# Programming Languages and Compilers (CS 421)

Sasa Misailovic 4110 SC, UIUC



https://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs421/fa2017/CS421A

Based in part on slides by Mattox Beckman, as updated by Vikram Adve, Gul Agha, and Elsa L Gunter

- Also called Floyd-Hoare Logic
- Based on formal logic (first order predicate calculus)
- Axiomatic Semantics is a logical system built from axioms and inference rules
- Mainly suited to simple imperative programming languages

Used to formally prove a property (post-condition) of the state (the values of the program variables) after the execution of program, assuming another property (pre-condition) of the state holds before execution

Goal: Derive statements of form {P} C {Q}

- P, Q logical statements about state,
   P precondition,
  - Q postcondition,
  - **C** program
- **Example:**  $\{x = 1\} \ x := x + 1 \ \{x = 2\}$

Approach: For each kind of language statement, give an axiom or inference rule stating how to derive assertions of form {P} C {Q}

where C is a statement of that kind

 Compose axioms and inference rules to build proofs for complex programs

- An expression {P} C {Q} is a partial correctness statement
- For total correctness must also prove that C terminates (i.e. doesn't run forever)
  - Written: [P] C [Q]
- Will only consider partial correctness here

# Language

 We will give rules for simple imperative language

```
<command>
::= <variable> := <term>
  | <command>; ... ;<command>
  | if <statement> then <command> else
  <command> fi
  | while <statement> do <command> od
```

Could add more features, like for-loops

#### Substitution

- Notation: P[e/v] (sometimes P[v <- e])</p>
- Meaning: Replace every v in P by e
- Example:

$$(x + 2) [y-1/x] = ((y - 1) + 2)$$

8

$${P [e/x]} x := e {P}$$

## Example:

$$\{ ? \} x := y \{x = 2\}$$

$${P [e/x]} x := e {P}$$

## Example:

$$\{ = 2 \} x := y \{ x = 2 \}$$

$${P [e/x]} x := e {P}$$

## Example:

$${y = 2} x := y {x = 2}$$

$${P [e/x]} x := e {P}$$

#### **Examples:**

## The Assignment Rule – Your Turn

What is the weakest precondition of

$$x := x + y \{x + y = w - x\}?$$

$$? ?$$

$$x := x + y$$

$$\{x + y = w - x\}$$

## The Assignment Rule – Your Turn

What is the weakest precondition of

$$x := x + y \{x + y = w - x\}?$$

$$\{(x + y) + y = w - (x + y)\}$$
  
 $x := x + y$   
 $\{x + y = w - x\}$ 

# Precondition Strengthening

- Meaning: If we can show that P implies P' (P→ P') and we can show that {P'} C {Q}, then we know that {P} C {Q}
- P is stronger than P' means P → P'

## Precondition Strengthening

Examples:

$$x = 3 \rightarrow x < 7 \{x < 7\} x := x + 3 \{x < 10\}$$
  
 $\{x = 3\} x := x + 3 \{x < 10\}$ 

True 
$$\rightarrow$$
 2 = 2 {2 = 2} x:= 2 {x = 2}  
{True} x:= 2 {x = 2}

$$x=n \rightarrow x+1=n+1 \{x+1=n+1\} x:=x+1 \{x=n+1\}$$
  
 $\{x=n\} x:=x+1 \{x=n+1\}$ 

#### Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \& x < 5\} \ x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\} \ x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

$${x * x < 25} x := x * x {x < 25}$$
  
 ${x > 0 & x < 5} x := x * x {x < 25}$ 

#### Which Inferences Are Correct?

$$\frac{\{x > 0 \& x < 5\} \ x := x * x \{x < 25\}}{\{x = 3\} \ x := x * x \{x < 25\}}$$

$${x * x < 25} x := x * x {x < 25}$$
  
 ${x > 0 & x < 5} x := x * x {x < 25}$ 

#### Sequencing

$${P} C_1 {Q} {Q} C_2 {R}$$
  
 ${P} C_1; C_2 {R}$ 

#### Example:

$${z = z \& z = z} x := z {x = z \& z = z}$$
  
 ${x = z \& z = z} y := z {x = z & y = z}$   
 ${z = z \& z = z} x := z; y := z {x = z & y = z}$ 

#### Sequencing

$${P} C_1 {Q} {Q} C_2 {R}$$
  
 ${P} C_1; C_2 {R}$ 

#### Example:

```
{z = z \& z = z} x := z {x = z \& z = z}

{x = z \& z = z} y := z {x = z & y = z}

{z = z \& z = z} x := z; y := z {x = z & y = z}
```

