in function body