

Programming Languages and Compilers (CS 421)

Sasa Misailovic
4110 SC, UIUC



<https://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs421/fa2017/CS421A>

Based in part on slides by Mattox Beckman, as updated
by Vikram Adve, Gul Agha, and Elsa L Gunter

Terminology

- Type: A **type** t defines a set of possible data values
 - E.g. **short** in C is $\{x \mid -2^{15} \leq x \leq 2^{15}\}$
 - A value in this set is said to have type t
- Type system: rules of a language assigning types to expressions

Why Data Types?

- Data types play a key role in:
 - **Data abstraction** in the design of programs
 - **Type checking** in the analysis of programs
 - **Compile-time code generation** in the translation and execution of programs
 - Data layout (how many words; which are data and which are pointers) dictated by type

Types as Specifications

- Types describe **properties**
- Different type systems describe different properties:
 - Data is read-write versus read-only
 - Operation has authority to access data
 - Data came from “right” source
 - Operation might or could not raise an exception
- Common type systems focus on types describing same data layout and access methods

Sound Type System

- Type: A **type** t defines a set of possible data values
 - E.g. **short** in C is $\{x \mid 2^{15} - 1 \geq x \geq -2^{15}\}$
 - A value in this set is said to have type t
 - Type system: rules of a language assigning types to expressions
- If an expression is assigned type t , and it evaluates to a value v , then v is in the set of values defined by t
- SML, OCAML, Scheme and Ada have sound type systems
 - Most implementations of C and C++ do not

Strongly Typed Language

- When no application of an operator to arguments can lead to a run-time type error, language is *strongly typed*
 - Eg: `1 + 2.3;;`
- Depends on definition of “type error”

Strongly Typed Language

- C++ claimed to be “strongly typed”, but
 - Union types allow creating a value at one type and using it at another
 - Type coercions may cause unexpected (undesirable) effects
 - No array bounds check (in fact, no runtime checks at all)
- SML, OCAML “strongly typed” but still must do dynamic array bounds checks, runtime type case analysis, and other checks

Static vs Dynamic Types

- **Static type:** type assigned to an expression at compile time
- **Dynamic type:** type assigned to a storage location at run time
- **Statically typed language:** static type assigned to every expression at compile time
- **Dynamically typed language:** type of an expression determined at run time

Type Checking

- When is $\text{op}(\text{arg1}, \dots, \text{argn})$ allowed?
- **Type checking** assures that operations are applied to the right number of arguments of the right types
 - Right type may mean same type as was specified, or may mean that there is a predefined implicit coercion that will be applied
- Used to resolve overloaded operations

Type Checking

- Type checking may be done **statically** at compile time or **dynamically** at run time
- Dynamically typed (aka untyped) languages (eg LISP, Prolog, JavaScript) do only dynamic type checking
- Statically typed languages can do most type checking statically

Dynamic Type Checking

- Performed at run-time before each operation is applied
- Types of variables and operations left unspecified until run-time
 - Same variable may be used at different types

Dynamic Type Checking

- Data object must contain type information
- Errors aren't detected until violating application is executed (maybe years after the code was written)

Static Type Checking

- Performed after parsing, before code generation
- Type of every variable and signature of every operator must be known at compile time

Static Type Checking

- Can eliminate need to store type information in data object if no dynamic type checking is needed
- Catches many programming errors at earliest point
- Can't check types that depend on dynamically computed values
 - Eg: array bounds

Static Type Checking

- Typically places restrictions on languages
 - Garbage collection
 - References instead of pointers
 - All variables initialized when created
 - Variable only used at one type
 - Union types allow for work-arounds, but effectively introduce dynamic type checks

Type Declarations

- *Type declarations*: explicit assignment of types to variables (signatures to functions) in the code of a program
 - Must be checked in a strongly typed language
 - Often not necessary for strong typing or even static typing (depends on the type system)

Type Inference

- *Type inference*: A program analysis to assign a type to an expression from the program context of the expression
 - Fully static type inference first introduced by Robin Miller in ML
 - Haskell, OCAML, SML all use type inference
 - Records are a problem for type inference

Format of Type Judgments

- A *type judgement* has the form

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{exp} : \tau$$

- Γ is a typing environment
 - Supplies the types of variables (and function names when function names are not variables)
 - Γ is a set of the form $\{x : \sigma, \dots\}$
 - For any x at most one σ such that $(x : \sigma \in \Gamma)$
- exp is a program expression
- τ is a type to be assigned to exp
- $\vdash$ pronounced “turnstile”, or “entails” (or “satisfies” or, informally, “shows”)