#### Postcondition Weakening

## Example:

$${z = z \& z = z} x := z; y := z {x = z \& y = z}$$
  
 $(x = z \& y = z) \rightarrow (x = y)$   
 ${z = z \& z = z} x := z; y := z {x = y}$ 

#### Rule of Consequence

$$\frac{P \rightarrow P' \quad \{P'\} C \{Q'\} \quad Q' \rightarrow Q}{\{P\} C \{Q\}}$$

- Logically equivalent to the combination of Precondition Strengthening and Postcondition Weakening
- Uses P → P' and Q' → Q

#### If Then Else

```
{P and B} C_1 {Q} {P and (not B)} C_2 {Q} {P} if B then C_1 else C_2 fi {Q}
```

Example: Want

Suffices to show:

(1) 
$$\{y=a&x<0\}$$
 y:=y-x  $\{y=a+|x|\}$  and (4)  $\{y=a¬(x<0)\}$  y:=y+x  $\{y=a+|x|\}$ 

$${y=a&x<0} y:=y-x {y=a+|x|}$$

(3) 
$$(y=a&x<0) \rightarrow y-x=a+|x|$$
  
(2)  $\{y-x=a+|x|\} y:=y-x \{y=a+|x|\}$   
(1)  $\{y=a&x<0\} y:=y-x \{y=a+|x|\}$ 

- (1) Reduces to (2) and (3) by Precondition Strengthening
- (2) Follows from assignment axiom
- (3) Because  $x<0 \rightarrow |x| = -x$

$${y=a¬(x<0)} y:=y+x {y=a+|x|}$$

(6) 
$$(y=a¬(x<0)) \rightarrow (y+x=a+|x|)$$

(5) 
$$\{y+x=a+|x|\}$$
  $y:=y+x$   $\{y=a+|x\}\}$ 

(4) 
$$\{y=a¬(x<0)\}\ y:=y+x\ \{y=a+|x|\}$$

- (4) Reduces to (5) and (6) by Precondition Strengthening
- (5) Follows from assignment axiom
- (6) Because  $not(x<0) \rightarrow |x| = x$

#### If then else

(1) 
$$\{y=a&x<0\}y:=y-x\{y=a+|x|\}$$
  
 $\{y=a¬(x<0)\}y:=y+x\{y=a+|x|\}$   
 $\{y=a\}$   
if x < 0 then y:= y-x else y:= y+x  
 $\{y=a+|x|\}$ 

By the if\_then\_else rule

- We need a rule to be able to make assertions about while loops.
  - Inference rule because we can only draw conclusions if we know something about the body
  - Let's start with:

```
{ ? } C { ? }
{ ? while B do C od { P }
```

The loop may never be executed, so if we want P to hold after, it had better hold before, so let's try:

```
{ ? } C { ? }
{ P } while B do C od { P }
```

- If all we know is P when we enter the while loop, then we all we know when we enter the body is (P and B)
- If we need to know P when we finish the while loop, we had better know it when we finish the loop body:

```
{PandB} C {P}

{P} while B do C od {P}
```

- We can strengthen the previous rule because we also know that when the loop is finished, not B also holds
- Final while rule:

```
{PandB}C{P}

{P} while B do C od {Pand not B}
```

```
{PandB} C {P} {P} while B do C od {Pand not B}
```

P satisfying this rule is called a loop invariant because it must hold before and after the each iteration of the loop

- While rule generally needs to be used together with precondition strengthening and postcondition weakening
- There is NO algorithm for computing the correct P; it requires intuition and an understanding of why the program works

Let us prove

```
{x>= 0 and x = a}
fact := 1;
while x > 0 do (fact := fact * x; x := x -1) od
{fact = a!}
```

 We need to find a condition P that is true both before and after the loop is executed, and such that

(P and not 
$$x > 0$$
)  $\rightarrow$  (fact = a!)

First attempt:

```
{a! = fact * (x!)}
```

- Motivation:
- What we want to compute: a!
- What we have computed: fact which is the sequential product of a down through (x + 1)
- What we still need to compute: x!