Axioms - Constants

$\Gamma \vdash n : \text{int}$ (assuming n is an integer constant)

$\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{bool}$

$\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{bool}$

- These rules are true with any typing environment
- Γ, n are meta-variables

Axioms – Variables (Monomorphic Rule)

Notation: Let $\Gamma(x) = \sigma$ if $x : \sigma \in \Gamma$

Note: if such σ exists, its unique

Variable axiom:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \sigma} \quad \text{if } \Gamma(x) = \sigma$$

Simple Rules - Arithmetic

Primitive operators ($\oplus \in \{+, -, *, \dots\}$):

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \quad (\oplus) : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_3}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \oplus e_2 : \tau_3}$$

Relations ($\sim \in \{<, >, =, <=, >=\}$):

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \sim e_2 : \text{bool}}$$

For the moment, think τ is **int**

Example: $\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 = 3 : \text{bool}$

What do we need to show first?

$\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 = 3 : \text{bool}$

Example: $\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 = 3 : \text{bool}$

What do we need for the left side?

$$\frac{\{x : \text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 : \text{int} \qquad \{x:\text{int}\} \vdash 3 : \text{int}}{\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 = 3 : \text{bool}} \text{Rel}$$

Example: $\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 = 3 : \text{bool}$

How to finish?

$$\frac{\frac{\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x:\text{int} \quad \{x:\text{int}\} \vdash 2:\text{int}}{\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 : \text{int}} \text{AO} \quad \{x:\text{int}\} \vdash 3 : \text{int}}{\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 = 3 : \text{bool}} \text{Rel}$$

Example: $\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 = 3 : \text{bool}$

Complete Proof (type derivation)

$$\frac{\frac{\text{Var}}{\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x:\text{int}} \quad \frac{\text{Const}}{\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash 2:\text{int}}}{\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 : \text{int}} \text{AO} \quad \frac{\text{Const}}{\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash 3 : \text{int}} \text{Rel}$$
$$\frac{\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 : \text{int} \quad \{x:\text{int}\} \vdash 3 : \text{int}}{\{x:\text{int}\} \vdash x + 2 = 3 : \text{bool}}$$

Simple Rules - Booleans

Connectives

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{bool}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \ \&\& \ e_2 : \text{bool}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{bool}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \ || \ e_2 : \text{bool}}$$

Type Variables in Rules

- If_then_else rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_3 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3) : \tau}$$

- τ is a type variable (meta-variable)
- Can take any type at all
- All instances in a rule application must get same type
- Then branch, else branch and if_then_else must all have same type

Function Application

- Application rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1 e_2) : \tau_2}$$

- If you have a function expression e_1 of type $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$ applied to an argument e_2 of type τ_1 , the resulting expression $e_1 e_2$ has type τ_2

Fun Rule

- Rules describe types, but also how the environment Γ may change
- Can only do what rule allows!
- fun rule:

$$\frac{\{x : \tau_1\} + \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fun } x \rightarrow e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$$

Fun Examples

$$\frac{\{x : \tau_1\} + \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fun } x \rightarrow e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{\{y : \text{int}\} + \Gamma \vdash y + 3 : \text{int}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fun } y \rightarrow y + 3 : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}}$$

$$\frac{\{f : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool}\} + \Gamma \vdash f \ 2 :: [\text{true}] : \text{bool list}}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{fun } f \rightarrow f \ 2 :: [\text{true}]) : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool}) \rightarrow \text{bool list}}$$

(Monomorphic) Let and Let Rec

■ let rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \{x : \tau_1\} + \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2) : \tau_2}$$

■ let rec rule:

$$\frac{\{x : \tau_1\} + \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \{x : \tau_1\} + \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let rec } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2) : \tau_2}$$

Example

- let rec rule:

$$\frac{\{x: \tau_1\} + \Gamma \vdash e_1:\tau_1 \quad \{x: \tau_1\} + \Gamma \vdash e_2:\tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let rec } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2) : \tau_2}$$

- Which rule do we apply?

?

$\vdash (\text{let rec one} = 1 :: \text{one in}$

let $x = 2$ in

fun $y \rightarrow (x :: y :: \text{one})) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list}$

Example

■ Let rec rule:

①

$\{one : \text{int list}\} \vdash$

$(l :: one) : \text{int list}$

② $\{one : \text{int list}\} \vdash$

$(\text{let } x = 2 \text{ in}$

$\text{fun } y \rightarrow (x :: y :: one))$

$\vdash (\text{let rec one} = l :: one \text{ in}$

$\text{let } x = 2 \text{ in}$

$\text{fun } y \rightarrow (x :: y :: one)) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list}$

Proof of I

- Application rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1 \ e_2) : \tau_2}$$

- Which rule?

$\{\text{one} : \text{int list}\} \vdash (\text{I} :: \text{one}) : \text{int list}$

Proof of I

- Application rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1 \ e_2) : \tau_2}$$

- Application

③

$\{\text{one} : \text{int list}\} \vdash$

$((::) \ I) : \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int list}$

④

$\{\text{one} : \text{int list}\} \vdash$

$\text{one} : \text{int list}$

$\{\text{one} : \text{int list}\} \vdash (I :: \text{one}) : \text{int list}$

Proof of 3

Constants Rule

 $\{one : int\ list\} \vdash$ $((::) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int list})$

 $\{one : int\ list\} \vdash ((::) \ I) : \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int list}$

Constants Rule

 $\{one : int\ list\} \vdash$ $I : int$

Proof of 4

- Rule for variables

$$\{one : \text{int list}\} \vdash one : \text{int list}$$

Proof of 2

⑤ $\{x:\text{int}; \text{one} : \text{int list}\} \vdash$
 $\text{fun } y \rightarrow$
 $(x :: y :: \text{one}))$

■ Constant

$\{\text{one} : \text{int list}\} \vdash 2 : \text{int} \quad : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list}$

$\{\text{one} : \text{int list}\} \vdash (\text{let } x = 2 \text{ in}$
 $\text{fun } y \rightarrow (x :: y :: \text{one})) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list}$

Proof of 5

?

$\{x:\text{int}; \text{one} : \text{int list}\} \vdash \text{fun } y \rightarrow (x :: y :: \text{one}))$

$: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list}$

Proof of 5

?

$$\{y:\text{int}; x:\text{int}; \text{one} : \text{int list}\} \vdash (x :: y :: \text{one}) : \text{int list}$$

$$\{x:\text{int}; \text{one} : \text{int list}\} \vdash \text{fun } y \rightarrow (x :: y :: \text{one})) \\ : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list}$$

Proof of 5

⑥

$$\{y:\text{int}; x:\text{int}; \text{one}:\text{int list}\} \\ \vdash ((::) x):\text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int list}$$

⑦

$$\{y:\text{int}; x:\text{int}; \text{one}:\text{int list}\} \\ \vdash (y :: \text{one}) : \text{int list}$$

$$\{y:\text{int}; x:\text{int}; \text{one} : \text{int list}\} \vdash (x :: y :: \text{one}) : \text{int list}$$

$$\{x:\text{int}; \text{one} : \text{int list}\} \vdash \text{fun } y \rightarrow (x :: y :: \text{one}) \\ : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list}$$

Proof of 6

Constant

Variable

 $\{\dots\} \vdash (::)$

 $: \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int list}$

 $\{\dots; x:\text{int}; \dots\} \vdash x:\text{int}$

 $\{y:\text{int}; x:\text{int}; \text{one} : \text{int list}\} \vdash ((::) x)$ $: \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int list}$

Proof of 7

Like Pf of 6 [replace x w/ y] Variable

•
•
•

$$\frac{\{y:\text{int}; \dots\} \vdash ((::) y) \quad \frac{\{ \dots; \text{one}:\text{int list} \} \vdash \text{one}:\text{int list}}{\{y:\text{int}; x:\text{int}; \text{one} : \text{int list}\} \vdash (y :: \text{one}) : \text{int list}}}{\{y:\text{int}; x:\text{int}; \text{one} : \text{int list}\} \vdash (y :: \text{one}) : \text{int list}}$$

Curry - Howard Isomorphism

- Type Systems are logics; logics are type systems
 - Types are propositions; propositions are types
 - Terms are proofs; proofs are terms
-
- Function space arrow corresponds to implication; application corresponds to modus ponens

Curry - Howard Isomorphism

■ Modus Ponens

$$\frac{A \Rightarrow B \quad A}{B}$$

• Application

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \alpha}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1 \ e_2) : \beta}$$

Mea Culpa

- The above system can't handle polymorphism as in OCAML
- No type variables in type language (only meta-variable in the logic)
- Would need:
 - Object level type variables and some kind of type quantification
 - **let** and **let rec** rules to introduce polymorphism
 - Explicit rule to eliminate (instantiate) polymorphism

Support for Polymorphic Types

■ Monomorphic Types (τ):

- Basic Types: int , bool , float , string , unit , ...
- Type Variables: α , β , γ , δ , ε
- Compound Types: $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, $\text{int} * \text{string}$, bool list , ...