By post-condition weakening suffices to show

```
    1. {x>=0 and x = a}
        fact := 1;
        while x > 0 do (fact := fact * x; x := x -1) od
        {a! = fact * (x!) and not (x > 0)}
        and
```

2.  $\{a! = fact * (x!) \text{ and not } (x > 0) \} \rightarrow \{fact = a!\}$ 

#### **Problem**

- 2.  $\{a! = fact * (x!) and not (x > 0)\} \rightarrow \{fact = a!\}$
- Don't know this if x < 0</p>
- Need to know that x = 0 when loop terminates
- Need a new loop invariant
- Try adding x >= 0
- Then will have x = 0 when loop is done

12/5/2017

```
Second try, combine the two:
          P = \{a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0\}
Again, suffices to show
1. \{x > = 0 \text{ and } x = a\}
   fact := 1;
   while x > 0 do (fact := fact * x; x := x - 1) od
   \{P \text{ and not } x > 0\}
and
2. \{P \text{ and not } x > 0\} \rightarrow \{fact = a!\}
```

12/5/2017

For 2, we need  $\{a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0 and not (x > 0)\}$ {fact = a!} But  $\{x \ge 0 \text{ and not } (x \ge 0)\} \rightarrow \{x = 0\} \text{ so }$ fact \* (x!) = fact \* (0!) = factTherefore  $\{a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0 and not (x > 0)\}$ {fact = a!}

12/5/2017

For 1, by the sequencing rule it suffices to show

```
3. \{x \ge 0 \text{ and } x = a\}
    fact := 1
   {a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0}
And
4. \{a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0\}
    while x > 0 do
    (fact := fact * x; x := x - 1) od
   \{a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0 and not (x > 0)\}
```

Suffices to show that

$${a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0}$$

holds before the while loop is entered and that if

 $\{(a! = fact * (x!)) \text{ and } x >= 0 \text{ and } x > 0\}$ holds before we execute the body of the loop, then

 $\{(a! = fact * (x!)) and x >= 0\}$ 

holds after we execute the body

```
By the assignment rule, we have \{a! = 1 * (x!) \text{ and } x >= 0\} fact := 1 \{a! = \text{fact } * (x!) \text{ and } x >= 0\} Therefore, to show (3), by precondition strengthening, it suffices to show
```

$$(x>= 0 \text{ and } x = a) \rightarrow$$
  
(a! = 1 \* (x!) and x >= 0)

$$(x>= 0 \text{ and } x = a) \rightarrow$$
  
 $(a! = 1 * (x!) \text{ and } x >= 0)$   
holds because  $x = a \rightarrow x! = a!$ 

Have that {a! = fact \* (x!) and x >= 0} holds at the start of the while loop

```
To show (4):
 {a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0}
 while x > 0 do
 (fact := fact * x; x := x - 1)
 od
 \{a! = fact * (x!) and x >= 0 and not (x > 0)\}
we need to show that
           \{(a! = fact * (x!)) \text{ and } x >= 0\}
is a loop invariant
```

We need to show:

```
\{(a! = fact * (x!)) \text{ and } x >= 0 \text{ and } x > 0\}

\{(a! = fact * x; x := x - 1)\}

\{(a! = fact * (x!)) \text{ and } x >= 0\}
```

We will use assignment rule, sequencing rule and precondition strengthening

```
By the assignment rule, we have
       \{(a! = fact * ((x-1)!)) \text{ and } x - 1 >= 0\}
                        x := x - 1
            \{(a! = fact * (x!)) and x >= 0\}
   By the sequencing rule, it suffices to show
     \{(a! = fact * (x!)) \text{ and } x >= 0 \text{ and } x > 0\}
                     fact = fact * x
       \{(a! = fact * ((x-1)!)) \text{ and } x - 1 >= 0\}
```

```
By the assignment rule, we have that
  \{(a! = (fact * x) * ((x-1)!)) \text{ and } x - 1 >= 0\}
                  fact = fact * x
     \{(a! = fact * ((x-1)!)) \text{ and } x - 1 >= 0\}
By Precondition strengthening, it suffices
to show that
((a! = fact * (x!)) and x >= 0 and x > 0) \rightarrow
((a! = (fact * x) * ((x-1)!)) and x - 1 >= 0)
```

#### However

```
fact * x * (x - 1)! = fact * x

and (x > 0) \rightarrow x - 1 >= 0

since x is an integer,so

\{(a! = fact * (x!)) \text{ and } x >= 0 \text{ and } x > 0\} \rightarrow

\{(a! = (fact * x) * ((x-1)!)) \text{ and } x - 1 >= 0\}
```

Therefore, by precondition strengthening  $\{(a! = fact * (x!)) \text{ and } x >= 0 \text{ and } x > 0\}$  fact = fact \* x  $\{(a! = fact * ((x-1)!)) \text{ and } x - 1 >= 0\}$ 

This finishes the proof