■ Polymorphic Types:

- Monomorphic types τ
- Universally quantified monomorphic types
 $\forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n. \tau$
- Can think of τ as same as $\forall. \tau$

Support for Polymorphic Types

- Typing Environment Γ supplies polymorphic types (which will often just be monomorphic) for variables
- Free variables of monomorphic type just type variables that occur in it
 - Write $\text{FreeVars}(\tau)$
- Free variables of polymorphic type removes variables that are universally quantified
 - $\text{FreeVars}(\forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n . \tau) = \text{FreeVars}(\tau) - \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$
- $\text{FreeVars}(\Gamma) =$ all FreeVars of types in range of Γ

Monomorphic to Polymorphic

- Given:
 - type environment Γ
 - monomorphic type τ
 - τ shares type variables with Γ
- Want most polymorphic type for τ that doesn't break sharing type variables with Γ
- $\text{Gen}(\tau, \Gamma) = \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n. \tau$ where
$$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} = \text{freeVars}(\tau) - \text{freeVars}(\Gamma)$$

Polymorphic Typing Rules

- A *type judgement* has the form
$$\Gamma \vdash \text{exp} : \tau$$
 - Γ uses **polymorphic** types
 - τ still **monomorphic**
- Most rules stay same (except use more general typing environments). Rules that change:
 - Variables
 - Let and Let Rec
 - Allow polymorphic constants
- Worth noting functions again

Polymorphic Let and Let Rec

■ let rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \{x : \text{Gen}(\tau_1, \Gamma)\} + \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2) : \tau_2}$$

■ let rec rule:

$$\frac{\{x : \tau_1\} + \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \{x : \text{Gen}(\tau_1, \Gamma)\} + \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let rec } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2) : \tau_2}$$

Polymorphic Variables (Identifiers)

Variable axiom:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \varphi(\tau)} \quad \text{if } \Gamma(x) = \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n . \tau$$

- Where φ replaces all occurrences of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ by monotypes $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$
- Note: Monomorphic rule special case:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \quad \text{if } \Gamma(x) = \tau$$

- Constants treated same way

Fun Rule Stays the Same

- fun rule:

$$\frac{\{x : \tau_1\} + \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fun } x \rightarrow e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$$

- Types τ_1, τ_2 monomorphic
- Function argument must always be used at same type in function body

Polymorphic Example

- Assume additional **constants**:
- $\text{hd} : \forall \alpha . \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \alpha$
- $\text{tl} : \forall \alpha . \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \alpha \text{ list}$
- $\text{is_empty} : \forall \alpha . \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{bool}$
- $:: : \forall \alpha . \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \alpha \text{ list}$
- $[] : \forall \alpha . \alpha \text{ list}$

Polymorphic Example

- Show:

?

$\{\}$ |- let rec length =

fun l -> if is_empty l then 0

else 1 + length (tl l)

in

length (::) 2 [] + length(::) true [] : **int**

Polymorphic Example: Let Rec Rule (Repeat)

■ Show: (1)

$\{\text{length} : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}\}$

$\vdash \text{fun lst} \rightarrow \dots$

$: \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}$

(2)

$\{\text{length} : \forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}\}$

$\vdash \text{length} ((::) 2 []) +$

$\text{length}((::) \text{true} []) : \text{int}$

$\{\} \vdash \text{let rec length} =$

$\text{fun lst} \rightarrow \text{if is_empty lst then } 0$

$\text{else } 1 + \text{length (tl lst)}$

in

$\text{length} ((::) 2 []) + \text{length}((::) \text{true} []) : \text{int}$

Polymorphic Example (I)

■ Show:

?

$\{ \text{length} : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int} \} \vdash$

$\text{fun lst} \rightarrow \text{if is_empty lst then } 0$
 $\quad \text{else } 1 + \text{length (tl lst)}$

$: \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}$

Polymorphic Example (I): Fun Rule

■ Show: (3)

$\{ \text{length} : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}, \text{lst} : \alpha \text{ list} \} \vdash$

if `is_empty lst` then 0

else `length (hd l) + length (tl lst)` : **int**

$\{ \text{length} : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int} \} \vdash$

`fun lst -> if is_empty lst then 0`

`else l + length (tl lst)`

: **$\alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}$**

Polymorphic Example (3)

- Let $\Gamma = \{\text{length} : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}, \text{lst} : \alpha \text{ list} \}$
- Show

?

$\Gamma \vdash \text{if is_empty } l \text{ then } 0$
 $\text{else } l + \text{length (tl lst)} : \text{int}$

Polymorphic Example (3):IfThenElse

- Let $\Gamma = \{\text{length} : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}, \text{lst} : \alpha \text{ list}\}$
- Show

(4)	(5)	(6)
$\Gamma \vdash \text{is_empty lst}$	$\Gamma \vdash 0 : \text{int}$	$\Gamma \vdash 1 + \text{length (tl lst)}$
: bool		: int

$\Gamma \vdash \text{if is_empty lst then 0}$
 $\text{else } 1 + \text{length (tl lst)} \quad \mathbf{: int}$

Polymorphic Example (4)

- Let $\Gamma = \{\text{length} : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}, \text{lst} : \alpha \text{ list} \}$
- Show

?

$\Gamma \vdash \text{is_empty lst} : \text{bool}$

Polymorphic Example (4):Application

- Let $\Gamma = \{\text{length} : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}, \text{lst} : \alpha \text{ list}\}$
- Show

?

?

 $\Gamma \vdash \text{is_empty} : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{bool}$

 $\Gamma \vdash \text{lst} : \alpha \text{ list}$

 $\Gamma \vdash \text{is_empty lst} : \text{bool}$

Polymorphic Example (4)

- Let $\Gamma = \{\text{length} : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}, \text{lst} : \alpha \text{ list}\}$
- Show

By Const since $\alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{bool}$ is
instance of $\forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{bool}$?

$$\frac{\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{is_empty} : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{bool}} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{lst} : \alpha \text{ list}}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{is_empty lst} : \text{bool}}$$

Polymorphic Example (4)

- Let $\Gamma = \{\text{length} : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}, \text{ l} : \alpha \text{ list} \}$
- Show

By Const since $\alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{bool}$ is instance of $\forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{bool}$ By Variable $\Gamma(\text{lst}) = \alpha \text{ list}$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{is_empty} : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{lst} : \alpha \text{ list}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{is_empty lst} : \text{bool}}$$

- This finishes (4)

Polymorphic Example (3): IfThenElse (Repeat)

- Let $\Gamma = \{\text{length} : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}, \text{lst} : \alpha \text{ list}\}$
- Show

(4) ✓	(5)	(6)
$\Gamma \vdash \text{is_empty lst}$	$\Gamma \vdash 0 : \text{int}$	$\Gamma \vdash 1 + \text{length (tl lst)}$
: bool		: int

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{if is_empty lst then } 0 \text{ else } 1 + \text{length (tl lst)} \quad \mathbf{: int}$$

Polymorphic Example (5):Const

- Let $\Gamma = \{\text{length}:\alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}, \text{lst}:\alpha \text{ list}\}$

- Show

By Const Rule

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash 0:\text{int}}$$

Polymorphic Example (6):Arith Op

- Let $\Gamma = \{\text{length} : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}, \text{lst} : \alpha \text{ list}\}$
- Show

By Variable

(7)

$\Gamma \vdash \text{length}$

$\Gamma \vdash (\text{tl lst})$

By Const

$: \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}$

$: \alpha \text{ list}$

$\Gamma \vdash l : \text{int}$

$\Gamma \vdash \text{length (tl lst)} : \text{int}$

$\Gamma \vdash l + \text{length (tl lst)} : \text{int}$

Polymorphic Example (7):App Rule

- Let $\Gamma = \{\text{length} : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}, \text{lst} : \alpha \text{ list}\}$
- Show

By Const

$$\Gamma \vdash (\text{tl lst}) : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \alpha \text{ list}$$

By Variable

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{lst} : \alpha \text{ list}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash (\text{tl lst}) : \alpha \text{ list}$$

By Const since $\alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \alpha \text{ list}$ is instance of

$$\forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \alpha \text{ list}$$

Polymorphic Example: Let Rec Rule (Repeat)

■ Show: (1) ✓

$\{\text{length} : \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}\}$

$\vdash \text{fun } l \rightarrow \dots$

$: \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}$

(2)

$\{\text{length} : \forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}\}$

$\vdash \text{length } ((::) 2 []) +$

$\text{length } ((::) \text{true } []) : \text{int}$

$\{\} \vdash \text{let rec length} =$

$\text{fun } l \rightarrow \text{if is_empty } l \text{ then } 0$

$\text{else } l + \text{length } (\text{tl } l)$

in

$\text{length } ((::) 2 []) + \text{length } ((::) \text{true } []) : \text{int}$

Polymorphic Example: (2) by ArithOp

- Let $\Gamma' = \{\text{length} : \forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}\}$
- Show:

(8)

$\Gamma' \vdash$

$\text{length } ((::) 2 []) : \text{int}$

(9)

$\Gamma' \vdash$

$\text{length}((::) \text{true } []) : \text{int}$

$\{\text{length} : \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}\}$

$\vdash \text{length } ((::) 2 []) + \text{length}((::) \text{true } []) : \text{int}$

Polymorphic Example: (8)AppRule

- Let $\Gamma' = \{\text{length} : \forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}\}$
- Show:

$$\frac{\Gamma' \vdash \text{length} : \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int} \quad \Gamma' \vdash ((::)2 []) : \text{int list}}{\Gamma' \vdash \text{length } ((::)2 []) : \text{int}}$$

Polymorphic Example: (8)AppRule

- Let $\Gamma' = \{\text{length} : \forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}\}$

- Show:

By Var since $\text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int}$ is instance of

$\forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}$

$$\frac{\Gamma' \vdash \text{length} : \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int} \quad \Gamma' \vdash ((::) 2 []) : \text{int list}}{\Gamma' \vdash \text{length } ((::) 2 []) : \text{int}} \quad (10)$$

Polymorphic Example: (l0)AppRule

- Let $\Gamma' = \{\text{length} : \forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}\}$
- Show:
- By Const since $\alpha \text{ list}$ is instance of $\forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list}$

(11)

$$\frac{\Gamma' \vdash ((::) 2) : \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int list} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma' \vdash [] : \text{int list}}}{\Gamma' \vdash ((::) 2 []) : \text{int list}}$$

Polymorphic Example: (I I)AppRule

- Let $\Gamma' = \{\text{length} : \forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}\}$
- Show:
- By Const since $\alpha \text{ list}$
is instance of

$$\frac{\frac{\forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list}}{\Gamma' \vdash (::) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int list}} \quad \frac{\text{By Const}}{\Gamma' \vdash 2 : \text{int}}}{\Gamma' \vdash ((::) 2) : \text{int list} \rightarrow \text{int list}}$$

Polymorphic Example: (9)AppRule

- Let $\Gamma' = \{\text{length} : \forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}\}$
- Show:

$\Gamma' \vdash$

$\text{length} : \text{bool list} \rightarrow \text{int}$

$\Gamma' \vdash$

$((::) \text{ true } []) : \text{bool list}$

$\Gamma' \vdash \text{length } ((::) \text{ true } []) : \text{int}$

Polymorphic Example: (9)AppRule

- Let $\Gamma' = \{\text{length} : \forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}\}$

- Show:

By Var since $\text{bool list} \rightarrow \text{int}$ is instance of

$\forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}$

(I2)

 $\Gamma' \vdash$ $\Gamma' \vdash$

$\text{length} : \text{bool list} \rightarrow \text{int}$

$((::) \text{ true } []) : \text{bool list}$

$\Gamma' \vdash \text{length } ((::) \text{ true } []) : \text{int}$

Polymorphic Example: (I2)AppRule

- Let $\Gamma' = \{\text{length} : \forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}\}$
- Show:
- By Const since $\alpha \text{ list}$ is instance of $\forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list}$

(I3)

$$\frac{\Gamma' \vdash ((::)\text{true}) : \text{bool list} \rightarrow \text{bool list} \quad \overline{\Gamma' \vdash [] : \text{bool list}}}{\Gamma' \vdash ((::)\text{true} []) : \text{bool list}}$$

Polymorphic Example: (I3)AppRule

- Let $\Gamma' = \{\text{length} : \forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list} \rightarrow \text{int}\}$

- Show:

By Const since bool list

is instance of $\forall \alpha. \alpha \text{ list}$

$$\Gamma' \vdash$$

$$(::) : \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool list} \rightarrow \text{bool list}$$

By Const

$$\Gamma' \vdash$$

$$\text{true} : \text{bool}$$

$$\Gamma' \vdash ((::) \text{ true}) : \text{bool list} \rightarrow \text{bool list}$$